



भारत का राजपत्र

The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं. 16]

नई विल्ली, रामबाजार, अप्रैल 18, 1987/चैत्र 28, 1909

No. 16]

NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, APRIL 18, 1987/CHAITRA 28, 1909

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या सी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में
रखा जा सके।

Separate Paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as
a separate compilation

भाग II—पार्ट 3—उप-भाग (II)

PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (II)

(रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़ कर) भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों द्वारा जारी किए गए सर्विधिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएँ
Statutory Orders and Notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than
the Ministry of Defence)

विधि और न्याय मंत्रालय

(विधि वार्षिक विभाग)

नई विल्ली, 31 मार्च, 1987

मूलना

का. न्रा. 1004—नोटरीज नियम, 1956 के नियम 6 के अनुनादण
में सकम प्राधिकारी द्वारा यह सूचना दी जाती है कि श्री मंगत राय बत्रा
ने उक्त प्राधिकारी को उक्त नियम के नियम 4 के अधीन एक प्राविदेन इस
बात के लिए दिया है कि उसे मुहरतार अधिसाय करने के लिए नोटरी
के रूप में नियुक्त किया जाए।

2 उक्त व्यक्ति की नोटरी के रूप में नियुक्त पर लिसों सी प्रकार
का आधेन इस मूलना के प्रावाहन के नोटरी दिन के साथ विशिष्ट रूप
में ऐसे पास भेजा जाए।

[सं. 5(29)/87-न्या.]

प्रार. एन. पौड़ार, सकम प्राधिकारी

MINISTRY OF LAW AND JUSTICE

(Department of Legal Affairs)

New Delhi, the 31st March, 1987

NOTICE

S.O. 1004—Notice is, hereby given by the Competent
Authority in pursuance of rule 6 of the Notaries, 1956, that
application has been made to the said Authority, under rule

4 of the said Rules, by Shri Mangat Rai Batra for appoint-
ment as a Notary to practise in Muktesar.

2. Any objection to the appointment of the said person as
a Notary may be submitted in writing to the undersigned
within fourteen days of the publication of this Notice.

[No. F. 5(29)/87-Judl.]

R. N. PODDAR, Competent Authority

विधि मंत्रालय

(राजस्व विभाग)

नई विल्ली, 26 फरवरी, 1987

(आपकर)

का. न्रा. 1005—आयकर अधिनियम 1961 (1961 का 43) की
शारा 10 की उपधारा (23-ग) के बड़े (V) द्वारा प्रदत शक्तियों
का प्रयोग करने के लिए, फेनीय गरकार एवं ग्राम उक्त बड़े के प्रयोजनार्थ
“नेमेली श्री अलावत्वार चेरिटीज, मामल्लापुरम् चेगलीपुद्द” को कर-
निर्वाचित अर्थ 1984-85 से 1986-87 के लिए अधिसूचित करती है।

[सं. 7148(फा. सं. 197/164/84-आ. क. नि. -1)]

बलीप सिंह, विशेष कार्य अधिकारी

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Revenue)

New Delhi, the 26th February, 1987

INCOME-TAX

S.O. 1005.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (v) of sub-section (23C) of Section 10 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government, hereby notifies “Nenmoli Sri Alavandar Charities, Mamallapuram, Chengleput” for the purpose of the said clause for the assessment years 1984-85 to 1986-87.

[No. 7148(F. No. 197/164/84-IT(A1)]
DALIP SINGH, Officer on Special Duty

नई दिल्ली, 18 मार्च, 1987

आदेश

स्टाम्प

का. आ. 1006.—भारतीय स्टाम्प अधिनियम 1899 (1899 का 2) की धारा 9 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ब) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एन्डद्वारा भारतीय एन्डमिनियम कम्पनी लिमिटेड, कलकत्ता को मात्र मात्र लाभ नियमी हजार मात्र सौ पचास रुपये के उम्मेकित स्टाम्प शुल्क की अदायगी करने की अनुमति प्रदान करती है, जो उक्त कम्पनी द्वारा जारी किए जाने वाले प्रत्येक 100 रुपये के मात्र आठ करोड़ छीन मात्र रुपये के अंकित मूल्य के 13.5% भारतीय विभागीय आगिक सम्पर्कों पर स्टाम्प शुल्क के कारण प्रभार्य है।

[सं. 14/87-स्टाम्प-का. सं. 33/11/87-वि. कर]

New Delhi, the 18th March, 1987

ORDER

STAMP

S.O. 1006.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899), the Central Govt. hereby permits the Indian Aluminium Company Limited, Calcutta to pay consolidated stamp duty of rupees seven lakhs, eighty three thousand and seven hundred and fifty only, chargeable on account of the stamp duty on 13.5% Secured Redeemable Partially Convertible debentures of Rs. 100 each of the face value of rupees eight crores and thirty six lakhs only to be issued by the said Company.

[No. 14/87-Stamp-F. No. 33/11/87-ST]

नई दिल्ली, 30 मार्च, 1987

आदेश

स्टाम्प

का. आ. 1007.—भारतीय स्टाम्प अधिनियम, 1899 (1899 का 2) की धारा 9 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ब) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एन्डद्वारा केमोराम इंडस्ट्रीज एण्ड कॉटन फिल्म लिमिटेड, कलकत्ता को मात्र अड्नलीम लाभ पवर्लर हजार रुपये के उम्मेकित स्टाम्प शुल्क की अदायगी करने की अनुमति देती है जो उक्त कम्पनी द्वारा जारी किए जाने वाले मात्र वैसठ करोड़ रुपये के अंकित मूल्य के 15% भारतीय विभागीय सम्पर्कों पर स्टाम्प शुल्क के कारण प्रभार्य है।

[सं. 15/87-स्टाम्प-का. सं. 33/5/87-वि. कर]

New Delhi, the 30th March, 1987

ORDER

STAMPS

S.O. 1007.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899), the Central Government hereby permits the Kesoram Industries and Cotton Mills Limited Calcutta to pay consolidated stamp duty of rupees forty eight lakhs and seventy five thousand only, chargeable on account of the stamp duty on 15 per cent Secured Redeemable Non-convertible debentures of Rs. 100 each of the face value of rupees sixty five crores to be issued by the said company.

[No. 15/87-Stamp-F. No. 33/5/87-ST]

आदेश

स्टाम्प

का. आ. 1008.—भारतीय स्टाम्प अधिनियम, 1899 (1899 का 2) की धारा 9 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (क) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एन्डद्वारा उम्मेकित मूल्य को मात्र करती है जो नेशनल हाउसेंट्रिक्ट्रिक पाथर कार्गोरेण्ट लिमिटेड, नई दिल्ली द्वारा जारी किए जाने वाले मात्र एक सौ पचास करोड़ रु. के मूल्य के 14 प्रतिशत आक्रान्त एन. एच. पी. सी. बंधपत्र (“ए” श्रृंखला) के रूप में उल्लिखित अण्डपत्रों के स्वरूप के बंधपत्रों पर उक्त अधिनियम के प्रत्यंगत प्रभार्य है।

[सं. 16/87-स्टाम्प-का. सं. 33/56/87-वि. कर]

ORDER

STAMP

S.O. 1008.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899), the Central Government hereby remits the duty with which the bonds in the nature of debentures described as 14 per cent secured N.H.P.C. Bonds (A series) to the value of rupees one hundred and fifty crores only to be issued by the National Hydroelectric Power Corporation Limited, New Delhi, are chargeable under the said Act.

[No. 16/87-Stamp-F. No. 33/56/87-ST]

नई दिल्ली, 31 मार्च, 1987

आदेश

स्टाम्प

का. आ. 1009.—भारतीय स्टाम्प अधिनियम, 1899 (1899 का 2) की धारा 9 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (क) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एन्डद्वारा उम्मेकित मूल्य को मात्र करती है जो कनाटक राज्य वित्तीय नियम, बंगलौर द्वारा जारी किए जाने वाले केवल आठ करोड़ अस्ती लाभ रुपये के मूल्य के “40” थों संख्या वाले तथा “11% कनाटक राज्य वित्तीय नियम बंधपत्र 2002” (नीमरी श्रृंखला) के रूप में विनिर्दिष्ट बंधन-पत्रों के स्वरूप के बंधपत्रों पर उक्त अधिनियम के प्रत्यंगत प्रभार्य है।

[सं. 19/87-स्टाम्प-का. सं. 33/9/87-वि. कर]

New Delhi, the 31st March, 1987

ORDER

STAMPS

S.O. 1009.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899), the Central Government hereby remits the duty with which the bonds in the

nature of promissory notes bearing the number '40' and described as 11% Karnataka State Financial Corporation Bonds 2002" (3rd series) of the value of rupees eight crores and eight lakhs to be issued by Karnataka State Financial Corporation, Bangalore are chargeable under the said Act.

[No. 19/87-Stamp-F. No. 33/9/87-ST]

प्रादेशिक

स्टाम्प

का. आ। 1010.—भारतीय स्टाम्प अधिनियम 1899 (1899 का 2) की धारा 9 की उपधारा (1) के बांध (ब) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एवं द्वारा महाराष्ट्र राज्य वित्तीय नियम, वर्षाई को माला चार लाख रुपये हातार पांच सौ रुपये के उस समेकिन स्टाम्प शुल्क की अदायगी करने की अनुमति देती है जो उस नियम द्वारा जारी किए जाने वाले माला पांच मी प्राप्त लाख रुपये के अंकित मूल्य के शुल्कों पर्वों के रूप में कम संभवा 1 से 383 वाले 11% महाराष्ट्र राज्य वित्तीय नियम, वंशपत्र 2001 (47वीं अंश्वत्ता) पर स्टाम्प शुल्क के कारण प्रभार्य है।

[मं. 18/87-स्टाम्प-का. म. 33/10/87-फि. कर]

बी. आर. मेहमी, अवृत्त सचिव

ORDER

STAMPS

S.O. 1010.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of the 1899), the Central Government hereby permits the Maharashtra State Financial Corporation, Bombay to pay consolidated stamp duty of rupees four lakhs, twelve thousand and five hundred only, chargeable on account of the stamp duty on 11% Maharashtra State Financial Corporation, Bonds 2001 (47th series) bearing serial Nos. 1 to 383 in the form of debentures of the face value of rupees five hundred and fifty lakhs only to be issued by the said Corporation.

[No. 18/87-Stamp-F. No. 33/10/87-ST]

B. R. MEHMI, Under Secy.

(आधिक कार्य विभाग)

(बैंकिंग प्रभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 27 मार्च, 1987

का. आ। 1011.—प्रादेशिक प्रामीण बैंक अधिनियम, 1976 (1976 का 21) की धारा-11 की उपधारा 3 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एवं द्वारा श्री एम. आर. बाजेरी की जिनकी धारा-11 की उपधारा (1) तहत शाहजहांपुर क्षेत्रीय प्रामीण बैंक, शाहजहांपुर के प्रबंधक के रूप में नियुक्त की गयी थी। एम. आर. बाजेरी की पद्धति 30-9-86 को समाप्त हो गयी है, 1-10-86 से प्रारम्भ होकर 21-12-86 को तात्पर दृष्टि वाला प्रबंधक के नियुक्ति बैंक का पुनः प्रबंधक नियुक्त करती है।

[संख्या : एफ-2-48/85-आर. आर. बी.]

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC AFFAIRS

(Banking Division)

New Delhi, the 27th March, 1987

S.O. 1011.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section 11 of the Regional Rural Banks Act, 1976 (2 of 1976), the Central Government hereby reappoints Shri S. R. Bajpai whose earlier tenure of three years appointment under sub-section (1) of section 11 had expired

on 30-9-86 as the Chairman of Sahajahanpur Kshetriya Gramin Bank, Sahajahanpur for a further period commencing from 1-10-86 and ending with 21-12-86.

[No. F. 2-38/85-RRB]

का. आ। 1012.—प्रादेशिक प्रामीण बैंक अधिनियम, 1976 (1976 का 21) की धारा-11 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एवं द्वारा श्री मदनलाल चोपड़ा की शाहजहांपुर क्षेत्रीय प्रामीण बैंक, शाहजहांपुर का प्रबंधक नियुक्त करती है तथा 22-12-86 से प्रारम्भ होकर 31-12-89 की समाप्त होने वाली प्रबंधिका विधि को उस प्रबंधि के रूप में नियांसित करती है जिसके बीचार श्री मदनलाल चोपड़ा प्रबंधक के रूप में कार्य करेंगे।

[संख्या एफ-2-38/85-आर. आर. बी.]

S.O. 1012.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 11 of the Regional Rural Bank Act, 1976 (21 of 1976), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Madanlal Chopra as the Chairman of the Sahajahanpur Kshetriya Gramin Bank, Sahajahanpur and specifies the period commencing on the 22-12-86 and ending with the 31-12-89 as the period for which the said Shri Madanlal Chopra shall hold office as Chairman.

[No. F. 2-38/85-RRB]

का. आ। 1013.—प्रादेशिक प्रामीण बैंक अधिनियम, 1976 (1976 का 21) की धारा 11 की उपधारा 2 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एवं द्वारा श्री पी. डी. भादुला की जिनकी धारा-11 की उपधारा (1) के तहत इतावा देतीरा प्रामीण बैंक, इतावा (उ.प्र.) के प्रबंधक के रूप में नियुक्त की गयी थी। एम. आर. बाजेरी की पद्धति 31-7-86 को समाप्त हो गयी है, 1-8-86 से प्रारम्भ होकर 11-2-87 की समाप्त होने वाली प्रबंधिका विधि के तहत उस बैंक का पुनः प्रबंधक नियुक्त करती है।

[संख्या : एफ-2-20/86-आर. आर. बी.]

S.O. 1013.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section 11 of the Regional Rural Banks Act, 1976 (21 of 1976), the Central Government hereby reappoints Shri P. D. Bhadulla whose earlier tenure of three years appointment under sub-section (1) of section 11 had expired on 31-7-86 as the Chairman of Etawah Khetriya Gramin Bank, Etawah (U.P.) for a further period commencing from 1-8-86 and ending with 11-2-87.

[No. F. 2-20/86-RRB]

का. आ। 1014.—प्रादेशिक प्रामीण बैंक अधिनियम, 1976 (1976 का 21) की धारा-11 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एवं द्वारा श्री म. बी. खुरजेकर की इतावा क्षेत्रीय प्रामीण बैंक, इतावा का प्रबंधक नियुक्त करती है तथा 12-2-87 से प्रारम्भ होकर 28-2-90 की समाप्त होने वाली प्रबंधिका विधि को उस प्रबंधि के रूप में नियांसित करती है जिसके बीचार श्री म. बी. खुरजेकर प्रबंधक के रूप में कार्य करेंगे।

[संख्या : एफ-2-20/86-आर. आर. बी.]

S.O. 1014.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 11 of the Regional Rural Banks Act, 1976 (21 of 1976), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri M. B. Khurjekar as the Chairman of the Etawah Kshetriya Gramin Bank, Etawah and specifies the period commencing on the 12-2-87 and ending with the 28-2-90 as the period for which the said Shri M. B. Khurjekar shall hold office as Chairman.

[No. F. 2-20/86-RRB]

नई दिल्ली, 1 अप्रैल, 1987

(Insurance Division)

New Delhi, the 31st March, 1987

का. आ. 1015.—प्राविनियम ग्रामीण बैंक अधिनियम, 1976 (1976 का 21) की धारा 11 की उपधारा 2 द्वारा प्रवत अधिकारी का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार श्री एम. जी. देशपांडे को जिनकी धारा 11 की उपधारा (1) के तहत मराठवाडा ग्रामीण बैंक, नवोह (महाराष्ट्र) के अध्यक्ष के रूप में नियुक्त की गयी थी पहली अवधि 31-12-86 को समाप्त हो गयी है, 1-1-87 से प्रारम्भ होकर 31-12-87 को समाप्त होने वाली अधिकारी के लिये उक्त बैंक का पुनः अध्यत नियुक्त करती है।

[संख्या एक. 2-50/86-प्रार. आर. नी.]

ग्रामीण बैंक मारते जान, प्रबंधन सचिव

New Delhi, the 1st April, 1987

S.O. 1015.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section 11 of the Regional Rural Banks Act, 1976 (21 of 1976), the Central Government hereby re-appoints Shri M. G. Deshpande whose earlier tenure of three years appointment under sub-section (1) of section 11 had expired on 31-12-86 as the Chairman of Marathwada Gramin Bank, Nanded (Maharashtra) for a further period commencing from 1-1-87 and ending with 31-12-87.

[No. F. 2-50/86-RRB]

P. K. TEJYAN, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 31 मार्च, 1987

का. आ. 1016.—भारतीय ग्रामीणिक पुनर्निर्माण बैंक अधिनियम, 1984 (1984 का 62) की धारा 10 की उपधारा (1) के अन्त (ए) के उपचांड (1) के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद्वारा श्री एन. पी. सिंह, संयुक्त राजिय, मराठारी उथम विभाग, उद्योग संकालय, नई दिल्ली को श्री डी. बी. कपूर के स्थान पर भारतीय ग्रामीणिक पुनर्निर्माण बैंक के निदेशक के रूप में नामित करती है।

[संख्या एक. 7/2/87-प्रो. -I]
एम. एस. सीतारामन, अध्यक्ष सचिव

New Delhi, the 31st March, 1987

S.O. 1016.—In pursuance of sub-clause (i) of clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Reconstruction Bank of India Act, 1984 (62 of 1984), the Central Government hereby nominates Shri N. P. Singh, Joint Secretary, Department of Public Enterprises, Ministry of Industry, New Delhi, as a Director of the Industrial Reconstruction Bank of India vice Shri D. V. Kapur.

[No. F. 7/2/87-BO. I]

M. S. SEETHARAMAN, Under Secy.

(श्रीमा प्रभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 31 मार्च, 1987

का. आ. 1017.—जीवन बीमा नियम अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 31) की धारा 4 द्वारा प्रवत अधिकारी का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा श्री एन. के. शिकर, केन्द्रीय प्रबंधक तथा श्री एम. जी. शीशन, कार्यकारी निवेशक को भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम में प्रबंध निवेशक के पद का कार्यभार ग्रहण करने की तारीख से तथा उनके उस पद पर बने रहने की तारीख तक की अधिकारी के लिए नियम के सदस्य के रूप में नियुक्त करता है।

[काईल नं. 108(2)/86-बीमा-4]
जी. सी. शामुमतारी, उप सचिव

S.O. 1017.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 4 of the Life Insurance Corporation Act, 1956 (3) of 1956, the Central Government hereby appoints S/Shri N. K. Shinkar, Zonal Manager and M. G. Diwan, Executive Director, LIC, as Members of the Board of Life Insurance Corporation of India from the date of assumption of charge as and till they hold the post of Managing Directors of the Corporation.

[F. No. 108(2)/86-Ins. IV]

G. C. BASUMATARI, Dy. Secy.

समाजसुलिय केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क : सध्यप्रदेश

झंडोर, 10 मार्च, 1987

अधिसूचना संख्या 2/87

का. आ. 1018.—समाजसुलिय केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क, झंडोर के श्री एम. आय. बेग, परीक्षक रामूह “झंडोर” निवास की भाग्य प्राप्त करते पर विभाग 31-1-87 के अपराह्न में आगामी दो दिन से नियुक्त हो गए।

[पं. सं. II(3)09-गोप/87]

एस. मी. रामाहणन, समाजसुलिय

CENTRAL EXCISE COLLECTORATE : M.P.

Indore, the 10th March, 1987

NOTIFICATION NO. 2/87

S.O. 1018.—Shri M. L. Baig, Examiner, Central Excise Group 'B' of Indore Collectorate having attained the age of superannuation retired from Government service on 31-3-87 in the afternoon.

[C. No. II(3)09-Con/87]

S. V. RAMAKRISHNAN, Collector

उद्योग संचालन

(कम्पनी कार्य प्रिवाग)

गई दिल्ली, 2 अप्रैल, 1987

का. आ. 1019.—एकाधिकार तथा प्रबंधोक्त आपारिक व्यवहार अधिनियम, 1969 (1969 का 54) की धारा 26 की उपधारा (3) के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद्वारा इस अधिसूचना के अनुलम्बक में उल्लिखित अधिकारी द्वारा स्वामित्वी उपकरणों में पंजीकरण के निरस्तीकरण को अधिसूचित करती है।

[सं. 16/12/86-एम-III]

एस. मी. गोयल, अध्यक्ष सचिव

अधिसूचना सं. 16/12/86-एम-III का अनुलम्बक

क्रम संख्या	उपकरणों के स्वामी के नाम	पंजीकरण संख्या
1.	सेवराइट इंस्ट्रांज लि.	1661/83
2.	उत्तर प्रदेश स्टील्स लि.	1542/81
3.	हरीशन एंड क्रासफिल्ड इंडिया लि.	1610/82
4.	मूनिक्षेत्र इंस्ट्रांज लि.	1691/84
5.	टाटा एस ए डीएस सेल्स लि.	147/70

And whereas it appears that for the purpose of laying such pipe line, it is necessary to acquire the Right of User in the land specified in the Schedule annexed hereto :—

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of the Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in the Land) Act, 1962, (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the Right of User therein;

Provided that any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipe line under the land to the Competent Authority, Oil and Natural Gas Commission, Construction and Maintenance Division, Makarpura Road, Baroda-390009.

Any every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wished to be heard in person or by legal practitioner.

SCHEDULE

Pipeline from Well No. Karjisan-I to Well No.
Kalol-126

State : Gujarat Village : Zulasau Taluka -Kadi
District : Mehsana

Village	Survey No.	Hec.	Are	Centiare
1	2	3	4	5
Zulasan	853/3	0	6	30
	853/2	0	12	90
	852	0	6	90
	851	0	15	00
	Cart track	0	0	90
	865	0	6	30
	867	0	9	60
	868	0	12	00
	880	0	6	00
	879	0	6	30
	877	0	20	80
	876/1	0	12	60
	933	0	10	50
	934	0	10	20
	935/1	0	9	60
	936	0	9	80
	938	0	9	60
	875	0	15	90
	Cart track	0	0	90
	645/1	0	9	30
	645/2	0	9	60
	642	0	10	50

[No. O-12016/28/87-ONG-D4]

का.आ. 1022.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि लोकहित में यह आवश्यक है कि गुजरात राज्य में बायर बेड से ग्रोउ बेड तक पेट्रोलियम के परिवहन के लिये पाइपलाइन लेन तथा प्राकृतिक गैस आयोग द्वारा विभाई जानी चाहिए।

और यतः यह प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी लाइनों को विभाने के प्रयोजन के लिये एतदुबाव अनुच्छेद में वर्णित भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार प्रतिनिधित्व करना आवश्यक है।

यतः श्रव पेट्रोलियम और गैज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के प्रधिकार का प्रतिनिधित्व) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपाया (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने के द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उपर्योग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का अपना आवाय प्रदान किया है।

वर्णन के उस भूमि में हितवड़ काई व्यक्ति, उस भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन विभाने के लिये आवश्यक सभी प्राधिकारों लेन तथा प्राकृतिक गैस आयोग, निर्माण और बेंचमाल प्रभाग, मरुशुरु रोड, बड़ौदा-९ को इस अधिसूचना की तारीख से 21 दिनों के भीतर कर सकेगा।

श्रीरामेश्वर करने वाला हर व्यक्ति विनिर्दिष्ट: यह भी कठन करेगा कि क्या यह बह चाहता है कि उगकी मुनवाई व्यक्तिगत रूप से हो या किसी विधि आवश्यकी की चाहत।

अनुच्छेद

बायर बेड से ग्रोउ बेड तक पाइपलाइन विभाने के लिए।

राज्य—गुजरात रिले—मांवरकाठा तालुका—प्रान्तीज

गांव	ब्लॉक नं.	हेक्टर	भार	सेटीयसे
मोयद	937	0	1	54
	936	0	3	60

[स. O-12016/29/87-ओ एन जी डी-4]

S.O. 1022.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum from WIRE BED to ANODE BED in Gujarat State Pipeline should be laid by the Oil and Natural Gas Commission.

And whereas it appears that for the purpose of laying such pipe line, it is necessary to acquire the Right of User in the land described in the Schedule annexed hereto :—

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of the Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in the Land) Act, 1962, (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the Right of User therein;

Provided that any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipe line under the land to the Competent Authority, Oil and Natural Gas Commission, Construction and Maintenance Division, Makarpura Road, Baroda-390009.

Any every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wished to be heard in person or by legal practitioner.

SCHEDULE

Pipeline from Wire Bed to Anode Bed

State: Gujarat District: Sabarkantha Taluka: Prantij

Village	Block No.	Hectare	Are	Centiare
Moyad	937	0	1	54
	936	0	3	60

[No. O-12016/29/87-ONG-D4]

का.आ. 1023.—यतः पेट्रोलियम और बनिज पाइपलाइन भूमि में उपयोग के प्रधिकार का प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपाया (1) के प्रयोग भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम

ओर प्राकृतिक इस संतान्य की अधिकृतता का.आ.सं. 4637 तारीख 12-9-85 द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उस अधिकृतता में संलग्न अनुसूची में विनियिट भूमियों में उपयोग के अधिकार को पाइपलाइनों को विलाने के लिए अंजित करने तथा प्रयत्न आगा घोषित कर दिया था।

ओर यह: यद्यम प्राधिकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन सरकार को रिपोर्ट दे दी है।

ओर आगे, यह: केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात् इस अधिकृतता में संलग्न अनुसूची में विनियिट भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार अंजित करने का विनियिट किया है।

यद्यम, यह: उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्ति को प्रयोग करने हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एन्डोलाइन घोषित करना है कि इस अधिकृतता में संलग्न अनुसूची में विनियिट उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार पाइपलाइन विलाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एन्डोलाइन अंजित किया जाता है।

ओर आगे उस आगा की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार नियन्त्रित करनी है कि उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में नियिट होने की वजाय तेव और प्राकृतिक गैस आप्रोग में, सभी आधारों से मूलत ल्य भै, वाताना के प्रकाशन की इस तारीख को नियिट होगा।

अनुसूची

गोपनीय—गुजरात	जिला एवं तालुका—महसाणा	व्यक्ति का नाम	व्यक्ति का नं.	हेक्टर	धारा	मेट्रीयर्स
हेबुवा			96	0	06	35
			100	0	01	50
			97	0	00	25
		कार्ट ट्रैक	0	00	40	
			99	0	06	20
			106	0	03	75
		कार्ट ट्रैक	0	00	25	
			109	0	08	55
			112	0	03	50
			116	0	05	00
			117	0	02	90
		कार्ट ट्रैक	0	00	25	
			167	0	01	15
			166	0	04	85
		कार्ट ट्रैक	0	00	25	
			165	0	04	90
			160	0	03	60
			178	0	01	15

[नि. आ- 12016/101/85-ज्ञा एन जी-नी-4]

And further whereas the Central Government has, after considering the said report decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by sub-section (1) of the Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further in exercise of power conferred by sub-section (4) of the section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands shall instead of vesting in Central Government vests on this date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil and Natural Gas Commission free from encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

Pipeline from Sobhasan CTF to GGS-I

State : Gujarat District & Taluka : Mehsana

Village	Block No.	Hectare	Acre	Centi- tiare
Hebuba	96	0	06	35
	100	0	01	50
	97	0	00	25
	Cart track	0	00	40
	99	0	06	20
	106	0	03	75
	Cart track	0	00	25
	109	0	08	55
	112	0	03	50
	116	0	05	00
	117	0	02	90
	Cart track	0	00	25
	167	0	01	15
	166	0	04	85
	Cart track	0	00	25
	165	0	04	90
	160	0	03	60
	178	0	01	15

[No. O-12016/101/85-ONG-D4]

का. आ. 1024—यह: पेट्रोलियम और ग्यासिनिंग पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अंतर्गत) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और ग्यासिनिंग पाइपलाइन की अधिकृतता का.आ.सं. 4212 तारीख 9-12-86 द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उस अधिकृतता में संलग्न अनुसूची में विनियिट भूमियों में उपयोग के अधिकार को पाइपलाइनों को विलाने के लिए अंजित करने का प्रयत्न आगा घोषित कर दिया था।

ओर यह: यद्यम प्राधिकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन सरकार को रिपोर्ट दे दी है।

ओर आगे, यह: केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात् इस अधिकृतता में संलग्न अनुसूची में विनियिट भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार पाइपलाइन विलाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एन्डोलाइन अंजित किया जाता है।

यद्यम, यह: उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्ति को प्रयोग करने हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एन्डोलाइन घोषित करना है कि इस अधिकृतता में संलग्न अनुसूची में विनियिट उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार पाइपलाइन विलाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एन्डोलाइन अंजित किया जाता है।

And whereas the Competent Authority has under sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

S.O. 1023.—Whereas by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas S.O. No. 4637 dated 12-9-85 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline,

और आगे उम वारा की उपयात्रा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शर्तों का प्रयोग करने पूर्ण केन्द्रीय सरकार निर्देश देती है कि उन भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहित होने की वजाय तेल और प्राकृतिक गैस आयोग में सभी वाधाओं में सूचा लगा गया हो और प्रकाशन की इस वारीस को निहित होगा।

अनुसूची

दहेज से पालेज तक पाश्चात्य विभारि के लिए

राज्य—गुजरात जिला—भरचूल तालुका—वागरा

गांव	मध्य नं.	हेक्टर	भार. सेन्टीयर्स	
1	2	3	4	5
गारणी	156	0	41	00
	153	0	08	00
	152/पी	0	59	00
	150/ए	0	30	00
	149	0	14	00
	148	0	23	00
	147	0	22	00
	145/बी	0	20	00
	286/बी	0	01	00
	169	0	19	00
	170	0	47	00
	171	0	12	00
	192	0	11	00
	193	0	26	00
	410	0	08	00
	283	0	55	00
	285	0	07	00
	382/ए	0	47	00
	381/बी	0	14	00
	321/बी	0	36	00
	320/पी	0	09	00
	321/ए	0	04	00
	340	0	00	20
	322/ए	0	03	00
	322/बी	0	22	00
	339	0	13	00
	338	0	47	00
	—	—	—	—
	329	0	03	00
	330	0	34	00
	383	0	13	00
	336	0	05	00

[प्रो-12016/214/36-जो एन जी-शि-4]

S.O. 1024.—Whereas by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas S.O. No. 4212 dated 9-12-86 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline.

And whereas the Competent Authority has under sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And further whereas the Central Government has, after considering the said report decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by sub-section (1) of the Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further in exercise of power conferred by sub-section (4) of the section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands shall instead of vesting in Central Government vests on this date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil and Natural Gas Commission free from encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

Pipeline from Dahej to Palej Line

State : Gujarat District : Bharuch Taluka : Wagara

Village	Survey No.	Hectare			Centi- tiare
		1	2	3	
Saran	156	0	41	00	
	153	0	08	00	
	152/P	0	59	00	
	150/A	0	30	00	
	149	0	14	00	
	148	0	23	00	
	147	0	22	00	
	145/B	0	20	00	
	286/B	0	01	00	
	169	0	19	00	
	170	0	47	00	
	171	0	12	00	
	192	0	11	00	
	193	0	26	00	
	410	0	08	00	
	283	0	55	00	
	285	0	07	00	
	382/A	0	47	00	
	381/B	0	14	00	
	321/B	0	36	00	
	320/P	0	09	00	
	321/A	0	04	00	
	340	0	00	20	
	322/A	0	03	00	
	322/B	0	22	00	
	339	0	13	00	
	338	0	47	00	
	—	—	—	—	
	329	0	03	00	
	330	0	34	00	
	383	0	13	00	
	336	0	05	00	

[No. O-12016/14/ONG-D4]

का.पा. 1025.—यतः पेट्रोलियम और अधिकारी पाइपलाइन भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना का.पा.म. 4264 तारीख 27-12-86 द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में निर्दिष्ट भूमियों में उपयोग के अधिकार को पाइपलाइनों को बिछाने के लिए अर्जित करने का अपना आवाय घोषित कर दिया था।

और यतः सधम प्राधिकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन सरकार को रिपोर्ट दी है।

और आगे, यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात् हम अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में निर्दिष्ट भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का विनियम किया है।

अब, यतः उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) धारा प्रवत्त शक्ति का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा घोषित करती है कि हम अधिसूचना में संलग्न अनुसूची में निर्दिष्ट उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोगन के लिए एतद्वारा अर्जित किया जाता है।

अनुसूची

दहोन से पालेज तक पाइप लाइन बिछाने के लिए

राज्य—गुजरात	जिला—भरुच	तालुका—भरुच		
		सर्वे नं.	हेक्टर	मारि
1	2	3	4	5
कारेला				
	18	0	30. 00	
	19	0	40. 00	
	20	0	13. 00	
	21	0	07. 00	
	22	0	20. 00	
	9	0	11. 00	
	24	0	04. 00	
	25	0	32. 00	
	26	0	09. 00	
	5	0	34. 00	
	4	0	24. 00	
	3/ए	0	10. 00	
	3/बी	0	11. 00	
	2	0	01. 00	
कार्ट ट्रैक				
	634	0	10. 00	
	642	0	15. 00	
	645	0	12. 00	
	641	0	32. 00	
	640	0	17. 00	
कार्ट ट्रैक				
	639	0	01. 00	
	कार्ट ट्रैक			
	476	0	02. 00	
	475	0	00. 10	

	1	2	3	4	5
कारेला (जारी)	473	0	18. 00		
	469	0	09. 00		
	470	0	07. 00		
	466	0	16. 00		
	462	0	16. 00		
	459	0	00. 16		
	457	0	26. 00		
	456	0	01. 00		
कार्ट ट्रैक	0	08. 00			
	452	0	12. 00		
	451	0	18. 00		
	450	0	18. 00		
	446	0	12. 00		
	447	0	10. 00		
	441	0	12. 00		
	442	0	01. 00		
	439	0	04. 00		
	440	0	17. 00		

[सं. ओ-12016/218/86-ओ एन जी-सी-4]

S.O. 1025.—Whereas by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum & Natural Gas S.O. No. 4264 dated 27-12-86 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline.

And whereas the Competent Authority has under sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And further whereas the Central Government has, after considering the said report decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by sub-section (1) of the Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further in exercise of power conferred by sub-section (4) of the section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands shall instead of vesting in Central Government vests on this date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil and Natural Gas Commission free from encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

Pipeline from Dakej to Palej

State : Gujarat District : Bharuch Taluk : Bharuch

Village	Survey	Hectare	Are	Centiares
1	2	3	4	5
Karela	18	0	30. 00	
	19	0	40. 00	
	20	0	13. 00	
	21	0	13. 00	
	22	0	20. 00	
	9	0	11. 00	

1.	2	3	4	5
	24	0	04	00
	25	0	32	00
	26	0	09	00
	5	0	34	00
	4	0	24	00
	3/A	0	10	00
	3/B	0	11	00
	2	0	01	00
	Cart track	0	04	00
	634	0	10	00
	642	0	15	00
	645	0	12	00
	641	0	32	00
	640	0	17	00
	Cart track	0	06	00
	639	0	01	00
	Cart track	0	02	00
	476	0	02	00
	475	0	00	10
	473	0	18	00
	469	0	09	00
	470	0	07	00
	466	0	16	00
	462	0	16	00
	459	0	00	16
	457	0	26	00
	456	0	01	00
	Cart track	0	08	00
	452	0	12	00
	451	0	18	00
	450	0	13	00
	446	0	12	00
	447	0	10	00
	441	0	12	00
	442	0	01	00
	439	0	04	00
	440	0	17	00

[No. O-12016/218/86-ONG-D4]

का.आ. 1026. यतः पेट्रोलियम और अन्य पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन भास्त सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना का.आ.म. 4261 तारीख 15-12-86 द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुमूली में विनिविष्ट भूमियों में उपयोग के अधिकार को पाइपलाइनों को विभागने के लिए अंग्रेज करने का अपना आशय घोषित कर दिया था,

और यतः सरकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन सरकार को रिपोर्ट दे दी है;

प्रौद्योगिकी, यन्: केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात् इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुमूली में विनिविष्ट भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार अंग्रेज का विनिपक्ष किया है;

प्रब, प्रतः उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रबल मालिक का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एवं द्वारा घोषित करनी है कि इस अधिसूचना में संलग्न अनुमूली में विनिविष्ट उक्त भूमियों

उपयोग का अधिकार पाइपलाइन विभाग के प्रयोजन के लिए एवं विभाग अंग्रेज किया जाता है;

प्रौद्योगिकी उस धारा की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शासकीय का योग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार निर्देश देती है कि उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहित होने की बाजाय तेत और प्राकृतिक गैस उपयोग में सभी बाधाओं ते मुक्त रूप में, धोगणा के प्रबलाशन की इस तारीख को निहित होगा।

अनुमूली

रेजिस्ट्रेशन से पारेज तक पाइप लाइन विभाग के लिए				
राज्य—गुजरात	जिला—भरचूल	ताम्पुर—वागरा	संख्या	संदर्भ
नांदीडा	517	0	18	00
	519	0	23	00
	516	0	23	00
	514	0	37	00
	513	0	25	00
	502	0	05	00
	501	0	20	00
	479	0	07	00
	472	0	04	00
कार्ट ट्रैक	0	11	00	
कार्ट ट्रैक	0	01	00	
459	0	10	00	
466	0	23	00	
465/ए	0	05	00	
564/बी	0	04	00	
467/ए	0	35	00	
464/ए	0	14	00	
464/बी	0	28	00	
460	0	05	00	
कार्ट ट्रैक	0	11	00	
457	0	06	00	
311	0	22	00	
453/बी	0	02	00	
456	0	23	00	
455	0	11	00	
454	0	24	00	
438	0	06	00	

[सं. O-12016/222/86 यो एन जी शी-4]

पी.के. राजगोपालन, ई स्क अधिकारी

S.O. 1026.—Whereas by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas S.O. No. 4261 dated 15-12-86 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline;

And whereas the Competent Authority has under sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And further whereas the Central Government has, after considering the said report decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by sub-section (1) of the Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said land specified in the schedule appended to this notification hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further in exercise of power conferred by sub-section (4) of the section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands shall instead of vesting in Central Government vests on this date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil and Natural Gas Commission free from encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

Pipeline from Dahej to Palej Line

State : Gujarat District : Bharuch Taluk : Wagara

Village	Survey No.	Hectare	Area	Cen- tiare	1	2	3	4	5
Nandida	517	0	18	00			479	0	07
	519	0	23	00			472	0	04
	516	0	23	00			Cart track	0	11
	514	0	37	00			Cart track	0	01
	513	0	25	00			459	0	10
	502	0	05	00			466	0	23
	501	0	20	00			465/A	0	05
							465/B	0	04
							467/A	0	35
							464/A	0	14
							464/B	0	28
							460	0	05
							Cart track	0	11
							457	0	06
							311	0	22
							453/B	0	02
							456	0	23
							455	0	11
							454	0	24
							438	0	06

[No. O-12016/222/86-ONG-D4]
P.K. RAJAGOPALAN, Desk Officer

कोयल मंत्रालय

(कोयला विभाग)

मई दिल्ली, 2 अप्रैल, 1987

का. आ. 1027:—केन्द्रीय सरकार के कोयला धारक बोर्ड (प्रबंधन और विकास) अधिनियम, 1957 (1957 का 20) की धारा 4 की उपधारा (1), के अधीन, भारत के राजपत्र, भाग 2, खंड 3, उपखण्ड (ii), तारीख 23 मार्च, 1985 में प्रकाशित भारत सरकार के इस्पात, ज्ञान और कोयला मंत्रालय (कोयला विभाग) की प्रतिवृत्ति सं० का. आ. 1246, तारीख 2 मार्च, 1985 परा उससे संलग्न प्रत्यक्षी में तथा इससे उपर्युक्त प्रत्यक्षी में विनिर्दिष्ट परिसीढ़ में 6635.05 एकड़ (लगभग) या 2685.90 हेक्टर (लगभग) माल की भूमि की बाबत कोयले का पूर्वेक्षण करने के अपने प्रांगण की सूचना दी थी;

और उक्त भूमि की बाबत उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 की उपधारा (1) के प्रधीन कोई सूचना नहीं दी गई थी;

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त प्रक्रियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, 23 मार्च, 1987 से प्रारम्भ होने वाली एक वर्ष की और अवधि को ऐसी अवधि के रूप में विनिर्दिष्ट करती है जिसके भीतर केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त भूमि या ऐसी भूमि में या उस पर के किन्हीं अधिकारों का अर्जन करने के अपने प्रांगण की सूचना दें सकती है।

प्रत्यक्षी

ब्राह्मणी बलाक

तलबर कोलफोर्ड (उड़ीसा)

पूर्वेक्षण के लिए अधिसूचित भूमि

क्र. सं.	प्राप्त	थाना	उपमंडल	पाना सं.	जिला	धोत्र	टिप्पणिया
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.	पदभावतीपुर	कोलियरी तालुकर	तालुकर	34	धनकनाल	43.13	भाग
2.	राकास	"	"	92	"	294.50	भाग
3.	श्रालहावनगर	"	"	93	"	293.00	भाग
4.	धून्दावनपुर	"	"	94	"	104.65	संपूर्ण
5.	यदुलालपुर	"	"	103	"	160.00	भाग
6.	त्रिलोचनपुर	"	"	104	"	27.00	संपूर्ण
7.	कान्दाल	"	"	105	"	1464.00	संपूर्ण

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
8. मानिकमरा		कोलियर तालचर	तालचर	110	धनकनाल	30.00	भाग
9. कालील	"	"		119	"	130.00	भाग
10. स्काटलैण्डपुर	"	"		132	"	55.00	भाग
11. विद्याभरपुर	"	"		135	"	71.00	सम्पूर्ण
12. रासौलापुर	"	"		136	"	12.00	सम्पूर्ण
13. बनमालीपुर	"	"		137	"	57.00	सम्पूर्ण
14. बपारथीपुर	"	"		138	"	8.00	भाग
15. जेंगुटिया	"	"		139	"	26.00	भाग
16. शासबेदा	"	"		140	"	217.00	भाग
17. एकावसीपुर	"	"		141	"	151.00	संपूर्ण
18. ब्यानिंधिपुर	"	"		142	"	25.00	भाग
19. दबलीन	"	"		143	"	91.00	सम्पूर्ण
20. बुलारपुर	"	"		145	"	30.00	सम्पूर्ण
21. आनन्दपुर	"	"		146	"	52.00	सम्पूर्ण
22. बालुगा खामर	"	"		147	"	273.00	भाग
23. मदनमोहनपुर	"	"		148	"	200.00	संपूर्ण
24. अनरपिपुर	"	"		149	"	152.00	संपूर्ण
25. नरहरिपुर	"	"		150	"	540.00	संपूर्ण
26. जिलिवा	"	"		151	"	646.00	संपूर्ण
27. आबुमल वहस	"	"		152	"	93.00	संपूर्ण
28. हंसमूल	"	"		153	"	954.00	भाग
29. धम्बामुंडा	"	"		154	"	45.00	भाग
30. भफलवासपुर	"	"		155	"	16.00	भाग
31. लौगीझोडा	"	"		156	"	65.00	भाग
32. बालुगा रायती	"	"		158	"	5.00	भाग
33. मानिक गोडा	"	"		तालचर नगर	"	3.00	भाग
				पालिका			
34. काल्याल (आरक्षित वन)	"	"		"	"	105.00	भाग
35. पतुरिया (आरक्षित वन)	"	"		"	"	28.00	भाग
36. कुलिबासपुर	"	"		"	"	50.00	संपूर्ण
37. कुल्यालक्ष्मपुर	"	"		144	"	93.00	संपूर्ण
38. प्रमोद प्रसाद	"	"		134	"	70.00	भाग
कुल संकेत :				6635.05 एकड़ (लगभग)			
या				2685.07 हैस्टर (लगभग)			

सीमा वर्णन :

क—व रेखा, पद्मावतीपुर ग्राम की पश्चिमी सीमा के भाग के साथ-साथ जाती है और "ज" बिन्दु पर मिलती है।

ख—ग रेखा पद्मावतीपुर, राकास, अल्हावनगर, हंसमूला ग्रामों से होकर जाती है और "ग" बिन्दु पर मिलती है।

ग—घ—क रेखा, हंसमूला, धम्बामुंडा, नकुलवासपुर, लौगीझोडा, बालुगा रायती, बालुगा खामर, शासबेदा, जेंगुटिया ग्रामों से होकर जाती है और "क" बिन्दु पर मिलती है।

घ—च रेखा, जेंगुटिया, मानिक गोडा, तालचर, बपारथीपुर ग्रामों से (जो देउलबेरा कोलियरी की सीमा के साथ सम्मिलित सीमा बनाती है) होकर जाती है और "च" बिन्दु पर मिलती है।

च—ल रेखा, बपारथीपुर, प्रमोदप्रसाद, स्काटलैण्डपुर, औकिल ग्रामों से होकर जाती है और "ल" बिन्दु पर मिलती है।

ल—ज रेखा, कालिल ब्यानिंधिपुर, मानिकमरा, पतुरिया (आ. वन) काल्याल (आ. वन) और पद्मावतीपुर ग्रामों से होकर जाती है और "ज" बिन्दु पर मिलती है।

ज—क रेखा, बंगरजोडा की केन्द्रीय रेखा के भाग के साथ-साथ (जो युनाथपुर ग्राम की पूर्वी सीमा और नरहरिपुर, जिलिवा, खांडुमेल-वहस, हंसमूला, अल्हावनगर, वृन्दावनपुर, राकास और पद्मावतीपुर ग्रामों की उत्तरी सीमा भी है) जाती है और "क" बिन्दु पर मिलती है।

MINISTRY OF ENERGY

(Department of Coal)

New Delhi, the 2nd April, 1987

S. O. 1027:—Whereas by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Steel, Mines and Coal (Department of Coal No. S.O. 1246 dated 2nd March, 1985 under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957) and published in Part II, section 3, sub-section (ii) of the Gazette of India 23rd March, 1985, the Central Government gave notice of its intention to prospect for coal in lands measuring 6635.05 acres (approximately) or 2685.07 hectares (approximately) in the locality specified in the Schedule appended thereto as also in the Schedule hereto annexed.

And whereas in respect of the said lands, no notice under sub-section (1) of section 7 of the said Act has been given;

Now therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 7 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby specifies a further period of one year commencing from 23rd March, 1987 as the period within which the Central Government may give notice of its intention to acquire the said land or any rights in or over such lands.

SCHEDULE

Brahmani Block

Talcher Coalfield (Orissa)

Lands notified for prospecting

Sl. No.	Village	Police Station	Sub-Division	Thana number	District	Area in acres	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.	Padmabatipur	Colliery Talcher	Talcher	34	Dhenkanal	43.13	Part
2.	Rakas	"	"	92	"	294.50	Part
3.	Alhadanagar	"	"	93	"	293.00	Part
4.	Brundabanpur	"	"	94	"	104.65	Full
5.	Jadunathpur	"	"	103	"	160.00	Part
6.	Tiilochanpur	"	"	104	"	27.00	Full
7.	Kandhal	"	"	105	"	1464.00	Full
8.	Manikamara	"	"	110	"	30.00	Part
9.	Kankil	"	"	119	"	130.00	Part
10.	Seatlandpur	"	"	132	"	55.00	Part
11.	Bidyaadharpur	"	"	135	"	71.00	Full
12.	Rasolapur	"	"	136	"	12.00	Full
13.	Banamalipur	"	"	137	"	57.00	Full
14.	Dasarathiipur	"	"	138	"	8.00	Part
15.	Gengutia	"	"	139	"	26.00	Part
16.	Talabeda	"	"	140	"	217.00	Part
17.	Ekadasipur	"	"	141	"	151.00	Full
18.	Dayanidhipur	"	"	142	"	25.00	Part
19.	Dubline	"	"	143	"	91.00	Full
20.	Dullarepur	"	"	145	"	30.00	Full
21.	Anandapur	"	"	146	"	52.77	Full
22.	Balunga Khamar	"	"	147	"	273.00	Part
23.	Madan Mohanpur	"	"	148	"	200.00	Full
24.	Anadipur	"	"	149	"	152.00	Full
25.	Naraharipur	"	"	150	"	540.00	Full
26.	Jillinda	"	"	151	"	646.00	Full
27.	Khandual Bahal	"	"	152	"	93.00	Full
28.	Honsamula	"	"	153	"	945.00	Part
29.	Ambamunda	"	"	154	"	45.00	Part

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
30.	Nakulbasapur	„	„	155	„	16.00	Part
31.	Longijoda	„	„	156	„	65.00	Part
32.	Balunga Rayati	„	„	158	„	5.00	Part
33.	Manikageda	„	„	Talcher Municipality	„	3.00	Part
34.	Kandhal (R. F.)	„	„	—	„	105.00	Part
35.	Paturia (R.F.)	„	„	—	„	28.00	Part
36.	Krutibaspur	„	„	—	„	50.00	Full
37.	Krushna Chandrapur	„	„	144	„	93.00	Full
38.	Pramoda Prasad	„	„	134	„	79.00	Part

Total area : 6635.05 acres
(approximately)

or
2685.07 hectares
(approximately)

Boundary description :

A—B Line passes along the part of western boundary of village Padmabatipur and meets at point 'B'.

B—C Line passes through villages Padmabatipur, Rakas, Alhada-Nagar, Hensamula and meets at point 'C'.

C—D—E Line passes through villages Hensamula, Ambamunda, Nakulbasapur, Longijoda, Balunga Rayati, Balunga, Khamar, Talabeda, Gengutia and meets at point 'E'.

E—F Line passes through villages Gengutia, Manikageda, Talabeda, Dasarathipur (which also forms part of common boundary with Deulbera Colliery) and meets at point 'F'.

F—G Line passes through villages Dasarathipur, Pramoda Prasad, Sootcheripur, Kankil and meets at point 'G'.

G—H Line passes through villages Kankil, Dayanidhipur, Manikamera, Paturia (R.F.), Kandhal (R.F.) and Jadunathpur and meets at point 'H'.

H—A Line passes along the part Central line of Bangarujora (which are also eastern boundary of village Jadunathpur and northern boundary of villages Naraharipur, Jillinda, Khandual Bahal, Hensamula, Alhadanagar, Brundabanpur, Rakas and Padmabatipur) and meet at point 'A'.

[No 43019/15/84-CA]

SAMAY SINGH, Under Secy.

परमाणु ऊर्जा विभाग

मार्च, 1987

का. प्रा. 1028.—गृह मंत्रालय द्वारा जारी की गई तारीख 29 दिसम्बर, 1986 की अधिसूचना मानविधिक शादेश संख्या 6 (ई) के अंडे 2 के साथ पठित परमाणु ऊर्जा अधिनियम 1962 (1962 का 33वां) की द्वारा 1 को उन्नाया (3) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार अर्थात्, 1987 के पहले दिन को बहु तारीख लिखारित करती है जिसको उक्त अधिनियम निकिम राज्य में लागू होगा।

[सं. ए० ई० ए०/1/1/84-ई आर]

एच.ए.डी. सावित्री, संयुक्त सचिव

DEPARTMENT OF ATOMIC ENERGY

Bombay, the 17th March, 1987

S.O. 1028.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause 2 of Notification S.O. No. 6(E) dated December 29, 1986 issued by the Ministry of Home Affairs read with Sub-section (3) of Section 1 of the Atomic Energy Act, 1962 (33 of 1962) the Central Government hereby appoints the first day of April 1987 as the date on which the said Act shall come into force in the State of Sikkim.

[No. AEA/1/IV/84-ER]

H. A. D. SAWIAN, Jt. Secy.

मानव संसाधन विकास मंत्रालय

(शिक्षा विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 3 अप्रैल, 1987

का.या 1029.—विश्वविद्यालय प्रान्तुनान आयोग अधिनियम 1956 (1956 के 3) के खण्ड 6 के उपखण्ड (1) के नाथ पांडित खण्ड-5 के उपखण्ड (1) द्वारा प्रवत्त विभिन्नों का, प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय संस्कार श्री प्रान्तव स्वरूप के स्थान पर श्री अनिल बोदिया, सचिव, मानव संसाधन विकास मंत्रालय (शिक्षा विभाग) को तीन वर्ष की अधिकारी के लिए विश्वविद्यालय प्रान्तुनान आयोग का सदस्य नियुक्त करती है।

[मं. एफ. 10-18/87-डेक्स(गु)]

जे.डी. गुप्ता, संयुक्त सचिव

MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT

(Department of Education)

New Delhi, the 3rd April, 1987

S.O. 1029.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 5 read with sub-section (1) of section 6 of the University Grants Commission Act 1956 (3 of 1956), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Anil Bordia, Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development (Department of Education) to be a Member of the University Grants Commission for a term of three years vice Shri Anand Sarup.

[No. F. 10-18/87-Desk(U)]

J. D. GUPTA, Jt. Secy.

स्थान्य और परिवार कल्याण मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 30 मार्च, 1987

का.या. 1030—केन्द्रीय संस्कार, मानवीय विकास केन्द्रीय परिषद अधिनियम, 1970 (1970 का 48) की छाता 14 की उपधारा (2) द्वारा प्रदत्त विभिन्नों का प्रयोग करते हुए मानवीय विकास केन्द्रीय परिषद से परामर्श लेने के पश्चात् उन्न अधिनियम का दूसरी अनुसूची में निम्नलिखित और संशोधन करती है। अधिनियम:—

उक्त अनुसूची के भाग 1 में, “विलों शीर्ष के अन्तर्गत, आयुर्वेद और यूनानी चिकित्सा पद्धति प्रयोग निकाय, निली गे संबंधित नम मं. 14 के सामने स्थान 2, 3 और 4 में विद्यमान प्रविष्टियों के पश्चात् निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टियां अन्तर्धान की जाएंगी, अधिनियम:—

2	3	4
“आयुर्वेदाचार्य	वी.ए.एम.एस.	1978
(अचलर प्रोफ. आयुर्वेदिक मेडिसल एंड मर्जरी)		

टिप्पणी:—मानवीय विकास केन्द्रीय परिषद अधिनियम, 1970 (1970 का 48) की दूसरी अनुसूची की छाता में संशोधन कर दिया गया है, देखिए:—

- का.या. संख्या 4068 तारीख 30 सिवंबर, 1979
- का.या. संख्या 2635 तारीख 18 मित्रम्बर, 1980
- का.या. संख्या 2313, तारीख 20 अगस्त, 1981
- का.या. संख्या 2314, तारीख 22 अगस्त, 1981
- का.या. संख्या 137 तारीख 29 दिसम्बर, 1981
- का.या. संख्या 638, तारीख 25 जून, 1982
- का.या. संख्या 661, तारीख 2 फरवरी, 1982
- का.या. संख्या 973, तारीख 20 फरवरी, 1982
- का.या. संख्या 354(अ) तारीख 6 मई, 1983
- का.या. संख्या 3550, तारीख 5 सितम्बर, 1983

- का.या. संख्या 804 (अ) तारीख 11 नवम्बर, 1983
- का.या. संख्या 462 (अ) तारीख 23 जून, 1984
- का.या. संख्या 1911 तारीख 17 अप्रैल, 1985
- का.या. संख्या 2745, तारीख 29 मई, 1985
- का.या. संख्या 3404, तारीख 5 जूनाई, 1985
- का.या. संख्या 5603, तारीख 2 दिसम्बर, 1985
- का.या. संख्या 5671, तारीख 5 दिसम्बर, 1985
- का.या. संख्या 832, तारीख 17 फरवरी, 1986
- का.या. संख्या 1832 तारीख 16 अप्रैल, 1986
- का.या. संख्या 1911 तारीख 2 जून, 1987
- का.या. संख्या तारीख

[सं. वी-26015/15/82-ए. ए.]
रामस्वरूप मायूर, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF HEALTH & FAMILY WELFARE

New Delhi, the 30th March, 1987

S.O. 1030.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 14 of the Indian Medicine Central Council Act, 1970 (48 of 1970), the Central Government after consulting the Central Council of Indian Medicine, hereby makes the following further amendments in the Second Schedule to the said Act, namely:—

In Part I of the said Schedule under the heading “Delhi”, against serial number 14 relating to Examining Body, Ayurvedic and Unani Systems of Medicine, Delhi, in columns 2, 3 and 4, after the existing entries, the following entries shall be inserted, namely:—

“Ayurvedacharya B.A.M.S. From 1978 (Bachelor of Ayurvedic Medicine and Surgery) onwards”.

NOTE : The Second Schedule to the Indian Medicine Council Act, 1970 (48 of 1970) has been subsequently amended vide :

- (1) S.O. No. 4068 dated the 30th November, 1979.
- (2) S.O. No. 2635 dated the 18th September, 1980.
- (3) S.O. No. 2313 dated the 20th August, 1981.
- (4) S.O. No. 2314 dated the 22nd August, 1981.
- (5) S.O. No. 137 dated the 24th December, 1981.
- (6) S.O. No. 638 dated the 25th January, 1982.
- (7) S.O. No. 661 dated the 2nd February, 1982.
- (8) S.O. No. 973 dated the 20th February, 1982.
- (9) S.O. No. 354(E) dated the 6th May, 1983.
- (10) S.O. No. 3550 dated the 5th September, 1983.
- (11) S.O. No. 804(E) dated the 11th November, 1983.
- (12) S.O. No. 462(E) dated the 23rd June, 1984.
- (13) S.O. No. 1911 dated the 17th April, 1985.
- (14) S.O. No. 2745 dated the 29th May, 1985.
- (15) S.O. No. 3404 dated the 5th July, 1985.
- (16) S.O. No. 4057 dated the 14th August, 1985.

(17) S.O. No. 5603 dated the 2nd December, 1985.
 18) S.O. No. 5671 dated the 5th December, 1985.
 (19) S.O. No. 832 dated the 17th February, 1986.
 (20) S.O. No. 1832 dated the 16th April, 1986.
 (21) S.O. No. dated the 2nd January, 1987.
 (22) S.O. No. dated the

[No.V.26015/15/82-A]

R. S. MATHUR, Under Secy.

(स्वास्थ्य विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 2 अप्रैल, 1987

का.आ. 1031—केंद्रीय राजकार ने भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 102) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (क) के उपबन्धों के अनुसरण में भीर प्रभित्वम् बंगाल में परामर्श करके, डा. के.के. बहुचार्य, रवास्थ सेवा निदेशक, और सचिव (पदेन) को इस अधिसूचना के जारी किए जाने की तारीख, 6-2-1988 तक भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् का सदस्य नामनिर्दिष्ट किया है।

अतः यदि उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 2 की उपधारा (1) के उपबन्धों के अनुसरण में केंद्रीय राजकार भारत के स्वास्थ्य मंत्रालय की 6 जनवरी, 1960 की अधिसूचना संख्या का.आ. 138 में निम्नलिखित और संशोधन करती है, अर्थात्:—

उक्त अधिसूचना में “धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (क) के अधीन नामनिर्दिष्ट श्री दं दं के अन्तर्गत क्रम संख्या 10 के सामने स्तम्भ 3 में की प्रविटि के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित प्रविटि रखी जाएगी, अर्थात्:—

“डा. के. के. बहुचार्य,
 रवास्थ सेवा निदेशक, और सचिव (पदेन),
 स्वास्थ्य भीर परिवार कल्याण विभाग,
 प्रभित्वम् बंगाल सरकार”

[संख्या वी. 11013/20/86-पम. ई. (पी.)]

(Department of Health)

New Delhi, the 2nd April, 1987

S.O. 1031.—Whereas the Central Government in pursuance of the provisions of clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956) and in consultation with the Government of West Bengal, have nominated Dr. K. K. Bhattacharya, Director of Health Services and Secretary (ex-officio) to be a member of the Medical Council of India with effect from the date of issue of this Notification for a period upto 6th February, 1988 in a casual vacancy;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Health, S.O. 138, dated the 9th January, 1960, namely:—

In the Notification, under the heading ‘Nominated under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 3, against serial number 10, in column 3, for the entry, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

“Dr. K. K. Bhattacharya,
 Director of Health Services and Secretary
 (Ex-officio),
 Department of Health and F.W.,
 Government of West Bengal.”

[No. V-11013/20/86-ME(P)]

का.आ. 1032—यतः भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 102) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ख) के अनुसरण में पंजाब विश्वविद्यालय की सीनेट ने आवाय के.एस. चुग को 21 सितम्बर, 1986 से भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् का सदस्य निर्वाचित किया है।

अतः यदि उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के उपबन्ध में केंद्रीय राजकार एवं दूसरा भारत सरकार के स्वास्थ्य मंत्रालय की 9 जनवरी, 1960 की अधिसूचना संख्या का.आ. 138 में निम्नलिखित और संशोधन करती है, अर्थात्:—

उक्त अधिसूचना में धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ख) के अधीन निर्वाचित शीर्ष के अन्तर्गत क्रम संख्या 9 के सामने स्तम्भ 3 के प्रविटि के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित प्रविटि रखी जाएगी, अर्थात्:—

“प्रोफेसर के.एस. चुग”

नेफोलाज विभाग के अध्यक्ष,
 स्नातकोत्तर संस्थान, चंडीगढ़।”

[संख्या वी. 11013 / 19 / 86 पम. ई. (पी.)]

S.O. 1032.—Whereas in pursuance of the provisions of clause (b) of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), Prof. K. S. Chugh has been elected by the State of Punjab University to be a member of the Medical Council of India with effect from the 21st September, 1986;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Health, No. S.O. 138, dated the 9th January, 1960, namely:—

In the said notification, under the heading ‘Elected under clause (b) of sub-section (1) of Section 3’, against serial number 9 in column 3, for the entry, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

“Prof. K. S. Chugh,
 Head, Department of Nephrology,
 P.G.I. Chandigarh.”

[No. V. 11013/19/86-ME(P)]

का.आ. 1033.—भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 102) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (i) के खण्ड (ख) के उपबन्धों का पालन करते हुए, कर्नाटक विश्वविद्यालय की सीनेट के.एच.बी.राजेश्वर को 29 सितम्बर, 1986 से आकस्मिक रिक्ति पर भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् का सदस्य निर्वाचित किया है।

अतः यदि उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 की उपधारा (i) के उपबन्धों के अनुसरण में केंद्रीय राजकार भारत सरकार के स्वास्थ्य मंत्रालय की 9 जनवरी, 1960 की अधिसूचना संख्या का.आ. 138 में निम्नलिखित और संशोधन करती है, अर्थात्:—

उक्त अधिसूचना में “धारा 3 की उपधारा (i) के खण्ड (ख) के अधीन निर्वाचित शीर्ष के अन्तर्गत क्रम संख्या 4 और उससे संबंधित प्रविटियों के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित क्रम संख्या और प्रविटि रखी जाएगी अर्थात्:—

“4. डा. एच.बी.राजेश्वर,
 आयुर्विज्ञान के अध्यार्थी और
 प्राचार्य, जे.एन.मेडिकल कॉलेज,
 बेलगांव”

[संख्या वी. 11013/2/86/पम. ई. (पी.)]

आर. श्रीनिवासन, इवर सचिव

S.O. 1033.—Whereas in pursuance of the provisions of clause (b) of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), Dr. H. B. Rajeshwar has been elected by the State of Karnataka University to be a member of the Medical Council of India with effect from 29th September, 1986 in a casual vacancy.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Health No. S.O. 138, dated the 9th January, 1960, namely :—

In the said Notification under the heading "Elected under clause (b) of sub-section (1) of section 3", for serial number 4 and the entry relating thereto, the following serial number and entry shall be substituted, namely :—

"4. Dr. H. B. Rajasekhar,
Prof. of Medicine and Principal,
J. N. Medical College,

Belgaum".

[No. V-110B/22/86-ME(P)]

R. SRINIVASAN, Under Secy.

पर्यटन मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 30 मार्च, 1987

का. आ. 1034.—केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय स्थान (अधिकारी प्रधिकारियों की वेकली) अधिनियम, 1971 (1971 का 40) की द्वारा 3 द्वारा प्रवत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार के पर्यटन और नगर विभाग की अधिसूचना नं. का. आ. 1415, तारीख 11 मार्च, 1985 को, उन जातों के सिवाय अधिकारी करते हुए, जिन्हें ऐसे अधिकारण से पश्चले किया गया है या करने का सोप किया गया है, नीचे दी गई सारणी के स्वयं (1) में उल्लिखित अधिकारी जो केन्द्रीय सरकार के राजपत्रित अधिकारी की पंक्ति के बराबर का अधिकारी है को उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनार्थ सम्पदा अधिकारी नियुक्त करती है। महं उक्त सारणी के स्वयं (2) में तत्स्थानी प्रविष्टि में विनियिष्ट सरकारी स्थानों की बाबत अपनी अधिकारिता की स्थानीय सीमाओं के भीतर उह द्वारा प्रवत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करेगा और उन पर अधिगोपित कर्तव्यों का पालन करेगा।

सारणी

अधिकारी का नाम	सरकारी स्थान के प्रवर्ग और अधिकारिता की स्थानीय सीमाएँ
(1)	(2)
महाप्रबंधक (संपत्ति), होटल प्रभाग भारत पर्यटन विभाग विभाग लोकी होटल, लाला लालापत्त ग्राम मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110003	भारत पर्यटन विभाग नियम लि. से. संबंधित या उनके द्वारा पद्धते पर लिए गए सभी परिस्थि जो दिल्ली, चंडीगढ़ संघरशय थोड़ा, जम्मू कर्मसूर लूरियाणा, पंजाब, हिमाचल प्रदेश, उत्तर प्रदेश, मार्ग प्रदेश और राजस्थान राज्यों में अस्थित हैं।

[सं. नं. 1015/6/78-पी.एस.यू.टी.]
पी. सी. शर्मा, अब्दर सचिव

MINISTRY OF TOURISM

New Delhi, 30th March, 1987

S.O. 1034.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3 of the Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants) Act, 1971 (40 of 1971) and in supersession of the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Tourism and Civil Aviation No. S.O.1415 dated the 11th March 1985, excepts as respects things done or omitted to be done before such supersession, the Central Government hereby appoints the officer mentioned in column (1) of the Table below, being an officer equivalent to the rank of gazetted officer of the Central Government to be Estate Officer for the purposes of the said Act, who shall exercise

the powers conferred and perform the duties imposed on Estate Officer by or under the said Act, within the local limits of the jurisdiction in respect of Public Premises specified in the corresponding entry in column (2) of the said Table :

TABLE

Designation of the Officer	Categories of Public Premises and local limits of the jurisdiction
(1)	(2)
General Manager (Estates), Hotels Division, India Tourism Development Corporation Ltd., Lodhi Hotel, Lala Lajpat Rai Marg, New Delhi-110003	All premises belonging to or taken on lease by India Tourism Development Corporation Limited and situated in the Union Territories of Delhi, Chandigarh and the states of Jammu & Kashmir, Haryana, Punjab, Himachal Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh and Rajasthan.

[No. U-11015(6)/78-PSU(T)]
P.C. SHARMA, Under Secy.

संचार मंत्रालय

(दूरसंचार विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 9 अप्रैल, 1987

का. आ. 1035.—स्थानीय ग्राम 627, विनांक 8 मार्ग, 1960 द्वारा लागू किए गए भारतीय तार नियम, 1951 के नियम 434 के अंडे III के पैरा (क) के अनुसार महानिदेशक, दूरसंचार विभाग ने चौपड़ा टेलीफोन केन्द्र, महाराष्ट्र सक्ति, में दिनांक 23-4-1987 से प्रमाणित दर प्रणाली लागू करने का निश्चय किया है।

[संलग्न-5/9/87-पी.एस.यू.टी.]

MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS (Department of Telecommunications)

New Delhi, the 9th April, 1987

S.O. 1035.—In pursuance of para (a) of Section III of Rule 434 of Indian Telegraph Rules, 1951, as introduced by S.O. No. 627 dated 8th March, 1960, the Director General, Department of Telecommunications, hereby specifies 22nd April, 1987 as the date on which the Measured Rate System will be introduced in Chopda Telephone Exchange, Maharashtra Circle.

[No. 5-9/87-PHB]

का. आ. 1036.—स्थानीय ग्राम 627, विनांक 8 मार्ग, 1960 द्वारा लागू किए गए भारतीय तार नियम, 1951 के नियम 434 के अंडे III के पैरा (क) के अनुसार महानिदेशक, दूरसंचार विभाग ने फिलानि टेलीफोन केन्द्र, राजस्थान सक्ति, में दिनांक 23-4-1987 से प्रमाणित दर प्रणाली लागू करने का निश्चय किया है।

[संलग्न-5-6/87-पी.एस.यू.टी.]

पी. आर. कार्ला, सहायक महानिदेशक-(पी.एस.यू.टी.)

S.O. 1036.—In pursuance of para (a) of Section III of Rule 434 of Indian Telegraph Rules, 1951, as introduced by S.O. No. 627 dated 8th March, 1960, the Director General, Department of Telecommunications, hereby specifies 23rd April, 1987 as the date on which the Measured Rate System will be introduced in Pilani Telephone Exchange, Rajasthan Telecom. Circle.

[No. 5-6/87-PHB]
P. R. KARRA, Asstt. Director Genl. (PHB)

सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 25 मार्च, 1987

प्रावेश

का. आ. 1037 :—भारत सरकार के सूचना और प्रगति मंत्रालय के प्रावेश संघर्ष एस. आ. 3792, विसम्बर, 1968 की प्रधम अनुसूची में निर्दिष्ट प्रतीक प्रतिविप्रम के उपबंध के अंतर्गत जारी किए गए निर्देशों के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, फिल्म सलाहकार बोर्ड, बम्बई की सिकारियों पर विवार करने के बावजूद द्वारा इसके साथ लगी अनुसूची के कालम 2 में दी गई फिल्मों को, उनके सभी भारतीय भाषाओं के स्पष्टरों सहित, जिनका विवरण प्रस्तेक के मामले उक्त अनुसूची के कालम 6 में दिया हुआ है, स्वीकृत करती है।—

अनुसूची

क्रम नं.	फिल्म का नाम	फिल्म की लम्बाई	प्रावेशक का नाम	निर्देशक का नाम	संक्षिप्त अपरेक्षा कि क्या वैज्ञानिक फिल्म है या शिक्षा संबंधी फिल्म है या समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म है या डाकू-मेट्री फिल्म है।
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	महाराष्ट्र समाचार संघर्ष 33	283.47	निर्देशक, सूचना और जनसंपर्क नियम, भोपाल		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म/ (मध्य प्रदेश सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)
2.	महाराष्ट्र भूत संघर्ष 402	310.00	सूचना और जनसंपर्क, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, 68 टॉरेच रोड, फिल्म सेटर, बम्बई—400034		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म। (महाराष्ट्र सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)
3.	वार्ता तरंगेनी संघर्ष 67	332.23	आंध्र प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम, 11-5-423/1, जकरवाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैररायाद		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म (आंध्र प्रदेश सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)
4.	प्रदर्शन प्राप्ति	294.44	सूचना और जनसंपर्क नियमान्वयन, उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार, लखनऊ		आकुमेट्री (फिल्म उत्तर प्रदेश सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)
5.	मध्यांतर भोजन योजना	329.18	महाराष्ट्र सूचना नियेशक (फिल्म), रामनारायण लेवोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. ए. बी. रोड, बर्ली, बम्बई—400018	नियेशक, सूचना गुजरात सरकार, मन्त्रिवालय, ब्लांक-7, गांधी नगर	आकुमेट्री फिल्म (गुजरात सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)
6.	ए. निर्गि रिट्रिवर	598.92	अमरहृतारिका, निजारामार, गुजाराटी, असम	अरणाचल फिल्म सोसायटी, इटानगर, अरणाचल प्रदेश	आकुमेट्री फिल्म (सामाचर प्रदर्शन के लिए)
7.	ट्रोडर काराएवर	600.00	फिल्म प्रमाण, 24 पैडर रोड, बम्बई—400026		सामाजिक प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और आकुमेट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
8.	स्वतंत्रता से समृद्धि तक	293.52	धीरेश्वर पांडे, संसर्व बम्बई फिल्म लेवोरेट्रीज, 149, एम. के. बोले रोड, वाराणसी, बम्बई—28	नियेशक, सूचना और जनसंपर्क, उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार, लखनऊ	उत्तर प्रदेश सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और आकुमेट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
9.	हरिति क्रांति	289.56	जयराम चतुर्वेदी, मार्फत बम्बई फिल्म लेज़., 199 एम. के. बोले रोड, वाराणसी, बम्बई—400028	—सर्वेक्षण—	—तर्हय—
10.	महिति चिक्ष सं. 424	243.84	महाराष्ट्र सूचना नियेशक (फिल्म), गुजरात सरकार, रामनारायण लेवोरेट्रीज, लि., डा. एनी बैसेट रोड, बर्ली, बम्बई—400018	नियेशक, सूचना/गुजरात सरकार, मन्त्रिवालय, ब्लांक—7, गांधी नगर	गुजरात सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
11.	उत्पान	487.66	—सर्वेक्षण—	—सर्वेक्षण—	गुजरात सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकू-मेट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।

1	2	3	4	5	6
12.	श्री कामराज	533.1	श्री हृष्णास्थामी, एसोसिएशन प्रा., लि., 11, महाराष्ट्र गांधी रोड, पास्की मार्ग, मुंबई—600041		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
13.	जाग्ना नवारथी साकार	452.32	सहायक सूचना निदेशक, (फिल्म), गुजरात सरकार, रामनाथ गोप्य लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 7, डा. ऐनी बेसेट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई—400018	निदेशक, सूचना, गुजरात सरकार, सचिवालय, ब्लाक-7, गांधी नगर।	गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
14.	जवाहरदारकौम	411.48	—तर्दैव—	—तर्दैव—	—तर्दैव—
15.	नई मेवाएं	296.88	श्रीरेत्र पांडे, मार्फत बम्बई फिल्म लेबोरेट्रीज, 149, एस. के. बोले रोड, बम्बई—400028	श्रीरेत्र पांडे, निर्माता, न्यूजरील, सूचना और जनसंपर्क निदेशालय, उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार, लखनऊ	उत्तर प्रदेश के सर्किट के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
16.	विकास भूमियान	296.57	—तर्दैव—	—तर्दैव—	—तर्दैव—
17.	पांचियों	412.00	श्री क. एम. बोस, बी-67 (एफ-6) पी. एस. आर., हैवराबाद—500028		पांच उत्तर प्रदेश सर्किट के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
18.	सीक्रेट आफ हैरीनेस	99.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई—400026		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
19.	भाषुति	501.39	—तर्दैव—	—तर्दैव—	—तर्दैव—
20.	द फोर स्टैंप्स	291.00	—तर्दैव—	—तर्दैव—	—तर्दैव—
21.	मरत विश्वास	285.29	रमेश तिपाठी, मार्फत, बम्बई फिल्म लैब., 149, एस. के. बोले रोड, वादर बम्बई—28	निदेशक सूचना और जनसंपर्क, उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार, लखनऊ	उत्तर प्रदेश सर्किट के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
22.	उत्तर प्रदेश समाचार 119	285.29	श्रीरेत्र पांडे, निदेशक सूचना और जनसंपर्क, भारत सरकार, लखनऊ		उत्तर प्रदेश सर्किट के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
23.	महिति चिल संघा 425	274.32	सहायक सूचना निदेशक (फिल्म), गुजरात सरकार, रामनाथ गोप्य लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77 डा. ऐनी बेसेट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई—400018	निदेशक, सूचना, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, ब्लाक-8, गांधी नगर।	गुजरात सर्किट के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
24.	कास मानाटास विजिट स्टार सिटी	152.00	श्री अनिल टारे, संयुक्त प्रबन्धक निदेशक, फिल्म सिटी गोरेगाव (पूर्व) बम्बई—400065		महाराष्ट्र सर्किट के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
25.	मारटरहम आफ महाराष्ट्र	285.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई—400026		य मान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
26.	वार्ता तरंगिनी मंड्या 69	237.74	प्रांध्र प्रदेश फिल्म विकास निगम लि. 11-5-423/1, जफर भाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैवराबाद—500004		प्रांध्र प्रदेश सर्किट के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
27.	वार्ता तरंगिनी 68	274.32	—तर्दैव—	—तर्दैव—	—तर्दैव—

1	2	3	4	5	6
28.	महिनि चित्र मंस्या 126	262.13	महायक सूचना निवेशक (फिल्म), गुजरात मरकार, रामनाड़ शोध लेबोरटरी नि., आ. एनी बै सेन्ट रोड, बर्ली, बम्बई-18	निवेशक, मूजगत मरकार मन्दिरालय, ब्लाक 7, गांधी नगर	गुजरात सर्किट के लिए स्वीकृत और भगवार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
29.	बिहार समाचार फिल्म 34	254.81	श्री ज्ञा, फिल्म संपादक, सूचना और जनसंपर्क विभाग, बिहार मरकार, पटना।	निवेशक, जन संपर्क, बिहार मरकार, पटना।	बिहार सर्किट के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
30.	बिहार समाचार चित्र सं. 35	178.92	—तदैव—	—तदैव—	—तदैव—
31.	चनो शानाए	588.26	सहायक निवेशक, रामनाड़ शोध लेबोरटरी नि. आ. एनी बै सेन्ट रोड, बर्ली, बम्बई-400018	गुजरात भरकार सूचना और जनसंपर्क विभाग	गुजरात सर्किट के लिए स्वीकृत आंग लाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
32.	महाराष्ट्र समाचार भव्या 403	280.00	सूचना और जन संपर्क महानिवेशालय महाराष्ट्र सरकार, 68-नोरदेव रोड, बम्बई-400034	निवेशक, सूचना और जनसंपर्क विभाग, भारत सरकार	महाराष्ट्र सरकार के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
33.	ब्रह्मांजलि	218.17	श्री ज्ञा, फिल्म संपादक, बिहार सरकार, सूचना और जनसंपर्क विभाग, भारत सरकार	निवेशक, सूचना और जनसंपर्क विभार भरकार।	बिहार सर्किट के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
34.	वेश्वल आ. राजनेन्द्र प्रसाद	220.28	श्री ज्ञा, फिल्म संपादक, बिहार मरकार, सूचना और जनसंपर्क विभाग बिहार मरकार, पटना	—तदैव—	सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
35.	बिहार समाचार चित्र सं. 36	219.46	श्री ज्ञा, फिल्म संपादक, बिहार मरकार, सूचना और जनसंपर्क विभाग, बिहार मरकार, पटना।	श्री ज्ञा, फिल्म संपादक, बिहार मरकार, सूचना और जनसंपर्क विभाग, बिहार मरकार, पटना।	बिहार सर्किट में प्रदान के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और गांधीय घटनाओं से फिल्म के काम में वर्गीकृत।
36.	ग्रीति और वर्तमान का मंगम	289.25	श्री प्रभाकर, गी 62, फलावर बैगां, चौहा देव, रोड, बर्ली बम्बई-58	श्री बी. बी. शुक्ला, फिल्म निर्माणा, सूचना और जनसंपर्क निवेशालय, उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार।	उत्तर प्रदेश सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
37.	गरीबों के विष्टु मार्वा	301.44	श्री जयराम चतुर्वेदी, फिल्म निर्माणा, निवेशक, सूचना और जनसंपर्क, उत्तर प्रदेश, सरकार, लखनऊ	—तदैव—	—तदैव—
38.	पूर्ण स्वराज्य	541.32	फिल्म प्रदेश, 24 फैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026	—तदैव—	सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
39.	मुहियेण	554.54	एम.पी. मुकुमारन नायर मानापरामपिल, न्यूज़ीलं बलग गारा लौनद्वार, कोट्टायम, केरल-1	—तदैव—	—तदैव—

1	2	3	4	5	6
40.	वार्ता तरंगिनी संख्या 71	306, 30	प्रांध्र प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, जफर बाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-4	प्रांध्र प्रदेश सरकार और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
41.	वार्ता तरंगिनी संख्या 70	288, 10	प्रांध्र प्रदेश राज्य, फिल्म विकास निगम लि. 11-5-423/1, जफर बाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-4	आनन्द प्रदेश सरकार के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
42.	ए ईमर आफ प्लाटी	488, 10	फिल्म प्रभाग 24-डिर रोड, बम्बई-100026	आमान्य प्रवर्णन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
43.	महिति चित्र 427	77	महाराष्ट्र सूचना निदेशक (फिल्म), गुजरात सरकार, शामताई शोध सेवारेट्रीज लि., डा. ऐनी बेसेन्ट रोड, बम्बई-18	गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय बाक ८, गांधी नगर	गुजरात सरकार के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
44.	बड़ा विक्रम	550, 52	गैरमर्स मध्यम सूची बैकम, ११९, नाऊय थैल्ट बाग रोड, टी. नगर, मुंबई-17	गैरमर्स विक्रम, भारत सरकार, २४, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-26	आमान्य प्रवर्णन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
45.	माझी की सीख	57, 00	फिल्म प्रभाग	गैरमर्स विक्रम, भारत सरकार, २४, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-26	आमान्य सर्किट के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
46.	दी प्रेट्रोल भाँच	580, 00	—तदैय-	—तदैय-	—तदैय-
47.	महाराष्ट्र समाचार संख्या 404	196, 00	मूचना और जनसंपर्क निदेशालय महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेटर, ६८, तारवेद रोड, बम्बई-34	महाराष्ट्र सरकार के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
48.	जाग उठा भाष्याल	186, 46	मैसर्स कैन्टीनिया, २/१०, अरबांडी निवास, ४०, एस.जी. रोड, बम्बई-16	मैसर्स कैन्टीनिया, ३, कूल इन अपार्टमेंट्स, वाटर फील्ड रोड, बम्बई-50	आमान्य प्रवर्णन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
49.	सर्वे भाक इंडिया	531, 34	मैसर्स कैन्टीनिया, ३ कूल इन अपार्टमेंट्स, वाटर फील्ड रोड, बम्बई-50	भा. कंध. अन्नलालम, ३, कूल इन अपार्टमेंट्स, वाटर फील्ड रोड, बम्बई-50	—तदैय-
50.	महिति चित्र 428	286, 51	महाराष्ट्र सूचना निदेशक (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामनाई शोध सेवारेट्रीज लि., ७७-डा. ऐनी बेसेन्ट रोड, वर्णी, बम्बई-18	निदेशक, सूचना, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, बाक ७, गांधी नगर	गुजरात सरकार के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
51.	वार्ता तरंगिनी 73	169, 91	प्रांध्र प्रदेश राज्य विकास निगम लि. 11-5-423/1, जफर बाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-500004	प्रांध्र प्रदेश सरकार और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
52.	सुखद साधन	62, 18	फिल्म प्रभाग, २४ पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400028	प्रांध्र प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि. 11-5-423/1, जफर बाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-4	आमान्य प्रवर्णन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
53.	वार्ता तरंगिनी 72	269, 91	प्रांध्र प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि. 11-5-423/1, जफर बाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-4	प्रांध्र प्रदेश सरकार और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	

1	2	3	4	5	6
54. थ्रीडोमिक फिल्म	288.00	सूचना और जनसंपर्क विभाग, उत्तर प्रदेश, सरकार, लखनऊ।			उत्तर प्रदेश सर्किट के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
55. अनन्त ऊर्जा	293.53	—तरीका—	—तरीका—		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
56. दूध मां का	92.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, 24 पैकर रोड, बम्बई-400026			—तरीका—
57. पारंपरिक एण्ड ब्रोडकॉम्पैक्ट्स	599.00	—तरीका—	—तरीका—		—तरीका—
58. संत वास गन्	431.00	सूचना और जनसंपर्क निदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर, 68 नारदेव रोड, बम्बई-400034			महाराष्ट्र सर्किट के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
58ए. विकासानगुला संकेता	483.37	पैसर्स थी विरुमाला भाट फिल्म, 10-4-503/1, श्री रामनगर कालोनी, हुमायूं, नगर, हैदराबाद-6			‘प्रमाणेत्री चलवा’ पीर्ष के अंतर्गत फिल्म की 2-8-83 को स्वीकृति के लिए मूल रूप में निकारित की गई। आवेदक प्रपते प्रत्या दिताक 9-3-85 के द्वारा थीर्ष का “विकासानगुला संकेता,” को बदलना चाहता है।
59. उमाज/समाज	347.5	ओम फिल्म्स मार्केट याकूरी बंगला, महाराष्ट्र सरकार मार्केट मेहना रोड, साताकुज बम्बई-54	निदेशक (फिल्म) संकालय, प्रशासनिक भवन के मामने, बम्बई-32		महाराष्ट्र मर्किट से प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
60. आचम आचार्यिम	268.1	कौमुदी फिल्म कौमुदी विस्त्रिंग पेडाम, लिवेन्ट्रम			कर्ल सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
61. गुजरात ना विहार धारा	565.10	सहायक सूचना निदेशक, (फिल्म) सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार गुजरात सरकार, रामलाल धोष लिंगोटीज लि., 72, डा. ऐंटी बेसेट रोड, बम्बई-400018	संचिवालय, ज्वाला 7 गांधीनगर 382010		गुजरात में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
62. भाहवान	335.00	सूचना और जनसंपर्क महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर, 68, तारकेव रोड, बम्बई-400034			महाराष्ट्र मर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
63. आर्डिनेसेस एरेस्टस एण्ड इलेक्शन्स	397.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, 24 पैकर रोड, बम्बई-400026			मामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
64. संत कर्मार	600.00	सूचना और जनसंपर्क महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, 68, तार देव रोड, बम्बई-34			महाराष्ट्र मर्किट के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
64ए. बाबूराव पेटर	575.00	—तरीका—	—तरीका—		महाराष्ट्र सर्किट के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
65. टेलीकॉन एंडबर्नेट्स एण्ड एसेमरीज	300.00	डा. पी. राव एसेमरीज ए 1/3, फैट सं. 4, मेन रोड, बंसत नगर भद्राम-600090			मामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।

1	2	3	4	5	6	
66. दे पंगारी परवाग	296.00	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क भारतीय निवेशक, महाराष्ट्र, भरकार, फिल्म सेंटर महाराष्ट्र संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत	68, नारदेश रोड, बम्बई-400034			
67. महिति चिक्क-429	298.70	महायक सूचना निवेशक (फिल्म), गुजरात भरकार, गमधार शोध सेवोरे-ट्रॉव लि., 77, डा. एनी बेसेंट रोड, बम्बई-18		गुजरात संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।		
68. प्रर्वानाद	316.22	के. के. फिल्म, फिल्म हिंदीजन आफ प्रियत माइस्ट्रीफिल्म ट्रॉडर्स, लखनऊ रोड-नूना।		महाराष्ट्र संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।		
69. एकाय उपाय	36.57	—तर्थव—	—तर्थव—	—तर्थव—	—तर्थव—	
70. डाक्टर	19.82	—तर्थव—	—तर्थव—	—तर्थव—	—तर्थव—	
71. माटी कहे फिल्म से	503.80	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क निवेशक, भारत भरकार, लखनऊ।		उत्तर प्रदेश गकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।		
72. लैंड रिफार्मेंस	283.46	आ. पी. के. गजहंस टी. डी. टेलीविजन, फिल्म आफ ईडिया, पी. डी. नं. 6819, सल्तन नगर (ई), बम्बई-55		गामाय प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।		
73. अम एथ जयसे	599.85	सहायक सूचना मिनेपक (फिल्म), गुजरात भरकार, रामनार्ह शोध सेवोरेट्रीज लि., 77 डा. एनी बेसेंट रोड, बम्बई-18		गुजरात संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।		
74. अम्बाजी अहूवा	599.85	—तर्थव—	—तर्थव—	—तर्थव—	—तर्थव—	
75. एकत्रा	257.75	पालीबाल मिचनस, 25 अम हिलाल भवनारा रिसोर्सेजन, बम्बई-50		भारतीय प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री के रूप में वर्गीकृत।		
76. विनोदा भावे दि फैम	561.84	फिल्म प्रभाग, 24 पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026		—तर्थव—		
77. सुर्जी जीवनाचे रहस्य	160.00	सी. ए. के. फिल्म, 10 बी. जीलीमिला अपार्टमेंट, नं. 1 केके बरेक, बम्बई-5		—तर्थव—		
78. बहरी धारा	487.68	सहायक सूचना निवेशक (फिल्म), गुजरात भरकार, रामनार्ह लोगोरेट्रीज लि., 77 डा. एनी बेसेंट रोड, लखनऊ बम्बई-18	सूचना निवेशक गुजरात भरकार सचिवालय, लखनऊ, गांधी नगर	गुजरात संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।		
79. वार्ता तरगिनी 74	292.68	आनंद प्रदेश राष्ट्र फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, अफराबाग, लखनऊ का पुल, हैदराबाद-5		भारतीय प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।		
80. वर्ष संकिटा	361.28	—तर्थव—	—तर्थव—	—तर्थव—	—तर्थव—	
81. कल्पटीट्युशनल एक-परीक्षिट	542.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, 24 पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026		भारतीय प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।		
82. भक्ति भक्ता	457.20	भायक सूचना निवेशक, गुजरात भरकार, रामनार्ह शोध सेवोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. एनी बेसेंट रोड, लखनऊ, बम्बई-400018	सूचना निवेशक, गुजरात भरकार मिचिवालय, लखनऊ-7, गांधी नगर	गुजरात संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।		
83. सौदावंद करो	229.80	मुण्डी पुमार लिकेट्री, 152, गोविंद नगर, लखनऊ।		भारतीय प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।		

1	2	3	4	5	6
84. बड़ती भाषाओं	294. 44	श्री भीम सेन, फिल्म, 22-23, थोक्ष चैम्बर, तारदेव, बम्बई-34	निदेशक, गृजना गुजरात सरकार	गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए	—तथैव—
85. रिवट इंडिया	570. 68	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, 24, पेटर रोड, बम्बई-26	गविन्दानन्द	स्वीकृत और समाचार और	—तथैव—
86. महिनि चित्र-430	298. 70	महायक सूचना निदेशक, (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार	निदेशक, गृजना गुजरात सरकार	मासाध्यक और समाचार और	मासाध्यक और समाचार और
		गमनार्ड शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. एनी बेसेट रोड वर्ली, बम्बई-400018	गविन्दानन्द	सामायिक घटनाओं की फिल्म	सामायिक घटनाओं की फिल्म
87. भारापूर न्यूज नं. 405	243. 00	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म मेंटर, 68, तारदेव रोड, बम्बई-34	सूचना निदेशक, गृजना गुजरात सरकार	महाराष्ट्र सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए	स्वीकृत और समाचार और
88. महिनि चित्र 431	274. 32	महायक सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, गमनार्ड शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. एनी बेसेट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-18	गमनार्ड शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., डा. एनी बेसेट रोड वर्ली, वर्ली, बम्बई-18	सामायिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के	सामायिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के
89. जून जाषु द्वारा मरण लगानी	297. 50	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म मेंटर, 68-तारदेव रोड, बम्बई-34	महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म मेंटर	रूप में वर्गीकृत।	रूप में वर्गीकृत।
90. हिमानल निर्माता डा. यसवन्त सिंह परमार	585. 22	मरजु प्रसाद, फिल्म जनसम्पर्क श्री प्रतार पिंड, निदेशक, जनसम्पर्क, और फोटोग्राफर, विभाग/हिमानल हिमानल प्रदेश, सरकार।	मरजु प्रसाद, फिल्म जनसम्पर्क श्री प्रतार पिंड, निदेशक, जनसम्पर्क, और फोटोग्राफर, विभाग/हिमानल हिमानल प्रदेश, सरकार।	मासाध्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और	मासाध्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और
91. प्रथम द्वाम्य महासमा	298. 00	प्राप्ति प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म निगम लि., 11-5-423-1, जफरवाहा, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-4	प्राप्ति प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म निगम लि., 11-5-423-1, जफरवाहा, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-4	सामायिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	सामायिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
92. बार्टा तर्गिली 76	275. 91	—तथैव—		—तथैव—	—तथैव—
93. गुजरात सागर दर्शन	590. 85	महायक सूचना, निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, रामननार्ड शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., गांधी नगर।	गृजना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार सूचनालय, ड्लाक 7, गांधी नगर।	गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए	गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए
94. स्पूलोरिजन	287. 00	मुरील घोष, 6/12, वरसोव ब्यू. फोर बंगला, अंगरी, बम्बई-28		स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
95. विहार समाचार चित्र सं. 37	194. 94	श्री एम. आ फिल्म सम्पादक, विहार सरकार, पटना।	निदेशक, सूचना और जनसम्पर्क, विहार सरकार, पटना-15।	—तथैव—	—तथैव—
96. काला पानी-ए पिल्पिमेट	544. 00	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, 24 पैटर रोड, बम्बई-400026।		बिहार सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामायिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	बिहार सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामायिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
97. एकता की गंज	230. 00	जे. एन. श्रीवास्तव विश्वेश्वर कुटीर/ कुट्टीरी रकाबगंज, लखनऊ-4		—तथैव—	—तथैव—
98. जय हे बापुना	600. 00	दिलीप दत्ता, टिवाप्र फिल्म, 22/239, सिद्धार्थ मार, 4 गोरेगांव (हालू), बम्बई, 400022।		उडीमा सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	उडीमा सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
99. विकास में महाभारत	285. 07	श्री एम. आ, फिल्म सम्पादक, विहार सरकार, पटना।	निदेशक, सूचना प्री. जनसम्पर्क, विहार सरकार, पटना।	विहार सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	विहार सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
100. समझत	252. 94	—तथैव—		—तथैव—	—तथैव—
101. वी. विल प्रिंजर्स ट्रेस फार वोस्टरिटी	369. 00	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म मेंटर, 68 तारदेव रोड, बम्बई-34		सामायिक प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	सामायिक प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।

1	2	3	4	5	6
102. महिति चित्र 432	221.65	महाराष्ट्र सूचना निदेशक (फिल्म), गुजरात सरकार, रामनाड़ी शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77 डा. एनीवेसेंट रोड, वर्ली बम्बई-18	निदेशक, सूचना, गुजरात सरकार मचिनालय, ड्वाक-7, गोधी नगर।	गुजरात सरकार में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत	
103. महाराष्ट्र चूज संस्कार 406	265.00	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क निवेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर, 68, तारंदेव रोड, बम्बई-34		महाराष्ट्र सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत	
104. चूसूर चित्रिका	551.08	शकील प्रोडक्शन, शकील लां, अशरफ स्ट्रीट्यो, महिम स्टेशन के सामने, बम्बई।		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत	
105. भूगर्भ जलालू	252.14	आनंद प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास, निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, जफरबाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद- 500004		आनंद प्रदेश सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
106. और अगर	298.09	बीना भवन, 1/13 गुरु नगर फोर बंगला, वर सोवा रोड, अंधेरी (डब्लू), बम्बई-400058	दयाभाई भक्ता, 1/13, गुरु नगर, बंगला वरसावा रोड, अंधेरी (डब्लू) बम्बई-400058	मामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत	
107. हू और डाई	604.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, 24 पिंडर रोड, बम्बई-400026		—तथैव—	
108. कुछ लोड़ों बरभाग	410.98	महाराष्ट्र सूचना निदेशक, (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामनाड़ी शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. एन वेसेट रोड, वर्ली बम्बई-400018	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, गुजरात।	गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
109. मध्य प्रदेश समाचार दर्शन-54	262.13	आनन्द हृषीकालने, भारत निदेशक सूचना और प्रचार, भोपाल।	निदेशक, सूचना और प्रचार मध्य प्रदेश सरकार, भोपाल।	मध्य प्रदेश में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत	
110. आर्टी नरंगिनी 75	285.06	आनंद प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-123/1 जफरबाग, हैदराबाद		आनंद प्रदेश में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत	
111. मानानी मान्गू गाडा	152.40	—तथैव—		आनंद प्रदेश सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत	
112. गुप्त दान	507.60	महाराष्ट्र एमो इंडस्ट्रीज डेवलपमेंट कार्पोरेशन लि., राजन हाउस, तीसरा तल, प्रभावी, बम्बई-25		महाराष्ट्र सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
113. महिति चित्र 433	204.22	महाराष्ट्र निदेशक, (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामनाड़ी शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. एन वेसेट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-1	निदेशक, सूचना गुजरात सरकार मचिनालय ड्वाक-7 गोधी नगर	गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत	
114. मध्य प्रदेश समाचार दर्शन-55	239.57	निदेशक, सूचना और प्रचार, मध्य प्रदेश सरकार, भोपाल।		मध्य प्रदेश सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत	
115. स्वामीं रामसीर्थ	489.51	निदेशक सूचना और प्रचार, उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार, पटनाड़ी		मामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म ते रूप में वर्गीकृत	

1	2	3	4	5	6
116	फैने रमण गोगांवी	293. 05	सूचना और जनसंपर्क मंत्रालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेन्टर, 68, तारंगेर रोड, बम्बई-400034		महाराष्ट्र सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म में रूप में वर्णित।
117	मैरोरिंग पाक एं मैग्न. फीसिपैल्ट प्रा.	599. 00	फिल्म ब्रांग, भारत सरकार, 24 पैकड़ रोड, बम्बई-400026		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्णित।
118	ब राईट थे	107. 00	— नवेश —		— तर्जनि —
119	एन चेपोली भोप पार	396. 24	महायक सूचना निदेशक, (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामनार्ड फोटो लेबरेट्रीज लि., 77 डा. ऐसी ब्रेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-40008	निदेशक, सूचना गुजरात सरकार मञ्चियालय, ब्लाक 7, गांधी नगर मेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-40008	गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत श्रीरामचार और सामिक घटनाओं को फिल्म के रूप में वर्णित।
120	परविरण	499. 87	श्री अयराम घुर्मेंदी, सूचना और जनसंपर्क विभाग, उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार लखनऊ।	निदेशक, सूचना और जनसंपर्क, उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार, लखनऊ।	सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत श्रीरामचार और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्णित।
121	न्यू होरिजन	287. 00	सूनील घोष, 6/12 वरसोवा न्यू फोट बंगला, अंधेरी बम्बई-58		— नवेश —
122	श्री आर बन	366. 87	श्री ललिताकला विकास, बैकटारमन कालीगी, हैदराबाद-4		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत श्रीरामचार और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्णित।
123	कैटरेक्ट	295. 65	आनंद प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, जफरबाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-4		आनंद प्रदेश गर्फिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्णित।
124	महिति वित्र 434	176. 78	महायक-सूचना निवेशक (फिल्म), गुजरात सरकार, रामनार्ड फोटो लेबरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. ऐसी ब्रेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-18	निवेशक सूचना, गुजरात सरकार मञ्चियालय, ब्लाक-7, गांधी नगर मेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-18	गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्णित।
125	एंड याम्बे तेकर स्टोप	317. 07	सूचना श्रीरामसंपर्क निदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेन्टर, 68, तारंगेर रोड, बम्बई-400034		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत श्रीरामसंपर्क निदेशालय को फिल्म के रूप में वर्णित।
126	दी राहे	290. 00	प्रकन्दन चम्बरे, मार्फन पा. आर. सामित, 3 आनन्द आजार, कावेज रोड, दादर, बम्बई-28	ला. अमण नाइक मानी, नासिक मेडिकल काउन्सिल सरकार भवन, गिरवजी रोड, नासिक।	— नवेश —
127	राईटिंग श्राफ श्रीक दिराज	593. 14	श्री श्री. डी. गारमा, डी-11 कामरे मैटर, तारंगेर रोड, बम्बई-14		— तर्जनि —
128	मी.आर.पी.एक.	454. 00	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, 24 पैकड़ रोड बम्बई-400026		— नदेश —
129	ओवर एस्लामिक हेरिटेज	537. 00	— नवेश —		— नवेश —
130	नंडी बहुमानिता प्रवानों स्केप-84 (नंडी फिल्म अवाई-84)	420. 63	आनंद प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, जफरबाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-4		आनंद प्रदेश सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत श्रीरामचार और सामिक घटनाओं को फिल्म के रूप में वर्णित।
131	संचिका वर्ता 10	344. 43	आनंद प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1 जफरबाग लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-4		आनंद प्रदेश सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत श्रीरामचार और सामिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्णित।
132	वार्ता तरंगिनी 177	265. 00	— नदेश —		— नदेश —

1	2	3	4	5	6
133. नहीं नहीं	304. 80	महायक सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात भरकार रामतार्ड शोध लेबरेटोरीज लि., 77 डा. एनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, वम्बई-18	निदेशक, सूचना गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, ड्लाक 7, गांधीनगर लि., 77 डा. एनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, वम्बई-18	गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत	
134. ममृद्विष्या वार्षा	490. 00	सूचना और जनसंवर्क महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर, वम्बई-34			महाराष्ट्र सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
135. ट्रूडर्ड फीडम	598. 05	फिल्म प्रभाग, 24 पैडर रोड, वम्बई-400026			सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
136. ग्रीनर कर्नाटक	151. 88	राष्ट्रीय फिल्म इंडरेनेशनल, मिस्ट्री रोड वम्बई	मेनगिन, 107, महात्मा गांधी		कर्नाटक सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
137. महिति चित्र 435	285. 66	महायक सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात भरकार रामतार्ड शोध लेबरेटोरीज लि., 77, डा. एनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, वम्बई-18	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, सचिवालय, ड्लाक-7, गांधी नगर	गुजरात, सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामरिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
138. बाती तरलगांव 78	269. 14	आन्ध्र प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, जफरबाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद			आन्ध्र प्रदेश सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामरिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
139. डीएसस-1	80. 00	मुख्य प्रोड्यूसर, फिल्म प्रभाग, 24, पैडर रोड, वम्बई-26			कर्नाटक सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
140. ए पिल ए ई	142. 00	-तदेव-			सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
141. मन की आत	180. 00	- तदेव-			- तदेव-
142. जी हाँ	77. 00	- तदेव-			- तदेव-
143. इथिया निनस शोहम	598. 00	मुख्य प्रोड्यूसर, फिल्म प्रभाग, 24-पैडर रोड, वम्बई-400026			सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
144. द बैलून	181. 35	- तदेव-			- तदेव-
145. द्राइ स प्रॉफ टेक्स वाला	560. 00	- तदेव-			- तदेव-
146. बाजा जस्ता सिंह अहसूशालिया	586. 40	एशियन फिल्म, 72, जनपथ, नई दिल्ली			सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
147. महाराष्ट्र समाचार संख्या 407	280. 00	सूचना और जनसंवर्क महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर, 68 तारेव रोड, वम्बई-34			महाराष्ट्र सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामरिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
148. महिति चित्र संख्या 436	213. 36	सूचना, उपनिदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, निदेशक, सूचना गुजरात नरकार धनराज महेत जी. आर. एफ. एल. सचिवालय, ड्लाक-7, गांधी नगर धनपति शिवाजी महाराज मार्ग, वम्बई-39			गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामरिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
149. बार्षा तरंगिनी 79	295. 66	आन्ध्र प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, जफरबाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद			आन्ध्र प्रदेश सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामरिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।

1	2	3	4	5	6
150.	जिला उद्योग केन्द्र एक गाइड	280.41	श्री अमित खान, भैमसे आर्ट फिल्म्स, बम्बई-28	146, प्र० के. भोजे नेंद्र,	महाराष्ट्र संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
151.	मन्त्रिति चित्र 437	281.94	महायक सूचना निदेशक, (फिल्म, गुजरात सरकार, गमनार्ड शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77 डा. एनी बैंसेट रोड, बर्ली- 18	निदेशक, सूचना गुजरात सरकार मन्त्रिवालय, ब्लाक-7, गांधी नगर	गुजरात संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत
152.	मध्य प्रदेश समाचार दर्शन 57	248.10	आनन्द डाक्टवाले, कैमरा मैन, सूचना और प्रचार महानिवेशलय	सूचना और प्रचार निवेशक, मध्य प्रदेश सरकार, भोपाल	मध्य प्रदेश संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
153.	मध्य प्रदेश समाचार दर्शन 56	256.64	—तदेव—	—तदेव—	—तदेव—
154.	माटी तेरे रूप अनेक	298.90	श्री पैच. शार. सरीन, सरीन प्रोडक्शन, 4 पोदार रोड, 612, 9249, शाहवनतना, मानताकुज, बम्बई-54	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार मन्त्रिवालय, ब्लाक-7, गांधी नगर	मामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
155.	मान्त्रिनिधि संस्था 438	265.18	महायक सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार गमनार्ड शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. एनी बैंसेट रोड, बर्ली, बम्बई-18	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार मन्त्रिवालय, ब्लाक-7, गांधी नगर	गुजरात संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
156 प.	वार्ता तरंगिनी 81	240.79	—तदेव—	तदेव—	तदेव—
156.	वार्ता तरंगिनी 80	271.28	आनन्द प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, जफरवाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद		आनन्द प्रदेश संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
157.	उद्यगिरी के गैव बैष्णवत गुफा संदर्भ	306.93	श्री प. क. गोतम, मार्केट, नरसंद्र गोपल, डी-2, चंद सोसायटी, गूदा, बम्बई	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार मन्त्रिवालय, ब्लाक-7, गांधी नगर	मामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
158.	महाराष्ट्र समाचार संख्या 408	293.00	सूचना और जनसंपर्क निदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर, 68, नारदेव रोड, बम्बई-59		महाराष्ट्र संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
159	४ प्रिन्टर गांधी	550.00	मुक्त प्रोड्यूसर, फिल्म प्रभाग, २४, पैंडरराइ, बम्बई-400026		मामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
160.	शो डाउन एट सनसैट	365.00	—तदेव—	—तदेव—	—तदेव—
161.	वार्ता तरंगिनी 82	289.63	आंध्र प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, जफरवाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद		आंध्र प्रदेश संकिट के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
162.	मन्त्रिति चित्र 439	298.70	महायक सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार गमनार्ड शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, एनी बैंसेट रोड, बर्ली, बम्बई-18	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार मन्त्रिवालय ब्लाक-7, गांधी नगर	गुजरात संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
163.	आवति कालोड गुशाशत	187.68	महायक सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, गमनार्ड शोध लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. एनी बैंसेट रोड, बर्ली, बम्बई-18	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार मन्त्रिवालय ब्लाक-7, गांधी नगर	गुजरात संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
164.	समने पल न्याय अभियं	490.70	—तदेव—	—तदेव—	—तदेव—

1	2	3	4	5	6
165.	पावर टू द पीपुले	447. 60	मुख्य प्रोड्यूसर, फिल्म प्रभाग, 24,	पैट्र. रोड, बम्बई-26	गामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
166.	सही रुग्नता	67. 68	-तदेव-		-तदेव-
167.	धर्म कुल (होम पार्स होमलैम)	301. 00	निदेशक, सूचना और जनसंपर्क, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेटर, 68-तारदेव रोड, बम्बई-34	महाराष्ट्र सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
168.	महिति चिक्क संख्या 440	259. 08	महायक सूचना निदेशक, गृज रात सरकार, रामनाथ और तारदेव रोड, बम्बई-18	सूचना निदेशक, गृज रात सरकार, सचिवालय, ब्नाक-7 गांधी नगर नि. 77, डा. एनी बेसेट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-18	गृज रात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
169.	वार्ता तरशिनी संख्या 83	289. 63	आन्ध्र प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-123/1, अकर वार्ग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद		आन्ध्र प्रदेश में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और गमाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
170.	कोनबाय	585. 22	श्री हरिण प्रभीन, कीमेट हाउस, 76, डा. नायर रोड, बम्बई-400008		गामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
171.	धन्य धन्य शिवाजी	563. 00	निदेशक, सूचना और जनसंपर्क, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, 68, तारदेव रोड, बम्बई-400034	महाराष्ट्र के प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
172.	वार्ली प्रिटिंग	481. 00	मुख्य प्रोड्यूसर, फिल्म प्रभाग, 24-पैटर रोड, बम्बई-26		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
173.	महाराष्ट्र सरकार स्टूट संख्या 409	264. 70	सूचना और जनसंपर्क महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेटर, 68, तारदेव रोड, बम्बई-400034		महाराष्ट्र सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
174.	महिति चिक्क संख्या 441	298. 70	महायक सूचना निदेशक, गृज रात सरकार, रामनाथ और तारदेव रोड, बम्बई-18	सूचना निदेशक, गृज रात सरकार, सचिवालय, ब्नाक-7, गांधी नगर नि., 77, डा. एनी बेसेट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-18	गृज रात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
175.	प्रिय दर्शकी	586. 00	मुख्य प्रोड्यूसर, फिल्म प्रभाग, 24, पैटर रोड, बम्बई-400026		गामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
176.	मैड मैड रम	59. 30	एम्प्रेसार, 1509/38 डा. एन. नगर, बंधेरी, बम्बई-400058		-तदेव-
177.	इन सर्व आफ लास्ट	81. 23	श्री राजेन्द्र गोजस्ल, मार्कन, वैरा सवन, बड़ीशाही, पाटनकर सार्ग, कुर्ना, बम्बई-70	महाराष्ट्र सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
178.	कर्मयोगी ग्राचार्य काका सहित कालेक्टर	600. 00	मुख्य प्रोड्यूसर, फिल्म प्रभाग, 24-पैटर रोड, बम्बई-26	गामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
179.	शिवानी	304. 80	राज्ञीत मोहन, 55, इन्डरप्रावित्र राहुल ग्रांटोमावाज्य, शकर अपार्टमेंट, ऑन्ड श्रागर रोड, थाना।	गामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
180.	प्रगती आफ पाटिंग ए बीलेंज टू द नेशन	595. 50	मुख्य प्रोड्यूसर, फिल्म प्रभाग, 24, पैटर रोड, बम्बई-26	गामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।	
181.	पृथ्वीराज	600. 00	-- तदेव --	-- तदेव --	गामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और गमाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।

1	2	3	4	5	6
182.	वार्ता वर्तगिरी 84	297.25	श्रांघ्र प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5/423/1, जफर बाग, अकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-9		श्रांघ्र प्रदेश संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
183.	निधनग	600.00	महायक सूचना निदेशक, (फिल्म) सूचना निदेशक गुजरात सरकार गुजरात सरकार गमनार्द्ध शोध लेबोरेट्रीज मध्यिकालय, ब्लाक-7, गोद्वार नगर लि., 77, डा. एनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-400018		गुजरात संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
184.	महिति चित्र 442	298.70	--तर्दे व--	--तर्दे व--	गुजरात में सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
			4		--तर्दे व--
185.	महिति चित्र 443	298.70	--तर्दे व--	--तर्दे व--	सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
186.	इंडिया ए लार्टफ स्ट्रीम	564.05	जगन मुरार्णी प्रोडक्शन, 105, कल्पना 27/1 हस्तावण, पुणे-4		श्रांघ्र प्रदेश संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
187.	वार्ता वर्तगिरी 85	306.10	श्रांघ्र प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1 अकड़ी का पुल जफर बाग, हैदराबाद-4		श्रांघ्र प्रदेश संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
188.	इन सर्व साक प्रृथ्वी	594.05	श्री होनी सेठना, 72, अशोक प्रपार्टमेंट्स रुग्दा लेन, ऑफ ग्रामोहनवास मार्ग, बम्बई-6		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
189.	सामान्य चित्र	532.00	मुख्य प्रोड्यूसर फिल्म प्रभाग, 24 पेडर रोड, बम्बई-6	--तर्दे व--	गुजरात संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
190.	महिति चित्र स्पूज मंज्या 444	298.70	महायक सूचना निदेशक (फिल्म), गुजरात सरकार गमनार्द्ध शोध नेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. एनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-18	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, नेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. एनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-7	महाराष्ट्र संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
191.	महाराष्ट्र स्पूज संज्या 410	272.00	सूचना और जनसमाज महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म मेंटर, 68, मारदेश गोड, बम्बई-400034		महाराष्ट्र संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
192.	महिति चित्र 445 ⁷	298.70	महायक निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, गमनार्द्ध शोध नेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. एनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-18	सूचना निदेशक, मध्यिकालय मार्ग, गोदीनगर, गुजरात	गुजरात संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
193.	रेलवेज एंड मिथिक मैन	456.00	श्री के. बी. नवर, चित्र लोक फिल्म निगम, लि., विवेन्द्रम ३		सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
194.	मरजर आफ प्रियती स्टेट्स ए लैंगेज टु द मेयन	600.00	मुख्य प्रोड्यूसर फिल्म प्रभाग 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-26	--तर्दे व--	सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाचार घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
195.	मुक्ति माधन	101.00	--तर्दे व--	--तर्दे व--	वंजाब संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
196.	उत्तम उत्तम	101.00	--तर्दे व--	--तर्दे व--	[फाईल संख्या 315/1/85-एफ(पी)]
197.	दोस्तां दी गल	101.00	मुख्य प्रोड्यूसर फिल्म प्रभाग, 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-26		

MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING

New Delhi, the 25th March, 1987

ORDER

S. O. 1037:—In pursuance of the directions issued under the provision of each of the enactments specified in the first Schedule to the Order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Information and Broadcasting No. S. O. 3792 dated 2nd December, 1966, the Central Government after considering recommendations of the Film Advisory Board, Bombay hereby approves the films specified in column 2 of the Schedule annexed hereto in all its/their language versions to be of the description specified against it/each in column 6 of the said Schedule.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Title of the film	Length of the film	Name of the Applicant	Name of the Producer	Brief Synopsis whether a scientific film or for educational purposes or a film dealing with news and current events or documentary film
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Madhya Pradesh Samachar Darshan	283.47	Director, Information & Publicity, Govt. of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.	News & current Events (Released in M. P. circuit)	
2.	Maharashtra News No. 402	310.00	Directorate General of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, 68, Tare Road, Film Centre, Bombay-400 034	News & current Events (Released in Maharashtra circuit).	
3.	Varta Tarangini No. 67	332.23	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation, 11-5-423, 1-Zafarbagh, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad.	News & current Events (Released in A. P. circuit).	
4.	Achal Astha	294.44	The Director of Information and Public Relations, Govt. of U.P., Lucknow.	Documentary (Release in U.P. circuit).	
5.	Madhyan Bhojan Yojana	329.18	Asstt. Director of information (F), Remnord Lab. Ltd. 66, Dr. A. B. Road, Worli, Bombay 400018.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar.	Documentary (Release in Gujarat circuit).
6.	A Nishi Marriage	598.92	Amar Hazarika, Nizarapar, Guwahati, Assam.	Arunachal Film Society, Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh.	'Documentary' (General release)
7.	Together-Forever	600.00	Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.		Approved general release and classified as 'Document.'
8.	Swatantrate Se Samridhi Tak	293.52	Dhirendra Pande, M/s Bombay Film Lab. Ltd, 149, S.K. Bole Road, Bombay-28.	Director of Information & Public Relations Govt. of U.P. Lucknow	Approved release in U.P circuit and classified as 'Document'
9.	Harit Kranti	289.56	Jai Ram Chatuvedi, C/o Bombay Film Lab., 199 S. K. Bole Road, Dadar, Bombay-400 028.	-do-	do

1	2	3	4	5	6
10.	Mahitichitra No. 424	243.84	Asstt. Director of Information (F), Govt. of Gujarat, Rammord Research Lab. Ltd., Worli, Bombay-400 018.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar.	Approved release in Gujarat circuit and classified as News & Current Events.
11.	Utthan	487.66	-do-	-do-	Approved release in Gujarat circuit and classified as Document.
12.	Shri Kamaraj	533.1	Shri Krishnaswamy Associates Pvt. Ltd., 11, Mahatma Gandhi Road, Shastri Marg, Madras-600 041.		Approved general release and classified as 'Documentary'
13.	Jagya Tyarthi Savar	452.32	Asstt. Director of Information (F), Govt. of Gujarat, Rammord Research Lab.. 77, Dr. A. B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar-382010.	Approved in Gujarat circuit and classified as 'Documentary'
14.	Jawabdar Kon	411.48	-do-	-do-	-do-
15.	Nayee Rekhayen	296.88	Dhirendra Pande, C/o. M/s. Bombay Film Lab., 149, S.K. Bole Road, Bombay-400028	Dhirendra Pande, Producer Newsreel, Directorate of Information & Public Relation, Govt. of U.P, Lucknow.	Approved for U.P. classified as 'Document'
16.	Vikas Abhiyan	296.57	-do-	-do-	-do-
17.	Polio	412.00	Shri K. S. Ch. Bose, B-67 (F-6), P. S. Nagar, Hyderabad-500028		Approved for A. P. circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.
18.	Secret of Happiness	99.00	Films Division, Govt. of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026..		General release and classified as 'Document'
19.	Aahuti	501.39	-do-	-do-	-do-
20.	The Four Steps	291.00	-do-	-do-	-do-
21.	Saral Vishwas	285.29	Ramesh Tripathi, C/o. Bombay Film Lab. 149, S. K. Bole Road, Dadar, Bombay-28.	The Director of Information, Govt. of U.P., Lucknow.	Approved for U.P. circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.
22.	Uttar Pradesh Samachar 119	285.29	Dhirendra Pande, Director of Information & Public Relation, Govt. of U.P., Lucknow.		Approved for U.P. circuit and classified as News & current Events.
23.	Mahiti Chitra 425	274.32	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Rammord Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A. B. Road, Bombay-400018.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar.	Approved for Gujarat circuit and classified as News and current Events.
24.	Cosmonauts Visit Star City	152.00	Shri Anil Tare, Jt. M. D., Film City, Goregaon(E):. Bombay-400065		Approved for Maharashtra circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.

1	2	3	4	5	6
25.	Martyrdom of Mahatma	585.00	Films Division, Govt of India, 24— Poddar Road, Bombay— 400026.		Approved for General release and classified as 'Document'
26.	Vartha Tarangini 69	237.74	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation Ltd., 11-5-423/1 Zafarbagh Lekdi-ka-pul, Hyderabad-4.		Approved for A.P. circuit and classified as News and Current Events
27.	Vartha Tarangini 68	274.32		-do-	-do-
28.	Mahitichitra 426	262.13	Asstt. Director of Information , Govt. of Gujarat, Rammord Research Lab., Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar,	Approved for Gujarat circuit and classified as 'News & Current Events'.
29.	Bihar Samacharchitra No. 34	254.81	Shri Jha, Film Editor, Information & Public Relation Deptt., Govt. of Bihar, Patna	Director of Public Relation, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.	Approved for Bihar circuit and classified as 'News and Current Events'
30.	Bihar Samachar- chitra No. 35	178.92	-do-	-do-	-do-
31.	Chalo Shalaye	588.26	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Rammord Research Lab. Ltd., Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-400018.		Approved for Gujarat circuit and classified as 'Documentary'
32.	Maharashtra News No. 403	280.00	Directorate General of Information and Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, 68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-400034.		Approved for Maharashtra circuit and classified as 'News & current Events'.
33.	Sharadhanjali	218.17	Shri Jha, Film Editor, Govt. of Bihar, Information and Public Relation Deptt., Govt. of Bihar.	Director of Information & Public Relation, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.	Approved for Bihar circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.
34.	Deshratna Dr. Rajendra Prasad	220.28	Shri Jha, Film Editor, Govt. of Bihar, Information & Public Relation Deptt., Govt. of Bihar, Patna.		Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.
35.	Bihar Samachar- chitra No. 36	219.46	-do-	-do-	Approved for release in circuit and classified in 'News and Current Events.'
36.	Ateet Aur Vartaman Ka Sangam	289.25	V. Prabhakar, C-62 Flower Queen, Veera Desai Road, Andheri, Bombay-58.	Shri B. B. Shukla, Producer Film, Directorate of Information & Public Relation, Govt. of U.P.	Approved for release in circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.
37.	Garibi Ke Vinaydh Morcha	301.44	Shri Jairam Chaturvedi, Producer Film, Director of Information & Public Relation, Govt. of U.P., Lucknow.	-do-	-do-

1	2	3	4	5	6
38.	Poorna Swaraj	541.32	Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.	Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.	
39.	Mudiyettu	554.54	M. P. Sukumaran Nair, Manaparampil, Noozhiblam Gara, Neendoor, Kottayam, Kerala-1.	-do-	
40.	Vartha Tarangini 71	300.30	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation Ltd., 11-5-423/1, Zafarbagh, Lakdi-Ka-pul, Hyderabad-4.	Approved for A.P. circuit and classified as 'News and Current Events'.	
41.	Vartha Tarangini 70	288.10	-do-	-do-	
42.	A Year of Plenty	488.00	Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.	Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.	
43.	Mahitichitra 427		Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A. B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar.	Approved for Gujarat circuit and classified 'News and Current Events'.
44.	Vara Vikrayam	550.52	M/s. Lakshi Movie Makers, 99, South West Boag Road., T. Nagar, Madras-17.	Approved for Andhra Pradesh circuit and classified 'Documentary'.	
45.	Bhabhi Ki Seekh	57.00	Films Division, Government of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-26.	Approved for general circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.	
46.	The Great Salt March	580.00	-do-	-do-	
47.	Maharashtra News No. 404	196.00	Directorate General of Information and Public Relation, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-34.	Approved for Maharashtra curcuit and classified 'News & Current Events'.	
48.	Jaag Utha Babulal	186.46	M/s. Fantasia, 2/10, Barkhandi Nivas, 40, Teckalwadi, L. J. Road, Bombay-46.	Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.	
49.	Survey of India	531.34	M/s. Kappa, 3, Cool in Apts., Waterfield Road, Bombay-50.	Shri Keith Allams, 3, Cool in Apts., Waterfield Road., Bombay-50.	-do-
50.	Mathitichitra-428	286.51	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A. B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Gujarat, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar.	Approved for Gujarat circuit and classified 'News and Current Events'.

1	2	3	4	5	6
51.	Varta Tarangini-73	269.81	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation Ltd., 11-5-423/1 Zafarbagh, Lakdi Ka Pul, Hyderabad-500004.	Approved for A.P. circuits and classified as 'News and Current Events.'	
52.	Sukhad Sadhan	62.18	Films Division 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.	Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary.'	
53.	Vartha Tarangini-72	269.81	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation Ltd., 11-5-423/1, Zafarbagh, Lakdi Ka Pul, Hyderabad-4.	Approved for A. P. circuits and classified as 'News and Current Events.'	
54.	Audyogic Vikas	288.00	Dept. of Information & Public Relation, Govt. of U.P. Lucknow.	Approved for U. P. circuits and classified as 'Documentary.'	
55.	Anant Urja	293.53	-do-	-do-	Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary.'
56.	Doodh Ma Ka	92.00	Films Division, Government of India, 24-Peddar, Road, Bombay-400 026.	-do-	
57.	Parleys and broken Pacts	599.00	-do-	-do-	-do-
58.	Sant Das Ganu	431.00	Directorate General of Information & Public Relation, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-400 034.	Approved for Maharashtra circuit and classified as 'Documentary.'	
58A.	Vikalangula Sankshema	483.37	M/s. Sree Thirumala Art Films, 10-4-503/1, Sriramnagar Colony, Humayunnagar, Hyderabad-8.	The Film was originally recommended for approve at 2-8-83 under title "Amma Chethi Chala" The application vide his letter dated 9-2-85 wants change the title as "Vikalangula Sankshema."	
59.	Umaj/Samaj	347.5	Oum-films C/o. Thakuri Bungalow, Mehta Road, Santacruz Bombay-54.	Maharashtra Govt. C/o. Director (Films) Opp. Mantralaya Administrative Building, Bombay-32.	Approved for release in Maharashtra circuit and classified as 'Documentary'
60.	AchumAchadiyum	268.1	Kaumudi Films Kaumudi Building, Pettam, Trivandrum.	Approved for release in Kerala circuits and classified as 'Documentary'.	
61.	Gujarat Na Vihardhama	565.10	Asstt. Director of Information Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab., 77, Dr. A. B. Road, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar.	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as 'Documentary.'

1	2	3	4	5
62.	Awhan	335.00	Director General of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-400 034.	Approved for release in Maharashtra circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.
63.	Ordinances Arrests and Elections.	597.00	Films Division, Govt. of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.	Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.
64.	Sant Kabir	600.00	Director General of Information & Public Releation, Govt. of Maharashtra, 68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-34.	-do-
65.	Baburao Painter	575.00	-do-	Approved for Maharashtra circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.
66.	Telephone Attachments & Accessories	300.00	D. P. Rao Associates, , A 1/3, Flat No. 4, Main Road, Besant Nagar, Madras-600 090.	Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.
66.	Ghe Bharari Pankhara	296.00	Directorate General of Information & Public Relation, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-400 034.	Approved for release in Maharashtra circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.
67.	Mahitichitra-429	298.70	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A. B. Road, Bombay-18.	Approved for release in Gujarat Circuit and classified as 'News and Current Events.'
68.	Aashirvad	316.22	K.K. Films, Films Division of Asian Scientific Traders Laxmi , Poona.	Approved for release in Maharashtra circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.
69.	Ekach Upay	36.57	-do-	-do-
70.	Doctor	19.82	-do-	-do-
71.	Maati Kahe Kissanse	503.80	Director of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of U.P. Lucknow.	Approved for release in U.P. circuit and classified as 'Documentary.'
72.	Lard Reforms	283.46	Dr. P.K. Rajhuns DD Television Films of India PB No. 6819 Santa Cruz (E), Bombay-55	Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.
73.	Shram Eva Jayate	599.85	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab., Ltd. 77 Dr. A.B. Road, Bombay-18.	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.
74.	Ambaji Ahwa 9911	599.85	-do-	-do-
75.	Ekata	257.75	Paliwal Pictures, 25 Al Hilal, Bandra Reclamation, Bombay-50.	Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.
76.	Vinoba Bhave- The Mar	561.84	Films Division, 24-Peddar Read, Bombay-400 026.	-do-
77.	Sukhi Jeevanache Rahasya	160.00	C.M.K. Films, 10B, Jolly Mitra Apt. No. 1. Cuff Parade Bombay-5.	-do-

1	2	3	4	5	6
78.	Vahati Dhara	487.68	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Rammord Research Lab., 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat. Sachivalaya Block 7, Gandhinagar.	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.
79.	Vartha Tarangini No. 74	292.68	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation Ltd., 11-5-423/1, Zafai bagh, Lakdi-Ka-Pul, Hyderabad-5.		Approved for release in A. circuit and classified as 'New and Current Events'.
80.	Vartha Sanchita	361.28	-do-	-do-	-do-
81.	Constitutional Experiment	542.00	Films Division 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.		Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.
82.	Akshar Brahm	457.20	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Rammord Research Lab. Ltd., 77 Dr. A.B. Road, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya Block 7, Gandhinagar.	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.
83.	Souda Band Karo	228.60	Susheel Kumar Trivedi, 152, Rajendra Nagar, Lucknow.		Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.
84.	Badhti Aazbaadi	294.44	Shri Bhim Sen, Climb Films, 22-23 Arun Chamber, Tardeo, Bombay-34.		-do-
85.	Quit India	570.68	Films Division, Govt. of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-26.		-do-
86.	Mahitichitra 430	298.70	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Rammord Research Lab. Ltd. 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as 'News and Current Events.'
87.	Maharashtra News No. 405	243.00	Directorate General of Information & Public Relation, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Center, -68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-34.		Approved for release in Maharashtra circuits and classified as 'News and Current Events'.
88.	Mahitichitra 431	274.32	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujrat, Rammord Research Lab. Ltd. 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Rammord Research Lab. Ltd. Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as 'News and Current Events'.
89.	June Javudya Marana Laguni	297.50	Directorate General of Information, & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Center, 68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-34.		Approved for release in Maharashtra circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.

1	2	3	4	5	6
90.	Himachal Nirmata Dr. Yeshwant Singh Parmar	585.22	Sarju Prasad Film and Photographer, Deptt. of Public Relations, Govt. of Himachal Pradesh.	Shri Chatar Singh, Director, Public Relations, Govt. of Himachal Pradesh.	Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.
91.	Prapanch Hasya Mahasabha	288.00	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation Ltd., 11-5-423/1, Zafarbagh, Lakdi-Ka- Pul, Hyderabad-4.		Approved for release in A.P. circuit and classi- fied as 'News and Current Events'.
92.	Varata Tarangini 76	275.91	-do-	-do-	-do-
93.	Gujarat Sagar Darshan	599.85	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd. Gandhinagar.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya Block 7, Gandhinagar.	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as 'Documen- tary'.
94.	New Horizen	287.00	Sunil Ghosh, 6/12, Versove View, Four Bunglow, Andheri, Bombay-28.		Approved for release in Karnataka circuit and classified as 'Documen- tary'.
95.	Bihar Samachar Chitra No. 37	194.94	Shri M. Jha, Film Editor, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.	Director of Information & Public Relation, Govt. of Bihar, Patna-15.	Approved for release in Bihar circuit and classified as "News and Current Events."
96.	Kala Pani-A Pilgrimage	544.00	Films Division, Government of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.		General release and classified as 'Docu- mentary.'
97.	Ekta-Ki-Goonj	230.00	J.N. Srivastava, Bishesheshwar Kutir, Kundri Rakabgunj, Lucknow-4.		-do-
98.	Jhiahay Ba Pua	600.00	Dilip Dutta, Twin Films, 22/239, Siddharthnagar, 4, Goregaon (W), Bombay-400 022.		Release in Orissa circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.
99.	Bikash Me Sahbhagi	255.07	Shri M. Jha, Film Editor, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.	Director of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of Bihar.	Release in Bihar circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.
100.	Sambhaw	252.94	-do-	-do-	-do-
101.	We will preserve them for posterity	360.00	Directorate General of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Center, 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-34.		Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'
102.	Mahitichitra 432	221.65	Asstt. Director of Information (Films) Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd. Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya Block 7, Gandhinagar	Approved for Gujarat circuit and classified as News & Current events'.
103.	Maharashtra News No. 406	265.00	Directorate General of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Center, 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-34.		Approved for Maha- rashtra circuit and classified as 'News and Current Events'.

1	2	3	4	5	6
104. Qasoor Kiska	551.08	Shakil Production, Shakil Khan, Ashraf Studios, Opp. Mahim Station, Bombay.			Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.
105. Bhugarba Jalalu	252.44	Andhra Pradesh State Film Devlp. Corporation Ltd. 11-5-423/1, Zafarbagh, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad.			Approved for release in A.P. circuit and classified as documentary.
106. Aur Agar	298.09	Bina Bhakta, 1/13, Guru Nagar, Four Bungalows, Versova Road, Andheri (W), Bombay-400 058.	Dayabhi Bhakta; 1/13, Gurunagar, 4 Bungalows, Versova Road, Andheri (W), Bombay-400 058.		Approved for general release and classified as Documentary.
107. Do Or Die	604.00	Films Division, 24-Peddar Road,	Bombay-400 026.		-do-
108. Kuchchado Baramas	410.98	Asst. Dir. of Information, Dir. of Inf. Govt. of Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd. 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Gujarat, Gujarat.		Approved for release Gujarat circuit and classified as documentary.
109. Madhya Pradesh Samachar Darshan 54	262.13	Anand Hatvalne C/o Dir. of Inf. & Publicity, Bhopal.	Dir of Inf. & Publicity, Govt. of MP, Bhopal.		Approved for release in MP and classified as News & Current Events.
110. Vartha Tarangini 75	285.06	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation Ltd. 11-5-423/1 Zafarbagh, Hyderabad.			Approved for release in Andhra Pradesh circuit and classified as News and Current Events.
111. Manalo Marupu Raada	152.40	-do-			Approved for release in AP circuit and classified as documentary.
112. Guptdhan	507.60	Maharashtra Agro Industries Development Corp. Ltd., Rajan House, 3rd floor, Prabhadevi, Bombay-25.			Approved for release in Maharashtra circuit and classified as documentary.
113. Mahitichitra 433	204.22	Asstt Dir of Inf. Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd. Worli, Bombay	Dir. of Inf. Govt. of Gujarat, Gandhinagar-10.		Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as News and current events.
114. Madhya Pradesh Samachar Darshan- 55	239.57	Dir. of Inf. & Publicity, Govt. of M.P. Bhopal.			Approved for release in MP circuit & classified as "News & Current Events".
115. Swami Ramtirth	489.51	The Dir. of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of UP, Lucknow.			Approved for general release and classified as documentary.
116. Phale Rasal Gomati	295.05	Dir. Gen. of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Center, 68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-400 034.			Approved for release in Maharashtra circuit and classified as documentary.
117. Memories of A Magnificent Era	599.00	Films Division, Govt. of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.			Approved for general release and classified as documentary.
118. The Right Way	107.00	-do-			-do-

1	2	3	4	5	6
119.	An Detheli Bhcm Par	396.24	Asstt. Dir of Inf. & Pub. Relations, Govt. of Gujarat, 77 Ramnord Research Lab. Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Dir of Inf. Govt. of Gujarat, Gandhinagar, Sachivalaya, Gujarat	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as "News & Current Events."
120.	Pariyawan	499.87	Shri Jai Ram Chaturvedi, The Dir. of Inf. & Public Deptt. of Inf. & Pub. Relations, Govt. of UP, Lucknow.	Relations, Govt. of UP, Lucknow.	Approved for general release and classified as documentary.
121.	New Horizon	287.00	Sunil Ghosh, 6/12 Versova view, Four Bunglow, Andheri, Bombay-58.		-do-
122.	We are one	366.87	Sri Lalithakala Pictures, Venkatasan Colony, Hyderabad-4.		-do-
123.	Cataract	295.65	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation Ltd., 11-5-423/1, Zafarbagh, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad-4.		Approved for release in A.P. circuit and classified as documentary.
124.	Mahitichitra	434.176.78	Asstt. Dir. of Inf. & Pub. Relations, Govt. of Gujarat, 77 Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd. Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Inf. Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar, Gujarat.	Approved for release in Gujarat circle and classified as documentary.
125.	And Bombay Never Sleeps	317.07	Dir. Gen. of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Center, 68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-400 034.		Approved for general release and classified as documentary.
126.	Do Rahe	290.00	Prakten Chumble C/o S.R. Samant 3, And Ban Near, Agar Bazar, College Road Shivaji Road, Nasik. Dadar, Bombay-28.	Dr. Arun Nadkarni, Nasik Medical Foundation, Sahakar Bhawan,	-do-
127.	Writing of Off The Raj	593.14	Shri B.D. Garga, D-11 Commerce Centre, Tardeo Road Bombay-14.		-do-
128.	C.R.P.F.	454.00	Films, Division, Govt. of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.		-do-
129.	Our Islamic Heritage	537.00	-do-		-do-
130.	Nandi Bahumatula Pradanotsavam 84 (Nandi Film Award 84)	420.63	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corp. Ltd., 11-5-423/1, Zafarbagh, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad-4		Approved for release in A.P. circuit and classified as News & Current Events.
131.	Vartha Sanchiko 10	344.43	-do-		-do-
132.	Vartha Tarangini 77	265.00	-do-		-do-
133.	Nahin Nahin	304.80	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd. 77 D. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya Block 7, Gandhinagar,	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as 'Documentary'

1	2	3	4	5	6
134	Samriddhichya Vata	490.00	Directorate General of Information & Public Relations Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Center, 68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-34.		Approved for release in Maharashtra circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.
135.	Towards freedom	598.5	Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.		Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.
136.	Greener Karnataka	453.88	Raboul Films International, Mistry Mansion, 107, Mahatma Gandhi Road, Bombay.		Approved for release in Karnataka circuit and classified as 'Documentary'
137.	Mahitichitra No. 435	285.66	Asst. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Rambord Research Lab. Ltd., -77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya Block 7 Gandhinagar.	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as 'News and Current Events.'
138.	Varta Tarangini 78	269.14	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation Ltd., 11-5-423/1, Zafarbagh, Lakdi-Ka-Pul, Hyderabad.		Approved for release in A.P. circuit and classified as 'News and Current Events.'
139.	Deluxe-I	80.00	Chief Producer, Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-26.		Approved for general release in Karnataka circle and classified as 'Documentary'.
140.	A Pill a day	142.00	-do-	-do-	Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.
141.	Mar Ki Baat	180.00	-do-	-do-	-do-
142.	Jee Jaan	77.00	-do-	-do-	-do-
143.	India Wins Freedom	598.00	The Chief Producer, Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay 400 026.		Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.
144.	The Ballon	181.25	-do-	-do-	-do-
145.	Trial of Tax wallah	560.00	-do-	-do-	-do-
146.	Baba Jassa Singh Ahluwalia	586.00	Asian Films, 72, Janpath, New Delhi.		-do-
147.	Maharashtra News No. 407	290.00	Directorate General of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Center, 68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-34.		Approved for release in Maharashtra circuit and classified as 'News and Current Events.'
148.	Mahitichitra No. 436	213.36	Dy. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Dhanraj Mahal Gr. Fl. Chhatrapati Shivaji Maharaj Marg, Bombay-39.	Director of Information Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar.	Approved for release in Gujaat circuit classified as 'News and Current Events.'
149.	Varta Tarangini 79	295.66	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation, Ltd., 11-5-423/1, Zafarbagh, Lakdi Ka-Pul, Hyderabad.		Approved for release in A.P. circuit and classified 'News as and Current Events'.

1	2	3	4	5	6
150. Zilla Udyog Kendra Ek Guide	280.41	Shri Asif Khan, M/s. Art Films, 146, S.K. Bole Road, Bombay-18.		Approved for release in Maharashtra circuit and classified as 'Documentary'.	
151. Mahitichitra 437	281.94	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Rammend Research Lab. Ltd. 77, Dr. A.B. Read, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya Block 7, Gandhinagar.	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as 'News and Current Events.'	
152. M.P. Samachar Darshan 57	248.10	Anand H. Patvale, Cameraman, Directorate Information & Publicity	Director of Information & Publicity, Govt. of M.P. Bhopal.	Approved for release in M.P. circuit and classified as 'News and Current Events.'	
153. M.P. Samachar Darshan 56.	256.64	-do-	-do-	-do-	
154. Matti Tere Reop Anek	298.90	Shri H.R. Sarin, Sarin Prod., 4 Podar Road, 612, 9249, Shauvtiak, Santa Cruz, Bombay-54.		Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary.'	
155. Mahitichitra No. No. 438	265.18	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Rammend Research Lab. Ltd. 77 Dr. A.B. Read, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya Block 7, Gandhinagar.	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as 'News and Current Events.'	
156A. Vartha Tarangini	240.79	-do-	-do-	-do-	
156. Vartha Tarangini	271.2	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation Ltd., 11-5-423/1, Zafarbagh, Lakdi-Ka-Pul, Hyderabad		Approved for release in A.P. circuit and classified as 'News and Current Events.'	
157. Udaigiri Ke Shai Vaiishnav Gupha Mandir	306.93	Shri S.K. Gautam, C/o. Narendra Goel, D-II Chand Society, Juhu, Bombay.		Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.	
158. Maharashtra News No. 408	293.00	Directorate General of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Center, 68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-54.		Approved for release in Maharashtra circuit and classified as 'News and Current Events.'	
159. The Frontier Gandhi	550.00	The Chief Producer, Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.		Approved for general release and classified as 'Documentary'.	
160. Show down at sunset.	365.00	-do-	-do-	-do-	
161 Vartha Tarangini 82	289.63	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corp Ltd., 11-5-423/1 Zafarbagh, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad.		Approved for release in A.P. circuit and classified as 'News and Current Events'	
162 Mahitichitra 439	298.70	Asstt. Dir. of Inf. Govt. of Gujarat, Rammend Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A.B. Read, Worli, Bombay-18.	Dir. of Inf. Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as 'News and current Events.'	
163. Aavtikalou Gujarat	487.68	-do-	-do-	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as Documentary.	

1	2	3	4	5	6
164	Tamno Pan Nyay Apiye	590.70	-do-	-do-	-do-
165.	Power to the People	447.60	Chief Producer, Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-26.		Approved for general release and classified as documentary,
166	Sahi Faisla	67.60	-do-		-do-
167.	Gharkul (Home for Homeless)	304.00	Dir. of Inf & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Center, 68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-34.		Approved for release in Maharashtra circuit and classified Documentary.
168.	Mahitichitra No. 440	259.08	Asstt. Dir of Inf. & Public Relations, Ramnord Research Lab Ltd, 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay.	Dir of Inf. Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar.	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as documentary.
169.	Vartha Turangini No. 83	289.63	Anhdu Pradesh State Film Devp. Corpn. Ltd., 11-5-423/1 Zafarbagh, Lakdi Ka Pul, Hyderabad.		Approved for release in A.P. and classified as news and Current Events.
170.	Convoy	585.22	Shri Harish Amin, Cresset House, 76 Dr. Nair Road, Bombay-400008.		Approved for gen. release & classified as Documentary.
171.	Dhanya Dhanya Shivaji	563.00	Dir. Gen. of Inf. & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, 68 Tardeo Road, Bombay-400034.		Approved for release in Maharashtra and classified as Documentary.
172.	Warli Painting	481.00	The Chief Producer, Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-26.		Approved for gen. release and classified as documentary.
173	Maharashtra News No. 409	264.00	Dir. Gen of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68 Tardeo Road, Bombay-400034		Approved for release in Maharashtra Circuit and classified as News & Current Events
174	Mahitichitra No. 441	298.70	Asstt. Dir. of Inf. Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd. 77 Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay 18	Dir. of Inf. Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar.	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as News and Current Events.
175.	Priyadarshini	586.00	The Chief Producer, Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.		Approved for general release and classified as documentary
176.	Mad Mad Rush	59.30	Shambu Prasad, 1509/38 D.N. Nagar, Andheri, Bombay-400058.		-do-
177.	In search of Light	84.23	Mr Rajendra Gajmali, C/o Khara Sadan, Brahmiwadi, Patankar Marg, Kurla, Bombay-70.		Approved for release in Maharashtra circuit and classified as Documentary.
178.	Karma yogi Acharya Kakasaheb Kalekar	600.00	The Chief Producer, Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-26.		Approved for gen. release and classified as Documentary.

1	2	3	4	5	6
179.	Shibani	304.80	Rajeev Mohan, 55 Enterprise Rehul, Automobile, Shankar Apt. Old Agra Read. Thana.	Approval for gen. release and classified as Documentary.	
180.	Agony of Partition A Challenge of the Nation.	595.05	The Chief Producer, Films Division, 24-Peddar Read, Bombay-26.	-do-	
181.	Prithviraj	600.00	-do-	Asproved for gen. release and classified as News and Current Events.	
182.	Vartha Tarangini 84'	291.25	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corp. Ltd. 11-5-423/1, Zafarbagh, Lakdi-Ka-Pul, Hyderabad-4.	Approved for release in A.P. circuit and classified as News and Current Events.	
183.	Niyantian	600.00	Asstt Dir of Inf. Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77 Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-400018.	Dir. of Inf., Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalay, Block 1, Gandhinagar.	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as Documentary.
184.	Mahitohitra No. 442	298.70	-do-	-do-	Approved for release in Gujarat and classified as News & Current Events.
185.	Mahitichitra 443	298.70	-do-	-do-	-do-
186.	India's Life Stream	564.05	Jagat Murari Productions, 105 Kalpataru, 27/1 Erandavane, Pune-4.	Approved for gen. release and cla sified as Documentary.	
187.	Vartha Tarangini 85	306.10	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corp. Ltd., 11-5-423/1 Lakdi-ka-Pul, Zafarbagh, Hyderabad-4.	Approved for release in A.P. circuit and classified as News and Current Events.	
188.	In search of Future	594.05	Shri Honi Sethna, 72 Ashoka Apts., Rungta Lane. Off Jagmohandas Marg, Bombay-6.	Approved for gen. re lease and classified as "Documentary".	
189.	Saubhagya Chinh	532.00	The Chief Producer, Films Division, 24-Peddar Road Bombay-6.	-do-	
190.	Mahitichitra News No. 444	298.70	Asst. Dir. of Inf. Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77 Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Dir. of Inf. Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7 Gandhinagar.	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit, and classified as 'News and Current Events'.
191.	Maharashtra News No. 410	272.00	Dir. Ge. of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra Film Center, 68-Tardeo Read, Bombay-400034.	Approved for release in Maharashtra circuit and classified as "News and Current Events".	
192.	Mahitichitra 445	298.70	Asst. Dir of Information, Dir. of Inf. Sachivalaya. Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab Ltd. Worli, Bombay-18.	Dir. of Inf. Gujarat.	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and clas sified as News and Current Events.

1	2	3	4	5	6
193. Railways and Civic Sense	456.00	Shri K.B. Nair, Chitralekha Film Corp Ltd., Trivandrum		Approved for gen. release and classified as Documentary.	
194. Merger of Princely States A Challenge to the Nation	600.00	The Chief Producer, Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-26.		-do-	
195. Mukti Sadhan	101.00		-do-	-do-	
196. Uttam Upaya	101.00		-do-	-do-	
197. Dostan Di Gal	101.00		-do-	Approved for release in Punjab circuit classified as Documentary	

[File No. 315/1/85-F(P)]

नई दिल्ली, 20 मार्च, 1987

आदेश

का. आ. 1038—भारत सरकार के मूलना और प्रमारण मंत्रालय के अधिकार मंडला पम.आ. 3792, दिनांक 2 दिसम्बर, 1966 की प्रथम अनुमूली में निविष्ट प्रथम के अधिनियम के उपलब्धि के प्रत्यारोपित जारी किये गए निर्देशों के अनुमति में, केंद्रीय सरकार, फिल्म यात्रा हावार बोर्ड, अम्बर्ह की फिल्मियों पर विचार करने के बाद एवं द्वारा इनके साथ लगी अनुमूली के कालम 2 में दी गई फिल्मों को, उनके सभी भारतीय भागों के व्यालंगों महित, जिनका विवरण प्रथम के सामने उक्त अनुमूली के कालम 6 में विंगा हुआ है, स्वीकृत करती है:—

अनुमूली

नाम	फिल्म का नाम	फिल्म की नम्बरी	श्राविक का नाम	निर्माता का नाम	मशिप्ल स्पष्ट ऐवा कि क्या यह वैज्ञानिक फिल्म है या शिक्षा संबंधी फिल्म है या समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म है या डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म है।
1	2	3	4	5	6
1. "वीर कम्पोनियन"	315.00	सी.टी. बैचिस्टा हनर फिल्म् नानाभाई मैसन भर.पी.पम.रोड. अम्बर्ह-400001	सी.टी. बैचिस्टा हनर फिल्म् नानाभाई मैसन भर.पी.पम.रोड. अम्बर्ह-400001	शाकुमेंट्री फिल्म सामान्य प्रदर्शक के लिये	
2. "सेफ कुकियाँ गंग एट वीर ड्रारस्टेप"	166.42	"जी" की फिल्म शाप, रज्जीत स्टूडियो द्वितीय तल, डी.पी. रोड, दावर, अम्बर्ह-100014	"जी" की फिल्म शाप, रज्जीत स्टूडियो, द्वितीय तल, डी.पी. रोड दावर, अम्बर्ह-100014	शाकुमेंट्री फिल्म शाप प्रदर्शन के लिए	
3. "नियोन्ड द स्ट्रांग"		श्री एच.एम.के. मृति, बी. कुस्वार मंघ ब्रिटिशग, द्वितीय चारा. गांधी नगर, बंगलोर-560009	श्री एच.एम.के. मृति, बी. कुस्वार मंघ ब्रिटिशग, द्वितीय चारा. गांधी नगर, बंगलोर-560009	शाकुमेंट्री फिल्म सामान्य प्रदर्शनी के लिए	
4. डिफाइट	328.00	मर्कीन व्यान अणरफ स्ट्रियोज, डागिनवाला मैसन, महिम रेलवे स्टेशन के सामने, महिम (पश्चिम), अम्बर्ह-100016	मर्कीन व्यान अणरफ स्ट्रियोज डागिनवाला मैसन, महिम रेलवे स्टेशन के सामने महिम (पश्चिम), अम्बर्ह-100016	--नथैव--	
5. "एक मवालगुनो को"	462.08	देमना मिनेमेटिक विमुख्या, 6. मैरिना, पा.पू.रू.रोड, अंद्रा (पश्चिम). अम्बर्ह-400050	देमना मिनेमेटिक विमुख्या, 6. मैरिना, पा.पू.रू.रोड, अंद्रा (पश्चिम), अम्बर्ह-400050	--नथैव--	

1	2	3	4	5	6
6.	ગુજરાત નો ગારબો	597.71	મહાયક નિદેશક, સૂચના (ફિલ્મ), ગુજરાત સરકાર, રામનાર્દે, રિસર્વ લેબોર્ટ્રીજ લિ., ૭૭, ડા. એની બેસેટ રોડ, વર્લી, વાસ્ટેન્ડ-૧૪	સૂચના નિદેશક, ગુજરાત સરકાર, માનવબળ બ્લાક ૭, ગાંધીનગર ગાંધીનગર-૩૮૨૦૧૦	ડાકુમેટ્રી ફિલ્મ સામાન્ય પ્રદર્શની કે લિએ
7.	બડા મદિયા	551.00	સૂચના ઓર્ઝ જનસંપર્ક મહાનિદેશાલય, મહારાષ્ટ્ર સરકાર, ફિલ્મ સેંટર, ૬૮, તારદેવ રોડ, વાસ્ટેન્ડ-૪૦૦૦૩૪	સૂચના ઓર્ઝ જનસંપર્ક મહાનિદેશાલય, મહારાષ્ટ્ર સરકાર, ફિલ્મ સેંટર, ૬૮, તારદેવ રોડ, વાસ્ટેન્ડ-૪૦૦૦૩૪	— તથૈવ—
8.	“દિલ્ગનિગ”	398.00	ફિલ્મ પ્રભાગ, ૨૪, પેડર રોડ, વાસ્ટેન્ડ-૪૦૦૦૨૬	ફિલ્મ પ્રભાગ, ૨૪, પેડર રોડ, વાસ્ટેન્ડ-૪૦૦૦૨૬	— તથૈબ—
9.	મૌ	452.00	પ્રેમ કૃપૂર, ૨, સ્વીટ હાસ, ૧૦૩ રોડ એસટેશન જી. વી. પી. ડી. સ્કીમ, વાસ્ટેન્ડ-૪૦૦૦૪૯	મનોહર કૃષ્ણ પ્રોફીલશાસ્ન, ૨, સ્વીટ હાસ, ૧૦૩ રોડ એસટેશન જી. વી. પી. ડી. સ્કીમ, વાસ્ટેન્ડ-૪૦૦૦૪૯	ડાકુમેટ્રી ફિલ્મ સામાન્ય રિલોજ પ્રદર્શન કે લિએ
10.	ધારમસાગ	333.15	મહાયક સૂચના નિદેશક, (ફિલ્મ), ગુજરાત સરકાર, રામનાર્દે રિસર્વ લેબોર્ટ્રીજ લિ., ૭૭, ડા. એની બેસેટ રોડ, વાસ્ટેન્ડ-૪૦૦૦૧૮	સૂચના નિદેશક, ગુજરાત સરકાર, માનવબળ બ્લાક ૭, ગાંધીનગર-૩૮૨૦૧૦	ડાકુમેટ્રી ફિલ્મ ગુજરાત સ્કિર્ટ મે પ્રદર્શન કે લિએ
11.	મહિનિ ચિલ્ડ સ	410	298.70	મહાયક સૂચના નિદેશક, (ફિલ્મ) ગુજરાત સરકાર, રામનાર્દે રિસર્વ લેબોર્ટ્રીજ લિ., ૭૭, ડા. એની બેસેટ રોડ, વર્લી, વાસ્ટેન્ડ-૪૦૦૦૧૮	સૂચના નિદેશક, ગુજરાત સરકાર, માનવબળ બ્લાક ૭, ગાંધીનગર-૩૮૨૦૧૦
12.	લુણ-દ્વાર જિલ્લી	186.70	ધર્મશ દસ, કલા શ્રી, મોહન સ્ટ્રીડિયો, બંધેરી (ઇસ્ટ), વાસ્ટેન્ડ	ધર્મશ દસ, કલા શ્રી, મોહન સ્ટ્રીડિયો, બંધેરી (ઇસ્ટ), વાસ્ટેન્ડ	ડાકુમેટ્રી ફિલ્મ સામાન્ય પ્રદર્શન કે લિએ
13.	ગ્રાધ્ર પ્રદેશ પ્રભૂત્વ પ્રાધ્યા આધિક નિવેદિકા સમર્પણ, સદાસ્મુ	300.00	ગ્રાધ્ર પ્રદેશ રાજ્ય ફિલ્મ વિકાસ નિગમ લિ., ૧ ૧૧-૫-૪૨૩/૧, જફર બાગ, સાફ્ટી કા પુલ, હૈવરાબાદ-૫૦૦૦૦૪	ગ્રાધ્ર પ્રદેશ રાજ્ય ફિલ્મ વિકાસ નિગમ લિ., ૧૧-૫-૪૨૩/૧, જફર બાગ, સાફ્ટી કા પુલ, હૈવરાબાદ-૫૦૦૦૦૪	ડાકુમેટ્રી ફિલ્મ ગ્રાધ્ર પ્રદેશ મે પ્રદર્શન કે લિએ।
14.	ભૂલ	303.60	શ્રીમતી આબા હુજા રિકા, નગર સેવા, શીસપુર, લાસ્ટ ગેટ, ગુવાહારી-૬	શ્રીમતી આબા હુજા રિકા, નગર સેવા, શીસપુર લાસ્ટ ગેટ, ગુવાહારી-૬	ડાકુમેટ્રી ફિલ્મ ગ્રાધ્ર ભૂલ મે પ્રદર્શન કે લિએ।
15.	યોગ-યાત્રી	560.83	શ્રી સુભાગ કે., જયકાર, જયકારમ બંગલા, સુત. ઈની, ટામ-ટાસ્ટ, વાસ્ટેન્ડ-૪૦૧૨૦૧	શ્રી સુભાગ કે., જયકાર, જયકારમ બંગલા, ટામ-ટાસ્ટ, વાસ્ટેન્ડ-૪૦૧૨૦૧	ડાકુમેટ્રી ફિલ્મ સામાન્ય પ્રદર્શન કે લિએ
16.	નિવેદિકા એટ હાઇ પ્રાઇસ ૭	325.00	ફિલ્મ પ્રભાગ, ૨૪, પેડર રોડ, વાસ્ટેન્ડ-૪૦૦૦૨૬	ફિલ્મ પ્રભાગ, ૨૪, પેડર રોડ, વાસ્ટેન્ડ-૪૦૦૦૨૬	ડાકુમેટ્રી ફિલ્મ સામાન્ય પ્રદર્શન કે લિએ।
17.	“મહિનિ-ચિલ્ડ” સંસ્કાર ૪૧૧	274.32	મહાયક સૂચના નિદેશક, ગુજરાત સરકાર, રામનાર્દે રિસર્વ લેબોર્ટ્રીજ લિ., ૭૭, ડા. એની બેસેટ રોડ, વર્લી। વાસ્ટેન્ડ-૪૦૦૦૧૦	સૂચના નિદેશક, ગુજરાત સરકાર, માનવબળ, બ્લાક ૭, ગાંધીનગર- ૩૮૨૦૧૦	માનવબળ ઔર સામયિક ઘટનાઓં કી ફિલ્મ। (ગુજરાત ગોર્કિંડ મે પ્રદર્શન કેન્દ્રિય)
18.	ઇન્ડિયા-કેયર ૭	54.00	ફિલ્મ પ્રભાગ, ૨૪, પેડર રોડ, વાસ્ટેન્ડ-૪૦૦૦૨૬	ફિલ્મ પ્રભાગ ૨૫, પેડર રોડ, વાસ્ટેન્ડ-૪૦૦૦૨૬	ડાકુમેટ્રી ફિલ્મ સામાન્ય પ્રદર્શન કે લિએ।
19.	દિસ્ક	405.00	—નિયમ—	—નિયમ—	—નિયમ—

2	3	4	5	6
20. रथ चाला पूर्व-पूर्व	279.00	सूचना और जनसंकर्म महानिदेशालय महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर, 68 तारंदेव रोड, बम्बई-400034	सूचना और जनसंकर्म महानिदेशालय महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर, 69 तारंदेव रोड, बम्बई-400034	आकृमिती फिल्म। (महाराष्ट्र सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)
21. महाराष्ट्र त्यूजन, 397	300.00	—तर्थीव—	—तर्थीव—	समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म। (महाराष्ट्र सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)
22. "द बैलॉफ आफ चंडे	300.00	मैमर्स इतार फिल्म, 2 मित्र मिलन 68, चैता रोड, बम्बई-400030	मैमर्स इतार फिल्म 2 मित्र मिलन 68 चैता रोड, बम्बई-400030	आकृमिती फिल्म। (मामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए)
23. ब्राता तरंगिनी मंज्या 58	268.54	आध प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म, विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, ज़फर बाग, तकड़ी का दुप, हैदराबाद-500004	—तर्थीव—	समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म। (आध प्रदेश सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)
24. बातों तरंगिनी मंज्या 57	247.19	—तर्थीव—	—तर्थीव—	—तर्थीव—
25. बातों तरंगिनी मंज्या 56	283.53	—तर्थीव—	—तर्थीव—	—तर्थीव—
26. माय आफ साइलेंस	465.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, 24-पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026	फिल्म प्रभाग 24-पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026	आकृमिती फिल्म। मामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए।
27. छायना	597.40	नागरिक दृश्य मविव, योद्धा फिल्म गोमाटी कोकरा साइ, अगम।	योद्धा फिल्म नीगाडी कोकरा आइ, अगम	आकृमिती फिल्म उत्क्षेत्रों में प्रदर्शन के लिए जहा बोधा भाषा समझी जानी है।
28. विहार गमाचार चित्र	263.96	एम. शा. फिल्म सम्पादक, सूचना ओर जनसंकर्म, विहार सरकार, पटना-15	निदेशक सूचना और जनसंकर्म, विहार सरकार पटना-15	समाचार और सामयिक प्रदर्शनों की फिल्म। विहार सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए।
29. महिनि चित्र मंज्या 412	274.32	महायक सूचना निदेशक (फि एम) गुजरात सरकार, गमनार्ड रिसर्च लेबरेट्रीज लि., डा. एनी. बेंगे रोड, बंगली, बम्बई-16	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार मचिवालय, ब्लाक 7, योद्धा तगर- 382010	आकृमिती फिल्म गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए।
30. विकास की रहि	593.45	मध्य प्रकाश, प-30 डिक्टो कालोनी, नई दिल्ली-110024	मध्य प्रकाश, प-30 डिक्टो कालोनी, नई दिल्ली-24	आकृमिती फिल्म मामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए।
31. प्रगति के पथिक (मराठी)	321.00	सूचना और जनसंकर्म महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर, 68, तारंदेव रोड, बम्बई-400034	सूचना और जनसंकर्म महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर, 68, तारंदेव रोड, बम्बई-400014	महाराष्ट्र सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए यन्मोदिन और आकृमिती फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
32. नागरिक	441.96	प्रफूल्ल मैकिया, मार्फत-तरंगिनी एटरप्राइजिज, ट्रायिटेल रोड, दिल्ली कार्बी, आंगनोंग।	प्रफूल्ल मैकिया, मार्फत-तरंगिनी एटरप्राइजिज, दिल्लील रोड, दिल्ली कार्बी, आंगनोंग।	आकृमिती फिल्म घरम में प्रदर्शन के लिए
33. हाइटो हैमिट्रिक प्रोजेक्शन	222.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026	फिल्म प्रभाग भारत सरकार 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026	सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए और इसके सभी स्थानों में आकृमिती फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
34. कनाचिया	279.58	एम. जी. बिहारवाहार, संयुक्त निदेशक, सूचना और जनसंकर्म, मध्य प्रदेश शासन।	सूचना और प्रचार निदेशालय, मध्य प्रदेश सरकार।	मध्य प्रदेश सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए और आकृमिती फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
35. विहार गमाचार	245.97	एम. शा., फिल्म संपादक, सूचना और जनसंकर्म विभाग, विहार सरकार पटना।	निदेशक, सूचना और जनसंकर्म विहार सरकार पटना	विहार सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए और एन. एंड सी. ई. के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
36. विहार गमाचार	288.40	—तर्थीव—	—तर्थीव—	—तर्थीव—
37. मोरी लंड विएन्डोनीजर	184.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-100026	फिल्म प्रभाग, 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026	आकृमिती फिल्म मामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए।
38. मेमोरीज फास मध्य प्रदेश	458.00	—तर्थीव—	—तर्थीव—	समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म। (भारत में प्रशंसन के लिए)।

1	2	3	4	5	6
39.	पोटेबल लाटर फार मिलियन्स	451.00	--नवीन--	--नवीन--	डाकुमेंटी फिल्म। सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए।
40.	रुपी. 2 ए. किलो ग्राहम	202.43	आधिकारिक राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-123/1, जफर बाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदरगाबाद-500004		डाकुमेंटी फिल्म। (आधिकारिक मर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)
41.	नन्दी बहूमतुला प्रदर्शनोत्तरायम 83	335.36	--नवीन--		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म। (आधिकारिक मर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)
42.	महिनि-चित्र सद्या 413	243.82	महायक सूचना निदेशक (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, गोमानाई रिसर्च लेबोरटोरीज लि., 77, डा० एन० बैंडर रोड, वर्नी बम्बई-100018	निदेशक, सूचना गुजरात सरकार मित्रिकालय, ब्लाक-7 गांधी नगर- 282010	समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म। गुजरात मर्किट में किसी प्रदर्शन के लिए।
43.	दृमन एटग्रीनियर्स	295.35	फिल्म प्रभाग, 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026	फिल्म प्रभाग, 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026	डाकुमेंटी फिल्म, सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए।
44.	तो ईश्वास आला	306.00	सूचना और जन सम्पर्क महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर 68, नारदेव रोड, बम्बई-400034		डाकुमेंटी फिल्म। सहाराष्ट्र राज्य में प्रदर्शन के लिए।
45.	वाहाकाथ-ए-थर्वाड हन केम्प	599.00	मंत्रीकरण अन्वी, कम्प्यूटिकेशन फिल्म्स, ए-1, मन्दिर, जृहु गांव, बम्बई-49	कम्प्यूटिकेशन फिल्म्स, ए-1, मन्दिर, जृहु गांव, बम्बई-19	डाकुमेंटी फिल्म। सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए।
46.	वी	106.39	मैरम्स मिनेमैन पुण्ड्रानिक निशाय, द्राम्बे, बम्बई-88	बाबा मोजगांव कर्पुण्ड्रानिका निशाय, द्राम्बे, बम्बई-88	--नवीन--
47.	उत्तर प्रदेश समाचार म. 117	294.13	धरंतद पांडे, निर्माना ल्यूक्शन्स, सूचना और जन सम्पर्क महानिदेशालय, नारदेव रोड, उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार, लखनऊ		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म। उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार में प्रदर्शन के लिए।
48.	वार्ता नर्सिना सद्या 60	239.02	आधिकारिक राज्य फिल्म विकास लकड़ी का पुल हैदरगाबाद-500004	निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, जफर बाग, लकड़ी का पुल हैदरगाबाद-500004	समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म।
49.	वार्ता नर्सिनी स. 59	289.63	--नवीन--	--नवीन--	--नवीन--
50.	महिनि-चित्र स. 414	243.84	महायक सूचना निदेशक (फिल्म), गुजरात सरकार, गोमानाई रिसर्च लेबोरटोरीज लि., 77, डा० एन० बैंडर रोड वर्नी, बम्बई-400018	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार, मित्रिकालय, ब्लाक-7 गांधी नगर- 382010	समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म। (आधिकारिक मर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)
51.	महिनि-चित्र म. 415	243.84	--नवीन--	--नवीन--	--नवीन--
52.	महाराष्ट्र समाचार संख्या 398	300.00	सूचना और जन सम्पर्क महानिदेशालय, नारदेव रोड, बम्बई-4000031	महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर 68, नारदेव रोड, बम्बई-4000031	समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म। गुजरात मर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए।
53.	फिल्म पांडे (शिल्पी) रंगीन	255.00	बाबा मोजगांव कर्पुण्ड्रानिक निशाय, द्राम्बे, बम्बई-400088	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार मित्रिकालय, ब्लाक-7 गांधी नगर- 382010	सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए, ग्रन्तमोदित और डाकुमेंटी फिल्म के लिए वर्गीकृत।
52 श्री.	--नवीन--		--नवीन--	--नवीन--	--नवीन--
53.	रिवाज	111.24	फिल्म प्रभाग, 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026		डाकुमेंटी फिल्म। सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए।
54.	ऐगा कमो	271.00	गवि. मेराग, मार्क-1 मित्रिम हिंम के गाम्बने बम्बई-400052	टिडिया 36 फ्लॉर, पांडे, वारांगोग	--रोड--
55.	छत्तीगढ़ एक सत्य	579.12	गणित जीत मिह, सी-27, चारों गोमानाई, जृहु वर्बे, शालापुरा, बम्बई-400049		--नवीन--
56.	मारे जहां से अच्छा	199.00	सूचना और जन सम्पर्क महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर, 68, नारदेव रोड, बम्बई-400034		डाकुमेंटी फिल्म। (महाराष्ट्र मर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिए।
57.	घसी ब्राम्पिया पक्षद्वारा	313.00	--नवीन--		--नवीन--

1	2	3	4	5	6
58. बार्ता तरंगिनी	274. 32	ग्राम्य प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, ज़करबाग, लकड़ी का युल, हैदराबाद-500004			समाजार और सामयिक बटनामों की फिल्म। (ग्राम्य प्रदेश संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)।
59. महिति-चित्र	274. 32	सहायक सूचना निवेशक, गुजरात सरकार, रामनाई रिसर्च लेबोरेट्रीज लि., डा. एनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-400018	सूचना निवेशक, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, ब्लाक-7 गोधी नगर-382010		समाजार और सामयिक बटनामों की फिल्म। गुजरात संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए।
60. अचर गेलें संग	541. 00	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार 24, पैकर रोड, बम्बई-400026			वर्गीकरण डाकुमेंट्री के अंतर्गत सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत।
61. ग्राम्य प्रदेश वेकालायाल चित्र	259. 38	ग्राम्य प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, ज़करबाग, हैदराबाद-500004			वर्गीकृत डाकुमेंट्री के अंतर्गत ग्राम्य प्रदेश संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत।
62. तारातारालू-तेलगु समस्ति	308. 15	—तर्पन—			—तर्पन—
63. महिति-चित्र	298. 80	सहायक सूचना निवेशक, (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामनाई रिसर्च लेबोरेट्रीज लि., डा. एनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-400018	निवेशक, सूचना, गुजरात सरकार, गुजरात		वर्गीकृत डाकुमेंट्री के अंतर्गत गुजरात संकिट में मासान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत।
64. ज्योति जले ज्योति	598. 63	सहायक सूचना निवेशक, (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामनाई रिसर्च लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77 डा. एनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-400018॥	सूचना निवेशक, गुजरात सरकार गुजरात		वर्गीकृत डाकुमेंट्री के अंतर्गत गुजरात संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत।
65. महाराष्ट्र म्यूज संग्रह 399	195. 00	सूचना और जनसाक्षर पश्चात निवेशालय महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेंटर, 68, तारदेव रोड, बम्बई-34	महाराष्ट्र सरकार		वर्गीकृत डाकुमेंट्री के अंतर्गत महाराष्ट्र संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत।
66. चीट्टू बेल्ल भाक नाई-रॉट	256. 87	श्री भ्रष्ट भट्टाचार्य, होटल हीरामनी ब्राइवेट लि., लालबाग, बम्बई-4400014	श्री राहिण रापनाना इन्डिया निवेशने प्रोजेक्शन ज़बरेव, लालासनिंग, लिलांग-792002		वर्गीकृत डाकुमेंट्री के अंतर्गत उत्तरांचली राज्यों में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत।
67. नेता	158. 61	दीपक बक्सी, 8 तुलसी खार-पाली रोड, आर, बम्बई-400052			सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
68. बोधारा सुर्पा मन्दिर	286. 51	सहायक सूचना निवेशक, (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामनाई रिसर्च लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77 डा. एनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-400018	सूचना निवेशक, गुजरात सरकार मविवालय, ब्लाक-7, गोधी नगर, 382010		गुजरात संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
69. प्रगणी ने पन्धे	990. 00	सहायक सूचना निवेशक, (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामनाई रिसर्च लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77 डा. एनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-28	सूचना, निवेशक गुजरात सरकार, सचिवालय, गोधी नगर-382010		गुजरात संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
70. कलोठिंग देक	317. 64	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, 24 पैकर रोड, बम्बई-400026			सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
71. गृहसाधी	295. 00	—तर्पन—			—तर्पन—
72. महिति चित्र संग्रह 418	293. 46	सहायक सूचना निवेशक, (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, 77, डा. एनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-400018	सूचना निवेशक, गुजरात सरकार, सचिवालय, गोधी नगर		गुजरात संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए स्वीकृत और समाजार और सामयिक बटनामों के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
73. बस्तारी लेन, गुजरात	561. 75	सहायक सूचना निवेशक, (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार रामनाई रिसर्च लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77-डा. एनी बेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-400018	सूचना निवेशक, गुजरात सरकार, सचिवालय, ब्लाक-7, गोधी नगर		डाकुमेंट्री के रूप में वर्गीकृत।

1	2	3	4	5	6
74. प्रिवेन्ट स्टाइल्नेस प्रेवेन्ट साइट	298.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026।		शाकुमेंट्री फिल्म सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए।	
75. कैटल बैल्य आफ नार्थ ईस्ट (संयुक्त रूपान्तर)	256.87	श्री प्रवेन्ट भट्टाचार्य, होटल हीरामणी, प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, सात बाग, बम्बई-14	राईसनरे पसाग निष्पोसिने, प्रोडक्शन, जयपैथ, लांगमींग, शिलांग-793002	शाकुमेंट्री फिल्म सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए।	
76. हम एक रहेंगे	365.00	प्रेम भवन प्रोडक्शन, 11-ए, जीवन, नेपिन सीरोड, बम्बई-6	प्रेम भवन, 11 ए-जीवन नेपिन सी रोड, बम्बई-6	शाकुमेंट्री फिल्म सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए।	
77. सौ गो सनावरे भिड़ि	472.44	सहायक सूचना निदेशक (फिल्म), गुजरात सरकार रामनाड रिसर्च, लेडोरेट्रीज लि. 77-आ, ऐनी बैसेट, रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-400018	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, लाक-7 गांधी नगर-382010	शाकुमेंट्री फिल्म गुजरात सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए।	
78. 1857-वि क्रिगनिंग	592.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026		“शाकुमेंट्री” सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए	
79. शाइमा	597.40	आणक्य आहय, सचिव, दि बोदो फिल्म सोसाइटी कोकराजाड, आसम	दि बोदो फिल्म सोसायटी, कोकराजाड, असम	शाकुमेंट्री फिल्म उन क्षेत्रों में प्रदर्शन के लिए जहां असमिया भाषा बोली जाती है।	
79ए. गरजा जयपकार	285.00	सूचना और जनसंपर्क महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेटर, 68-तार देवरोड, बम्बई-400034	जनसंपर्क	शाकुमेंट्री फिल्म महाराष्ट्र सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए	
80. आर्टी तरंगिनी संक्षया 62	265.24	आनंद प्रवेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, जफर बाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-500004		समाजार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म आनंद प्रवेश सकिट प्रदर्शन के लिए।	
81. इण्डीजिनियर्स ट्रेक्नोलॉजी	302.00	फिल्म प्रभाग 24, पैडर रोड बम्बई-400026	पैडर रोड,	शाकुमेंट्री फिल्म सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए।	
82. फिजन पावर कल्पकम	293.00	फाली विलिमोरियो प्रोडक्शन फिल्म, चौथा तल, 68-नारदेव रोड, बम्बई-400024		शाकुमेंट्री फिल्म सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए।	
83. महिला विकास संक्षया 419	298.70	सहायक सूचना निदेशक, (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार गमनार्द रिसर्च लेडोरेट्रीज लि., 77 आ. ऐनीबैसेट रोड, वर्ली बम्बई-400018	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, लाक-7 गांधी नगर-382010	समाजार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म गुजरात सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए।	
84. दुरस्ती	457.00	-तर्दी-	-तर्दी-	शाकुमेंट्री फिल्म गुजरात सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए।	
85. स्प्रिट आफ नेशलिज्म	598.32	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, 24-पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026		शाकुमेंट्री फिल्म सामान्य प्रदर्शन के लिए।	
86. महाराष्ट्र व्यज संक्षया 400	296.00	सूचना और जनराम्पर्क महानिदेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेटर, 68, तारदेव रोड, बम्बई-400034		समाजार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म (आनंद प्रवेश सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)	
87. आर्टी सरंगिनी संक्षया 63	262.13	आनंद प्रवेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-500004		समाजार और सामाजिक घटनाओं की फिल्म (आनंद प्रवेश सकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए।)	
88. स्टैम्पिंग कारवाड	249.92	मैसर्स गोबर्सन, अधिकारी प्रोडक्शन मैसर्स मूर्ती हाउस, 91 'ए' कोल्हिलम 251, पाली हिल बांगा, बम्बई-50		शाकुमेंट्री फिल्म सामान्य प्रदर्शन के के लिए।	

1	2	3	4	5	6
89. एक आकार	593.45	एस.एस. गुप्तजार, ९१-ए, कोडी इम, २५१ पाली हिन, बांद्रा बम्बई-400050	मै. मूरी हाउस	डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म सामाज्य प्रदर्शन के लिए	
90. अख्यार मुबह का कहानी रात की	301.00	बच्चन, श्रीवास्तव, माझ्यम 16, दरियांगंज, नई दिल्ली-110002		—तर्याच—	
91. महिति चिक्क	298.70	विनोद गणेश, सहायक सूचना निवेशक (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामनाई रिसर्च लेबोरेटरीज लि. बम्बई-400018	सूचना निवेशक गुजरात सरकार मंचि- आलय, ब्लाक 7, गांधी नगर-10	समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म (गुजरात संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)।	
92. मध्य प्रदेश	371.58	आनन्द हृत्यालने मार्फत निवेशक, सूचना और जनसम्पर्क, मध्य प्रदेश, भोपाल	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क निवेशक भोपाल	समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म (मध्यप्रदेश संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)।	
93. कालफूर स्वराज एण्ड स्पेशली	575.76	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, २४, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026		डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म सम्पूर्ण भारत में प्रदर्शन के लिए।	
94. भारती तर्फांति संघा 64	201.17	आध्य प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., ११-५-३२३/१, जफरबाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-500004		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म आन्ध्र प्रदेश संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए।	
95. भोलाना मोहम्मद अली जोहर	439.52	फिल्म प्रभाग, २४, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026		“डाकुमेंट्री” फिल्म। सामाज्य प्रदर्शन के लिए।	
96. छत्तीसगढ़	530.97	एस.जी. विरचाढकर, संयुक्त निवेशक, सूचना और जनसम्पर्क, मध्य प्रदेश सरकार, भोपाल	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क निवेशक, मध्य प्रदेश शासन, भोपाल	डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म मध्य प्रदेश संकिट में प्रदर्शन लिए।	
97. महिति चिक्क संघा 421	298.70	सहायक सूचना निवेशक (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामनाई रिसर्च लेबोरेटरीज लि. ७७-डा. ए.नी. रोड घरी, बम्बई-18	सूचना, निवेशक, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, ब्लाक 7, गांधी नगर-10	समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म (गुजरात संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)।	
98. गुजरात स्टेडियम बाटे प्रथम चैंच		सहायक सूचना निवेशक (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार/रामनाई रिसर्च लेबोरेटरीज लि. ७७ डॉ. ए.नी. रोड घरी, बम्बई-18	सूचना, निवेशक, गुजरात सरकार मंचिवालय, ब्लाक-7, गांधीनगर-10	डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म, (गुजरात संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिए)	
99. सेप्टिंगल्स थाप्प दि नार्थ-हिस्ट दि भ्रसम राइफल्स,	466.35	शंकर घोष, पी/८६/ठी-न्यू सी.आई. टी. रोड, कलकत्ता-700014		“डाकुमेंट्री” फिल्म (सामाज्य प्रदर्शन के लिये।)	
100. दैन केम गांधी	589.00	फिल्म प्रभाग २४, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026		—तर्याच—	
101. बिहार समाचार चिक्क संघा-33	243.84	एम. जा., फिल्म सम्मावक, सूचना और जनसम्पर्क विभाग, बिहार सरकार, पटना।	निवेशक सूचना और जनसम्पर्क, बिहार सरकार, पटना	समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओंकी फिल्म। (बिहार संकिट में प्रदर्शन के लिये।)	
102. तर्याच संघा-32	259.08	—तर्याच—	—तर्याच—	—तर्याच—	
103. तर्याच संघा-31	237.74	—तर्याच—	—तर्याच—	—तर्याच—	

1	2	3	4	5	6
104. अपर गेलेट सन्स		फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार 24 पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026			डाकमेंट्री फिल्म। (सामयिक प्रदर्शन के लिये।)
105. उपलब्धि	278.59	एम. सा., फिल्म सम्पादक, सूचना और जनसम्पर्क, बिहार सरकार, पटना	निदेशक, सूचना और जनसम्पर्क, बिहार सरकार, पटना।		डाकमेंट्री फिल्म। (बिहार सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिये।)
106. कार्ले	265.00	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क महाराष्ट्र सरकार, 68-तारबें रोड	महानिवेशालय फिल्म सेन्टर, बम्बई-400034		डाकमेंट्री फिल्म। (सामयिक प्रदर्शन के लिये।)
107. आफ्टर कोमा ए.फ्ल स्टाप	110.00	—तथा—			—तथा—
108. मध्य प्रदेश समाचार संचया-51	260.60	प्रांतिंद हत्याकाले मार्फत निदेशक सूचना और जनसम्पर्क, मध्य प्रदेश सरकार, भोपाल।	निदेशक, सूचना और जनसम्पर्क, मध्य प्रदेश सरकार, भोपाल।		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म। (मध्य प्रदेश सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिये।)
109. उत्तर प्रदेश समाचार संचया-118	276.45	घोरेन्ट्र पाष्ठे निर्माता और जनसम्पर्क निवेशालय, उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार।	गूजरील सूचना		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म। (उत्तर प्रदेश सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिये।)
110. बारामा	600.00	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क महानिवेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, फिल्म सेन्टर 68-तारबें रोड, बम्बई-34			डाकमेंट्री फिल्म। महाराष्ट्र सर्किट में सामाज्य प्रदर्शन के लिये।
111. दाम आफ गांधीयन एटा	567.00	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, 24 पैडर रोड, बम्बई-26			डाकमेंट्री फिल्म। (सामाज्य प्रदर्शन के लिये।)
112. महिति चिल संचया-422	256.03	सहायक सूचना निदेशक (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामनांद रिसर्च सेवोरेट्रीज लि., 77 डा. ऐनी बैंसेट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-400018	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, ब्लाक 7, गांधीनगर-382010		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिये।
113. भार्ती तरिकी सं.-65	224.08	प्रांतिंद प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, जफर बाग, लकड़ी का पुल, ईयराबाद			समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म (प्रांतिंद प्रदेश सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिये।)
114. मध्य प्रदेश समाचार संचया-52	279.50	प्रांतिंद हत्याकाले मार्फत, निदेशक, सूचना और जनसम्पर्क, मध्य प्रदेश सरकार, भोपाल।	निदेशक, सूचना और जनसम्पर्क, मध्य प्रदेश सरकार, भोपाल।		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म, मध्य प्रदेश सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिये।)
115. बरती नो छेत्रो बर		सहायक सूचना निदेशक, (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामनांद रिसर्च सेवोरेट्रीज लि., 77 डा. 77 ऐनी बैंसेट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-18	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, ब्लाक 7, गांधीनगर-382010		डाकमेंट्री फिल्म। गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिये।
116. डिफरेंट डैस्टिनी	548.64	बी.एन. नाने मदस्य-सचिव, पीपुल्स एक्शन फार डेवलपमेंट (महा.) छाति और सहकारिता विभाग, मंत्रालय, एनेस्टी बम्बई-32	पिपुल्स एक्शन फार डेवलपमेंट (महा.) कृषि और सहकारिता विभाग मंत्रालय, एनेस्टी बम्बई-32		डाकमेंट्री फिल्म। महाराष्ट्र सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिये।
117. दि बेली वाट सागा	305.00	श्री शंकर चोपड़ी, पी. 86-डी. ब्लॉ. सी. बाई.टी. रोड, कलकत्ता-14			डाकमेंट्री फिल्म। सामाज्य प्रदर्शन के लिये।
118. एक्सपोर्ट प्रमोशन इम गुजरात	471.83	सहायक सूचना निदेशक, (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामनांद रिसर्च सेवोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. ऐनी बैंसेट रोड वर्ली, बम्बई-400018	निदेशक, सूचना, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, ब्लाक-7, गांधीनगर-382010		डाकमेंट्री फिल्म। गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिये।
119. लेट प्रस टेक ए होलीडे	288.00	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क महानिवेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार फिल्म सं. 68 तारबें रोड, बम्बई-400034			डाकमेंट्री फिल्म। महाराष्ट्र में प्रदर्शन के लिये।
120. नाम को-सापरेशन दूवर्नेट	529.00	फिल्म विधीजन, भारत सरकार, 24, पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026			डाकमेंट्री फिल्म। सामाज्य प्रदर्शन के लिये।
121. महिति चिल संचया 423	249.94	सहायक सूचना निदेशक (फिल्म), गुजरात सरकार, रामनांद रिसर्च सेवोरेट्रीज लि., 77-डा. ऐनी बैंसेट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-18	सूचना निदेशक, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, ब्लाक 7, गांधीनगर-382010		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिये।

1	2	3	4	5	6
122.	महाराष्ट्र समाचार संख्या-401	290. 00	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क महानिवेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, 68-तारखेव रोड, बम्बई-400054		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म। महाराष्ट्र सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिये।
123.	लैट अस सिंग ट्रूवर	505. 00	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, 24-पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026		सामाज्य प्रवर्शन के लिये स्वीकृत और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
124.	पूदटवा पूर्णामा	594. 72	टी. हृष्णा 1-8-161/7, प्रिन्टर चाट रोड, मिक्स्टराचार्य		डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत और ग्राम्य प्रवेश सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिये स्वीकृत।
125.	मण्डन विकासम		ग्राम्य प्रदेश राज्य फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, जफर बाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-500004		—तथैव—
126.	सहकार	590. 00	श्री पुलप भास्कर, सचिव, वि. किएटसं किल्म प्रोडक्शन को. आपरे, सोसायटी लि., 5 एफ. नाज, विलहग, लेपिनगहन रोड, बम्बई-400004		डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत और महाराष्ट्र सर्किट के लिये स्वीकृत।
127.	महामती ग्रामनाथ	510. 00	फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार 24-पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026		डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म सामाज्य प्रवर्शन के लिये।
128.	रामसुला राम	449. 12	—तथैव—		डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म। महाराष्ट्र सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिये।
129.	पिरकले पांड	502. 00	सूचना और जनसम्पर्क निवेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, किल्म सेंटर 68-तारखेव रोड, बम्बई-400034		—तथैव—
130.	परति वा	294. 00	एस.एम. जुलारकर 12-ए, नवरायेवी को. आप. हाउसिंग सोसायटी, टी.वी. एस.बी. रोड पं. 8, सालाकुज (ई), बम्बई-53	निवेशक, फिल्मी, सूचना और जनसम्पर्क निवेशालय, महाराष्ट्र सरकार, बम्बई-32	डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म महाराष्ट्र सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिये।
131.	वार्ता तरंगिनी संख्या-66	259. 08	ग्राम्य प्रवेश फिल्म विकास निगम लि., 11-5-423/1, जफर बाग, लकड़ी का पुल, हैदराबाद-500004		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म ग्राम्य प्रवेश सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिये।
132.	कोल इंडिया सरगिंग पर्हेज इन वि. पर्हेज	526. 40	कोल इंडिया लिमिटेड, कोल भवन, 1, नेताजी सुभाष रोड, कलकत्ता।		डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म। सामाज्य प्रवर्शन के लिये।
133.	क्रान्ति व्योत	365. 76	सहायक सूचना निवेशक, (फिल्म) गुजरात सरकार, रामसाहै रिसर्च लेबोरेट्रीज लि., 77, डा. एनी बेसेट रोड, बर्ली, बम्बई-18	सूचना निवेशक, गुजरात सरकार सचिवालय, भावाक 7, गोद्वीमगर-382010	समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म, गुजरात सर्किट में प्रदर्शन के लिये।
134.	सेपाही शिल्प सम्पदा		वी.य. राव, मार्फेत, वी. एस. प्रसाद, 1-2-41, डीमालगुडा, हैदराबाद		ग्राम्य प्रवेश सर्किट और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
135.	बोधर श्रीकियत बोट		फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, 24-पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026		सामाज्य प्रवर्शन के लिये और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।
136.	घमर जवाल		—तथैव—		—तथैव—
137.	पिकटरी एट्कार्डोसी		फिल्म प्रभाग, भारत सरकार, 24-पैडर रोड, बम्बई-400026		सामाज्य प्रवर्शन के लिये और डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म के रूप में वर्गीकृत।

New Delhi, the 20th March, 1987

ORDER

S.O. 1038.—In pursuance of the directions issued under the provision of each of the enactments specified in the first Schedule to the Order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Information and Broadcasting No. S.O. 3792 dated 2nd December, 1966 the Central Government after considering recommendations of the Film Advisory Board, Bombay hereby approves the films specified in column 2 of the Schedule annexed hereto in all its/their language versions to be of the description specified against it/each in column 6 of the said Schedule.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Title of the film	Length of the film in metres	Name of the Applicant	Name of the Producer	Brief synopsis whether a scientific film of for educational purpose or a film dealing with news & current events or documentary film.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Your Companion	345.00	C.T. Baptista Hunner Films Nanabhay Mansion, Sir P.M. Road Bombay-400001.	C.T. Baptista Hunner Films, Nanabhay Mansion, Sir P.M. Road, Bombay-400001.	'Documentary'. General release
2.	Safe Cooking Gas At Your Doorstep (Col.)	166.42	G's Filmshop Ranjit Studio 2nd Floor, D.P. Road Dadar, Bombay-400014	G's Filmshop, Ranjit Studio, 2nd Floor, D.P. Road, Dadar, Bombay-400014	'Documentary'. General release
3.	Beyond the Stars		Sh. H.M.K. Murthy B. Kurubara Sangha Buildings, 2nd Cross, Gandhinagar, Bangalore-560009	H.M.K. Murthy B. Kurubara Sangha Buildings, 2nd Cross, Gandhinagar, Bangalore-560009.	'Documentary' General release
4.	Hifaazat	328.00	Shakil Khan Ashraf Studios, Daginawala Mansion Opp. to Mahim Rly. Stn., Mahim(W) Bombay-400016	Shakil Khan Ashraf Studios, Daginawala Mansion Opp. to Mahim Rly. Stn., Mahim (W), Bombay-400016	-do-
5.	Ek Sawal Munni Ki	462.08	Vaishna Cinematic Visuals, 6, Marina, N.L. Road, Bandra (W) Bombay-400050	Rajiv Arora, 6, Marina, N.L. Road, Bandra (W) Bombay-400050	-do-
6.	Gujarat No Garbo	597.71	Asstt. Director of Information (Films), Govt. of Gujarat, Rammord Research Lab. Ltd., 77-Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar-382010	-do-

1	2	3	4	5	6
7.	Guda Madiya	551.00	Directorate General of Inf. & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-400034	Directorate General of Information & Public Relations, Government of Maharashtra, Film Centro 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-34.	-do-
8.	The Beginning	398.00	Films Division 24-Peddar Road Bombay-400026	Films Division 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.	-do-
9.	Maa	452.00	Prem Kapoor, 2, Sweet Home 9th Rd. Extn. J.V.P.D. Scheme, Bombay-400049	Manohar Krishna Productions, 2, Sweet Home, 9th Rd. Extn. J.V.P.D. Scheme, Bombay-400049.	Documentary General release.
10.	Parasmani	333.15	Asstt. Director of Information (Films) Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd. 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar-382010	Documentary release in Gujarat circuit
11.	Mahitichitra No. 410	298.70	-do-	-do-	News and Current Events (Release in Gujarat circuit)
12.	Khush-Hal Zindagi	186.70	Dharmesh Dutta Kala-Shree, Mohan Studios Andheri (East) Bombay.	Dharmesh Dutta Kala-Shree Mohan Studios Andheri (East) Bombay.	Documentary General release
13.	Andhra Pradesh Prabhutva, Pradhama Vaarshika Nivedika Samarpana Sadassu	300.00	A.P. State Film Development Corp. Ltd. 11-5-423/1, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad-500004	A.P. State Film Development Corpn. Ltd. 11-5-423/1, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad.	'Documentary' release in A.P. Circuit
14.	Bhool	303.50	Mrs. Aba Hazarika, City Services, Dispur, Last Gate, Gauhati-6.	Aba Hazarika & Manjula Hazarika, City Services, Dispur, Lastgate Gauhati-6.	'Documentary' Release in Assam circuit
15.	Youga-Yatri	560.83	Mr. Subhash K. Jaykar, Jaykar's Bungalow, Sutar-ali, Tam-taloo, Vasai-401201.	Subhash K. Jaykar Jaykar's Bungalow, Tam-taloo, Vasai-401201.	'Documentary' General release
16.	Living— At what Price ?	325.00	Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.	Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.	'Documentary' General release

1	2	3	4	5	6
17.	Mahitichitra No. 411	274.32	Asstt. Director of Inf., Govt. of Gujarat, Rammord Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar-10	'News and Current Events' (Release in Gujarat circuit)
18.	Is it Fair	54.00	Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.	Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.	'Documentary'. General release.
19.	The Roof	405.00	-do-	-do-	-do-
20.	Rath Chalala Pudha Pudhe	279.00	Directorate General of Information & Public Relations Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-400034.	Directorate General of Information & Public Relations Govt. of Maharashtra Film Centre, 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-400034.	Documentary (Release in Maharashtra circuit)
21.	Maharashtra News No. 397	300.00	-do-	-do-	'News and Current Event' Release in Maharashtra circuit
22.	The Challenge of Change	463.30	M/s. Issar Films, 2, Mitra Milan 68, Chapel Road, Bombay-400050	M/s. Issar Films, 2, Mitra Milan, 68' Chapal Road, Bombay-400050	'Documentary'. General release
23.	Varta Tarangini No. 58	268.59	Andhra Pradesh State, Corporation, 11-5-423/1, Zafarbagh, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad-500004.	Film Development Corporation, 11-5-423/1, Zafarbagh, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad-500004.	News and Current Events (Release in A.P. circuit).
24.	Varta Tarangini No. 57	287.19	-do-	-do-	-do-
25.	Varta Tarangini No. 56	283.53	-do-	-do-	-do-
26.	Song of Silence	465.00	Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.	Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026	Documentary General release
27.	Daina	597.40	Chanakya Brahma Secretary, The Bodo Film Society, Kokrajhar, Assam.	The Bodo Films Society Kokrajhar, Assam	Documentary (Release in areas where Bodo language is understood)
28.	Bihar Samachar Chitra	263.96	M. Jha, Film Editor, Inf. & Pub. Relations Patna.	Director of Information & Public Relations, Patna-15.	News and Current Events (Release in Bihar Circuit)
29.	Mahitichitra No. 412	274.32	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Rammord Research Lab. Ltd. 77, Dr. A.B. Rd., Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information Govt. of Gujarat Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar-10	News & Current Events (Release in Gujarat circuit)

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
30. Vikas Ki Rahen	593.45	Satya Prakash A-30 Defence Colony, New Delhi-110024.	Satya Prakash A-30, Defence Colony New Delhi-24.	Documentary General release.	
31. Pragatiche Pathik	321.00	Directorate General of Inf. & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-400034.		Approved for Maharashtra circuit and classified as 'documentary'	
32. Nagarik	441.96	Prafulla Saikia, C/o Tarangpi Enterprises, Hospital Road, Diphukarbi Anglong.		Release in Assam as documentary	
33. Hydro-Electric Projects	222.00	Films Division, Government of India 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400025		General release and classified as documentary in all its versions.	
34. Kanadia	278.58	S.G. Khirwadhar Jt. Director, M.P. Government.	Directorate of Inf. & Publicity, M.P. government	Release in M.P. circuit and classified as documentary	
35. Bihar Samachar- chitra No. 30	245.97	M. Jha, Film Editor, Inf. & Public Relations Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.	Director of Inf. & Public Relations, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.	Release in Bihar circuit and classified as 'N&CE'	
36. Bihar Samachar- chitra No. 29	288.4	-do-	-do-	-do-	
37. Mouji And The strolger	184.00	Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.	Films Division 42-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.	Documentary. General release	
38. Memories from Madhya Pradesh	458.00	-do-	-do-	News & current events (release in All India)	
39. Potable Water for Millions	451.00	-do-	-do-	Documentary Gen. release.	
40. Rs. 2 A Kilo Rice	202.43	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation Limited, 11-5-423/1/ Zafarbagh, Lakdi-ka-Pool, Hyderabad-400004.		Documentary (Release in Andhra Pradesh circuit)	
41. Handi Bahorathula Pradanostavam '83	335.36	-do-		News and Current Events (Release in A.P. circuit)	
42. Mahiti-chitra No. 413	243.82	Asstt. Director of Information (Films), Govt. of Gujarat Rammord Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-400018.	Director of Information Govt. of Gujarat Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar-382010	News and Current Events (Release in Gujarat circuit)	

1	2	3	4	5	6
43. Women Enterpreneurs	295.35	Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.	Films Division 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.	Documentary Gen. release	
44. To Dolas Zala	306.00	Directorate General of Information & Public Relations, Government of Maharashtra, Film Center, 68, Tardeo Road, Bombay 400 034.		'Documentary' Release in Maharashtra State.	
45. Vadakath-A Thervad in Kerala	599.40	Muzaffar Ali Communication Films, A-1 Mander, Juhu Village, Bombay-49.	Communication Films A-1, Mandir Juhu Village, Bombay-49.	'Documentary' General release	
46. We	106.38	M/s. Cineman Pundalik Niwas Trombay, Bombay-88.	Baba Majgav kar Pundalik Niwas Trombay, Bombay-88.	-do-	
47. Uttar Pradesh Samachar No. 117	294.13	Dhirendra Pande, Producer Newsreel, Directorate of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of U.P., Lucknow.		'News and Current Events (Release in U.P. (circuit).	
48. Varta Tarangini No. 60	239.63	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corp. Ltd., 11.5-423/1, Zafar Bagh, Lakdikapool, Hyderabad-4.		News and Current Events (Release in Andhra Pradesh circuit).	
49. Varta Tarangini No. 59	289.63	-do-		-do-	
50. Mahitichitre No. 414	243.84	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachin Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachin Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	News and Current Events (Release in Gujarat Circuit.	
51. Mahitichitra No. 415	243.80	-do-	-do-	-do-	
52. Maharashtra News No. 398	300.00	Director General of Information and Public Relations, Government of Maharashtra, Film Center, 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-400 034.		News and Current Events (release in Maharashtra circuit.)	
52A. Maharashtra Rajya Yuva Sammelan Akola 1984	250.00	-do-	-do-	Release in Maharashtra circuit and classified as 'documentary'	
52B. Fish Pond (Hindi) (Colour)	255.00	Baba Majgavkar Cine-men, Pundalik Niwas Trombay, Bombay-400088	-do-	Approved for General Release and classified as 'documentary'.	
52C. Circle of Con-fusion (Hindi) (colour)	202.00	-do-	-do-	-do-	

1	2	3	4	5	6
53.	Rivaj	111.24	Films Division, 24 Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.	'Documentary' release.	Gen.
54.	Aisaa Kyoona	274.00	Ravi Mehra, C/o Minnis Film India, 36 Hari Market, Opp. Khar Station, Bombay-400 052	-do-	
55.	Chhattis Ghar Ek Zalak	579.12	Rajindrajeet Singh, C-27 Chand Society, Juhu Church, Santacruz, Bombay-400 049.	-do-	
56.	Sare Jahanse Achcha	199.00	Directorate General of Inf. & Pub. Relation, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68 Tardeo Road, Bombay-400 034.	Documentary (Release in Maharashtra circuit).	
57.	Asi Basaliya, Pahadawar	313.00	-do-	-do-	
58.	Varta Tarangini	274.32	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corp. Ltd., 11-5-423/1, Zafarbagh, Ladki-ka-pool, Hyderabad-500 004.	News & Current Events' (Release in A.P. circuit).	
59.	Mahitichitra	274.32	Asstt. Director of Information Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block-7, Gandhinagar-382010	News & Current Events' (Release in Gujarat circuit).
60.	Our Gallant Sons	541.00	Films Division, Govt. of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.	Approved for general release under the classification 'Documentary'.	
61.	Andhra Pradesh Devalayalu	259.38	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation Ltd., 11-5-423/1, Zafarbagh, Hyderabad-500 004.	Approved for release in A.P. circuit under the classification 'Documentary'.	
62.	Tarataralu Telugu Samas-kruti.	308.15	-do-	-do-	
63.	Mahitichitra	298.70	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research, Laboratories Ltd., 77, Dr. Annie Besant Road, Worli, Bombay.	Director of Inf., Govt. of Gujarat, Gujarat.	Approved for general release in Gujarat circuit under the classification 'Documentary'.
64.	Jyothi Jyot Jale	598.63	Asstt. Director of Inf., Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. Annie Besant Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Inf., Govt. of Gujarat, Gujarat.	Approved for gen. release in Gujarat circuit under the classification 'Documentary'.
65.	Maharashtra New No. 399	195.00	Directorate Gen. of Inf., & Public Relations., Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68, Tardeo Road, Bombay-34.	Govt. of Maharashtra.	Approved for gen. release in Maharashtra circuit under the classification 'Documentary'.

1	2	3	4	5	6
66.	Cattle Wealth of North-East	256.87	Shri Ardhender Bhattacharya, Hotel Hiramani Pvt. Ltd., Lalbough, Bombay-440 014	Shri Rishan Rapsang English Neo Cine Prod., Jaiaw, Langsning Shillong-792002.	Approved for release in North Eastern States and classified as 'Documentary'.
67.	Nasha	158.61	Deepak Bakshi, 8, Tulsi, Kharpali Road, Khar, Bombay-400 052.		Approved for gen. release and classified as 'documentary'.
68.	Modhara Surya Mandir	286.51	Asstt. Dir. of Inf., (Films), Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar-382010.	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as 'documentary'.
69.	Pragani Ne Panthe	990.00	Asstt. Dir of Inf., (Films) Govt. of Ramnord Research Lab. Pvt. Ltd., 77-Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Dir. of Inf., Govt. of Gujarat Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar-382010.	Approved for release in Gujarat circuit and classified as 'documentary'.
70.	Floating Bank	317.64	Films Division, Govt. of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.		Approved for gen. release and classified as 'documentary'.
71.	Gurbani	295.00		-do-	-do-
72.	Mahitichitra No. 418	283.46	Asstt. Dir. of Inf., (Films), Govt. of Gujarat, 77-Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-400 018.	Director of Inf., Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar.	Approved for Gujarat circuit and classified as News & Current Events.
73.	Shakari Kshetra Gujarat	561.75	Asstt. Director of Inf., (Films) Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research. Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Dir. of Gujarat Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar.	'Documentary' Release in Gujarat circuit.
74.	Prevent Blindness, Preserve sight.	298.00	Films Division, Bombay-400 026.	24-Peddar Road,	'Documentary' Gen. release.
75.	Cattle Wealth of North East (Shorter version)	256.87	Ardhendra Bhattacharya, Hotel Hiramani Pvt. Ltd. Lalbaug, Bombay-14.	Rishon Rapsang Neo Cine Production, Jaiaw Langming, Shillong-793002.	'Documentary' Gen. release.
76.	Hum Ek Rahenge	365.00	Prem Dhawan Prod. 11, A-Jiwan, Nepean, Sea Road, Bombay-6.	Prem Dhawan, 11/A-Jiwan, Nepean Sea Road, Bombay-6.	'Documentary' Gen. release.
77.	Sau Na Sathavre Siddhi	472.44	Asstt. Dir. of Inf., Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-400 018.	Dir. of Inf., Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar-382010.	'Documentary' Release in Gujarat circuit.
78.	1857—The Beginning	592.00	Films Division, Govt. of India, Bombay-400 026.	24-Peddar Road,	'Documentary' Gen. Release.

1	2	3	4	5	6
79. Daina	597.40	Chanakya Brahma Secretary, The Bodo Film Society, Kokrajhar, Assam.	The Bodo Film Society, Kokrajhar, Assam.	'Documentary' (for re-release in areas where Assamese language is spoken.	
79A. Garja Jayyaykar	285.00	Directorate General of Inf., & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-400034.		'Documentary' Release in Maharashtra circuit.	
80. Varta Tarangini No. 62	265.24	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation Ltd., 11-5-423/1, Jafarbagh, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad-500 004.		News and Current Events (Release in A.P. circuit)	
81. Indigenous Technology	302.00	Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026		Documentary, Gen. release	
82. Fission Power Kalpakkam	293.00	Fali Billimoria Production, Film Centre, 4th Floor, 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-400 024.		'Documentary'. Gen. release.	
83. Mahitichitra No. 419	298.70	Asstt. Dir. of Inf., (Films), Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Inf., Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar-383010.	News & Current Events (Release in Gujarat circuit).	
84. Drushtee	457.00	-do-	-do-	Documentary, Release in Gujarat circuit.	
85. The Spirit of Nationalism	598.32	Films Division, Government of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.		'Documentary'. Gen. release.	
86. Maharashtra News No. 400	296.00	Directorate General of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-400 034.		News & Current Events (Release in Maharashtra circuit).	
87. Varta Tarangini No. 63	262.13	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation Ltd., 11-5-423/1, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad-500 004.		News & Current Events (Release in A.P. circuit).	
88. Stepping Forward	299.92	M/s. Gobardhan, Adhikary Prod., A-12, Sunder Roy Road, Calcutta-39.		'Documentary' General release.	
89. Ek Akar	593.45	S.S. Gulzar, Prop., M/s. Movie House, 91-A, Cozihom, 251, Pali Hill, Bandra, Bombay-400 050.		-do-	
90. Akhbar Subahka Kahani Raat Ki	301.00	Bachchan Srivastava, Maddhyam, 16, Daryog, New Delhi-110002.		-do	
91. Mahitichitra No. 420.	298.70	Vinod Ganatra, Asstt. Dir. of Inf., (Films) Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., Bombay-400 018.	Dir. of Inf., Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar-10.	News & Current Events' (Release in Gujarat circuit).	
92. Madhya Pradesh Samachar Darshan No. 50.	271.58	Anand Hatvalne, C/o Director, Inf. & Publicity, M.P. Bhopal.	Dir. of Inf. & Publicity, Bhopal.	News & Current Events' (Release in M.P. circuit).	

1	2	3	4	5	6
93.	Call for Swaraj and Swadeshi	575.76	Films Division, Govt. of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.		'Documentary' Release in India circuit.
94.	Varta Tarangini No. 64	201.17	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corp. Ltd. 11-5-423/1, Jafarbagh, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad-500 004.		'News & Current Events' (Release in A. P. Circuit)
95.	Maulana Mohammad Ali Jauhar	439.52	Films Division, Govt. of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.		'Documentary' General release.
96.	Chattisgarh	530.97	S.G. Khirwadkar Jt. Dir., Director, Inf. & Publicity, Documentary. Inf. & Publicity, M.P. Govt., Bhopal.	M.P. Govt., Bhopal.	Release in M.P. circuit.
97.	Mahitichitra No. 421.	298.70	Asstt. Dir. of Inf., (Films) Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Dir. of Inf., Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalya, Block 7, Gandhinagar-10.	'News & Current Events' (Release in Gujarat circuit).
98.	Gujarat Stadium Khat Pratham Match.		-do-	-do-	'Documentary' Release in Gujarat circuit.
99.	Sentinels of the North East- The Assam Rifles.	466.35	Shankar Ghosh, P/86/D-New C.I.T. Road, Calcutta-700 014.		'Documentary' General release.
100.	Then came Gandhi	589.00	Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.		-do-
101.	Bihar Samachar Chitra No. 33	243.84	M. Jha, Film Editor, Information & Public Relations Deptt., Govt. of Bihar, Patna.	Dir. of Inf. & Public Relations, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.	'News & Current Events' (Release in Bihar circuit).
102.	-do- No. 32	259.08	-do-	-do-	-do-
103.	-do- No. 31	237.74	-do-	-do-	-do-
104.	Our Gallant Sons		Films Division, Government of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.		'Documentary' General Release.
105.	Uplabhadhi	278.59	M. Jha, Film Editor, Inf. & Public Relations, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.	Director of Inf. & Public Relations, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.	'Documentary'. Release in Bihar circuit.
106.	Karle	265.00	Directorate General of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-400 034.		'Documentary'. General release.
107.	After Comma, A Full Stop	110.00		-do-	-do-
108.	Madhya Pradesh Samachar No. 51	260.60	Anand Hatvalne C/o Director, Inf. & Publicity, Govt. of M.P. Bhopal.	Director of Inf. & Publicity, Govt. of M.P., Bhopal.	'News & Current Events' (Release in M.P. circuit.)

1	2	3	4	5	6
109.	Uttar Pradesh Samachar No. 118	276.45	Dhirendra Pande, Producer Newsreels, Directorate of Inf. & Public Relations, Govt. of U.P., Lucknow.	'News & Current Events' ('Release in U.P. circuit).	
110.	Warana	600.00	Directorate General of Inf. & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-34.	Documentary (Release in Maharashtra circuit).	
111.	Dawn of Gandhian Era	567.00	Films Division, Govt. of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-26.	'Documentary'. Gen. release)	
112.	Mahitichitra No. 422	250.03	Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya Block-7, Gandhinagar.	'News & Current Events' (Release in Gujarat circuit.)
113.	Varta Yarangini No. 65	224.08	Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation, Ltd., 11-5-423/1, Azfar Bagh, Lakdi-Ka-Pool, Hyderabad.		News & Current Event (Release in A.P. circuit).
114.	Madhya Pradesh Samachar No. 52	279.50	Anand Hatvalne C/o Director, Inf. & Publicity, Govt. of M.P., Bhopal	Director, Inf. & Publicity, Govt., of M.P., Bhopal	News & Current Events (Release in M.P. circuit)
115.	Dharti No Chhedo Ghar		Asstt. Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd. 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar.	'Documentary' (Release in Gujarat circuit)
116.	A different Destiny	548.64	B.N. Nane, Member-Secretary, People's Action for Development (Mah.), Agriculture and Cooperation Deptt. Mantralaya, Bombay-32.	People's Action for Development (Mah.), Agriculture and Co-operation Deptt. Mantralaya Annex, Bombay-32.	'Documentary' (Release in Maharashtra circuit)
117.	The devignt Saga	305.00	Shri Shankar Ghosh, P 86/D New C.I.T. Road, Calcutta-14.		'Documentary' Gen. release.
118.	Export Promotion in Gujarat	471.83	Asstt. Dir. of Information (F), Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Inf. Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar-382010.	'Documentary' (Release in Gujarat circuit)
119.	Let us take a holiday	288.00	Directorate General of Information & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-400 034.		'Documentary' (Release in Maharashtra)
120.	Non-Cooperation Movement	529.00	Films Division, Government of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.		'Documentary' General release).
121.	Mahitichitra No. 423	249.94	Asstt. Director of Inf. (Films), Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Director of Inf., Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya Block 7, Gandhinagar-382010.	News & Current Events (Release in Gujarat circuit).

1	2	3	4	5	6
122.	Maharashtra News No. 401	290.00	Directorate General of Inf. & Public Relations, Government of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-400034.	'News & Current Events' (Release in Maharashtra circuit).	
123.	Let us Sing Together	505.00	Films Division, Govt. of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.	Approved for Gen. release & classified as 'documentary'.	
124.	Puttadi Bomma Poornamma	594.77	T. Krishna, 1-8-161/7, Prenderghast Road, Secunderabad.	Approved for release in A.P. circuit & classified as 'documentary'	
125.	Mandala Vidhanam		Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation Ltd., 11-5-423/1, Zaffarbagh, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad-500 004.	-do-	
126.	Sahkar	590.70	Shri Prush Baskar, Secretary, The Creators' Film Prod. Co-op. Society Ltd. 5F Naaz Bldg., Lamington Road, Bombay-400 004.	Approved for Maharashtra circuit and classified as 'documentary'.	
127.	Mahamati Pran Nath	510.00	Films Division, Government of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400 026.	'Documentary' General release.	
128.	Ram Tule Ram	449.12	-do-	'Documentary' Gen. release.	
129.	Thirakte Paunv	592.00	Directorate General of Inf. & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-400 034.	'Documentary' (Release in Maharashtra circuit).	
130.	Perte Wha	294.00	S.M. Junnarkar 12-A, Nandadevi Co-op. Housing Society, TPSV Road, No. 8 Santacruz (E), Bombay-53.	Director, Films Directorate Gen. of Inf. & Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, Bombay-32.	-do-
131.	Varta Tarangini No. 65	259.08	A.P. State Film Development Corporation Ltd. 11-5-423/1, Zafar Bagh, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad-50004.	'News and Current Events' (Release in A.P. circuit).	
132.	Coal India Surging Ahead in the Eigthees.	526.40	Coal India Limited, Coal Bhavan, 1-Netaji Subhas Road, Calcutta-1.	'Documentary' Gen. release.	
133.	Shanti Jyot	365.76	Asstt. Dir of Inf., Govt. of Gujarat, Ramnord Research Lab. Ltd., 77, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Bombay-18.	Dir. of Inf., Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalaya, Block 7, Gandhinagar-382010.	'News and Current Events' (Release in Gujarat circuit).
134.	Lepakshi Silpa Sampada		V.U. Rao, C/o, V.S. Prasad, 1-2-41, Domalguda, Hyderabad.		A.P. circuit and classified as documentary.
135.	Your Precious Vote		Films Division, Govt. of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.		General release and classified as documentary.
136.	Amar Jawan		-do-		-do-
137.	Victory at Bar-doli		-do-		-do-

राज शक्तिपाल

नई दिल्ली, 27 मार्च, 1987

का.पा. 1039—खान नियम, 1955 के नियम 72 के उप नियम (2) के अंडे (b) के अनुसार में केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त नियम के प्रयोजनार्थ, राष्ट्रीय अम संस्थान, नई दिल्ली द्वारा भौतिकी संबन्ध और कार्यालय प्रबन्ध अवधार में प्रदत्त स्नातकोत्तर विषयों को मार्गदर्शन प्रशासन करती है।

[एस-65025/1/86-एस-I]
प्रारूप ट्रॉ पार्केय, उप सचिव

MINISTRY OF LABOUR

New Delhi, the 27th March, 1987

S.O. 1039.—In pursuance of clause (b) of sub-rule (2) of rule 72 of the Mines Rules, 1955, the Central Government hereby recognises for the purpose of the above rule, the Post Graduate Diploma in Industrial Relations and Personnel Management awarded by the National Labour Institute, New Delhi.

[S-65025/1/86-M-I]
R. T. PANDEY, Dy. Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 30 मार्च, 1987

का.पा. 1040—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 1 की उपधारा (3) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा 1 अप्रैल, 1987 को उस तारीख के रूप में नियत करती है, जिसको उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 4 (धारा 44 और 45 के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त की जा चुकी है) और अध्याय 5 और 6 (धारा 76 की उपधारा (1) और धारा 77, 78, 79 और 81 के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त की जा चुकी है) के उपर्युक्त उक्त राज्य के नियोजित भौत में प्रवृत्त होंगे अर्थात्—

“जिला मयूर भंज में तहसील बेटनोटी के तथा पुरुषोत्तमपुर राजस्व प्राप्त के अन्तर्गत आमे वाले भौत।

[संघ्या एस-38013/13/87-एस.एस.-I]

New Delhi, the 30th March, 1987

S.O.1040.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 1 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby appoints the 1st April, 1987 as the date on which the provisions of Chapter IV (except sections 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force) and Chapters V and VI (except sub-section (1) of section 76 and Sections 77, 78, 79 and 81 which have already been brought into force) of the said Act shall come into force in the following areas in the State of Orissa, namely:—

“Area within the revenue village of Nayapurusottampur under Tehsil Betnoti in the Distt. of Mayurbhanj.”

[No. S-38013/13/87-SS.I]

नई दिल्ली, 31 मार्च, 1987

का.पा. 1041.—मैसर्स उबमेक डैटोज सि., रजि. प्राफिस एप्ल एस्स, मेकफैक्टरी रोड, यशवंतपुरा, बंगलौर (के. न./1031) (जिसे इसमें इसके प्रश्नात उक्त स्थापन कहा गया है) ने कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकोर्ण उपबन्ध अधिनियम, 1952 का 17 (जिसे इसके प्रश्नात उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) के प्रधीन छूट दिये जाने के लिए आवेदन किया है।

और केन्द्रीय सरकार का समावान हो गया है कि उक्त स्थापन के कर्मचारी, किसी पृथक अधिकाय या भीमियम का संवाद किये जिता ही, भारतीय बीमा नियम की सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रधीन जीवन

बीमा के रूप में कायदे उठा रहे हैं और ऐसे कर्मचारियों के लिये ये कायदे उन कायदों से अधिक अनुकूल हैं जो कर्मचारी नियोप सहबद्ध बीमा स्कीम 1976 (जिसे इसमें इसके प्रश्नात उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के अधीन रहते हैं।

इतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा 2क द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और इससे उपबद्ध अनुकूली में विनियोजित शर्तों के प्रधीन रहते हुए, उक्त स्थापन की तीन वर्ष की अधिकाय के लिए उक्त स्कीम के सभी उपबन्धों के प्रवर्तन से छूट देती है।

अनुसूची

1. उक्त स्थापन के संबंध में नियोजक प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि अनुकूल, बंगलौर को ऐसी विवरणियां में जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, समय पर नियोजित करे।

2. नियोजक, ऐसे नियोजन प्रभारों का प्रत्येक सास की समाप्ति के 15 वर्ष के भीतर संदाय करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा 3क के खण्ड के अधीन समय-समय पर नियोजित करे।

3. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रभासन में, जिसके प्रत्यर्गत लेखांशों का रखा जाना, विवरणियों का प्रस्तुत किया जाना, बीमा भीमियम का संवाद, लेखांशों का छल्लण, नियोजन प्रभारों संदाय आदि भी है, होने वाले सभी अध्यों का बहन नियोजक द्वारा दिया जाएगा।

4. नियोजन, केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा अनुमोदित सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के नियमों की एक प्रति और जब कभी उनमें संशोधन किया जाये, तब उस संशोधन की प्रति तथा कर्मचारियों की अनुमति। इस आदा में उसकी मृत्यु शर्तों का अनुवाद स्थापन के भूजन पट्ट पर प्रवर्शित करेगा।

5. यदि कोई ऐसा कर्मचारी जो कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि का या उपलब्ध अधिनियम के प्रधीन छूट प्राप्त कियी स्थापन की भविष्य निधि का पहले ही सदस्य है, उसके स्थापन में नियोजित किया जाता है तो, नियोजन सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के सबस्य के रूप में उसका नाम तुरन्त वर्ष करेगा अगर उसकी जीवन भावधार भीमियम भारतीय बीमा नियम को संदर्भ करेगा।

6. यदि उक्त स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि को उपलब्ध कायदे बढ़ाये जाते हैं तो, नियोजक सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध कायदों में समुचित रूप से वृद्धि किये जाने की अवधारणा करेगा कि कर्मचारियों के लिए सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रधीन उपलब्ध कायदे उन कायदों से अधिक अनुकूल हो जो उक्त स्कीम के प्रधीन अनुसूची है।

7. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम में किसी बात के होने हुए भी यदि किसी कर्मचारी की मृत्यु पर इस स्कीम के अधीन संदेय रकम उस रकम से कम है जो कर्मचारी को उस दण में संदेय होती जब वह उक्त स्कीम के प्रधीन होता होता तो, नियोजक कर्मचारी के विविध वारिस/नाम नियंत्रिती को प्रतिक्रिया के रूप में दोनों रकमों के अन्तर के बाराहर रकम का संदाय करेगा।

8. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के उपबन्धों में कोई भी संशोधन प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि अनुकूल, बंगलौर के पूर्व अनुमोदन के बिना नहीं किया जाएगा और जहाँ किसी संशोधन से कर्मचारियों के हित पर प्रतिकूल प्रभाव पड़ने की संभावना हो, वहाँ प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त अपना अनुमोदन देने से पूर्व कर्मचारियों को अपना दुष्टिकोण स्पष्ट करने का योक्तियुक्त अवसर देगा।

9. यदि किसी वारिस/नाम स्थापन के कर्मचारी भारतीय बीमा नियम को उस सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के, जिसे स्थापन पहले अपना छुका है प्रधीन नहीं रह जाता है या इस स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को प्राप्त

होने वाले कायदे किसी रीत से कम हो जाते हैं, तो यह रद्द की जा सकती है।

10. यदि किसी कारणबद्ध नियोजक उस नियत तारीख के भीतर जो भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम नियत करे, प्रीमियम का संदाय करने में दूसरों रहता है और पालिसी को व्यवस्था हो जाने दिया जाता है तो, छूट रद्द की जा सकती है।

11. नियोजक द्वारा प्रीमियम के संदाय में किये गये किसी व्यतिक्रम की दण में उन मूल सदस्यों के नाम निर्विवितियों या विधिक वारिसों को जो यदि यह छूट न दी गई होती तो, उक्त स्कीम के अन्तर्गत होते। बीमा कायदों के उदाय का उत्तरदायित्व नियोजक पर होगा।

12. उक्त स्थापन के संबंध में नियोजक इस स्कीम के ग्राहीन भाने वाले किसी सदस्य की मृत्यु होने पर उसके हुक्मदार नाम निर्विवितियों/विधिक वारिसों को बीमाहत रकम का संदाय तथाता से और प्रत्येक शाव में भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम से बीमाहत रकम प्राप्त होने के एक मात्र के भीतर मुनिष्वित करेगा।

[संख्या एस-35014(25)/87-एस एस-2]

New Delhi, the 31st March, 1987

S.O. 1041.—Whereas Messrs UB-MEC Batteries Limited, Regd. Office & Works, MEC Factory Road, Yeshwantpura, Bangalore-22(KN/1031) (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied for exemption under sub-section (2A) of Section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds & Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act);

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are, without making any separate contribution or payment of premium, in enjoyment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees than the benefits admissible under the Employees' Deposit Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

SCHEDULE

1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Bangalore and maintain such accounts and provide such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time.

2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month.

3. All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme, including maintenance of accounts, submission of returns, payment of insurance premia, transfer of accounts, payment of inspection charges etc. shall be borne by the employer.

4. The employer shall display on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees.

5. Whereas an employee, who is already a member of the Employees' Provident Fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay necessary

premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.

6. The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced, so that the benefits available under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.

7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this Scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal heir/nominee of the employee as compensation.

8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme, shall be made (without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Bangalore and where any amendment is likely to effect adversely the interest of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

9. Where, for any reason, the employees of the said establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.

10. Where, for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium etc. within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled.

11. In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Scheme but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer.

12. Upon the death of the members covered under the Scheme the Life Insurance Corporation of India shall ensure prompt payment of sum assured to the nominee or the legal heirs of the deceased member entitled for it and in any case within one month from the receipt for claim complete in all respects.

[No. S-35014/25/87-SS.III]

का.सा. 1042.—मैसर्स विविजय टेक्सटाइल, आंगन एण्ड प्रिंटिंग मिल 12-3, हैली इण्डस्ट्रियल एरिया, जोधपुर (धार.जे./1551) (जिसे इसके पश्चात उक्त स्थापन कहा गया है) ने कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकोण उपबन्ध अधिनियम, 1952 का 17 (जिसे इसके पश्चात उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) के ग्राहीत छूट दिये जाने के लिए आवेदन किया है।

और केन्द्रीय सरकार का समाधान हो गया है कि उक्त स्थापन के कर्मचारी, किसी पृथक प्रधिदय या प्रीमियम का संदाय किय बिना ही, भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम की सामिक्रिय बीमा स्कीम के ग्राहीन जीवन बीमा के रूप में कायदे उठा रहे हैं और ऐसे कर्मचारियों के लिए फायदे, उन कायदों से भविष्य प्रनुकूल हैं जो कर्मचारी निषेध संबंधी बीमा स्कीम, 1976 (जिसे इसके पश्चात उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के ग्राहीत जन्हें ब्रह्मैश हैं।

प्रत: केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा 2क द्वारा प्रदत्त अधिकारों का प्रयोग करने हुए और उससे उपबन्ध मनुसूची में विनिष्टित स्तरों के ग्राहीन रहने हुए, उक्त स्थापन की सीन वर्ष की प्रवधि के लिए उक्त स्कीम के सभी उपबन्धों के प्रवर्तन से छूट देती है।

प्रमुखता

1. उक्त स्थापन के संबंध में नियोजक प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त, राजस्थान को ऐसी विवरणियाँ भेजेगा और ऐसे लेखा जाएगा तथा नियोजन के लिए ऐसी सुविधायें प्रवान करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, समय समय पर निर्दिष्ट करें।

2. नियोजक, ऐसे नियोजन प्रभारों का प्रत्येक मास की समाप्ति के 15 दिन के भीतर संदाय करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त धैर्यनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा 3क के खण्ड-क के अधीन समय समय पर निर्दिष्ट करें।

3. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रशासन में, जिसके अन्तर्गत लेखाओं का रखा जाना, विवरणियों का प्रस्तुत किया जाना, बीमा प्रीमियम का संदाय, लेखाओं का अन्तर्गत, नियोजन प्रभारों संदाय आदि भी है, होने वाले सभी व्ययों का बहन नियोजक द्वारा दिया जायगा।

4. नियोजन, केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा अनुमोदित सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के नियमों की एक प्रति और जब कभी उत्तर्व संगोष्ठन किया जाये, तब उस संगोष्ठन की प्रति तथा कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या की साथा में उसकी मूल्य बासों का अनुआद स्थापन के सूचना पट्ट पर प्रवर्णित करेगा।

5. यदि कोई ऐसा कर्मचारी जो कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि का या उक्त धैर्यनियम के अधीन छूट प्राप्त किसी स्थापन का भविष्य निधि का पहले ही संदर्भ है, उसके स्थापन में नियोजित किया जाता है तो, नियोजन सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के वरद्य के रूप में उसका नाम सुरक्षा इर्ज करेगा और उसकी बाबत आवश्यक प्रीमियम भारतीय बीमा नियम को संबंध बरेगा।

6. यदि उक्त स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदे बढ़ावे जाते हैं तो, नियोजक सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदों में समुचित रूप से वृद्धि किये जाने की व्यवस्था करेगा जिससे कि कर्मचारियों के लिए सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन उपलब्ध फायदे उन कायदों से अधिक अनुकूल हों जो उक्त स्कीम के अधीन अनुकूल हैं।

7. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम में किसी बात के होते हुए भी, यदि किसी कर्मचारी की मृत्यु पर इस स्कीम के अधीन संदेश रकम उस रकम से कम है जो कर्मचारी को उस बात में संबंध होता, जब वह उक्त स्कीम के अधीन होता तो, नियोजक कर्मचारी के विविध बारिस/नामनिर्देशियों को प्रतिकर के रूप में दोनों रकमों के अन्तर के बगवार रकम का संदाय करेगा।

8. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के उपलब्धियों में कोई भी संगोष्ठन प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त, राजस्थान के पूर्व अनुमोदन के द्वारा नहीं किया जाएगा और जहां किसी संगोष्ठन से कर्मचारियों के हित पर प्रतिकूल प्रभाव पड़ते की संधारना हो, वहां प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त अपना अनुमोदन देने में पूर्व कर्मचारियों को अपना इटिकोण स्पष्ट करने का युक्तिवृक्ष इवसर देगा।

9. यदि किसी कारणवश स्थापन के कर्मचारी भारतीय बीमा नियम को उस सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के जिसे स्थापन पहले अनुकूल है, संधीन नहीं रह जाता है या इस स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को प्राप्त होने वाले फायदे किसी रौति से कम हो जाते हैं तो यह रइ की जा सकती है।

10. यदि किसी कारणवश स्थापन के कर्मचारी भारतीय बीमा नियम को उस सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के जिसे स्थापन पहले अनुकूल है, और पालिसी को व्यवस्था हो जाने दिया जाता है तो, छूट रह की जा सकती है।

11. नियोजक द्वारा प्रीमियम के संदाय में किये गये किसी व्यक्तिका की दशा में उत्तर नुस्खे स्वस्त्रों के नाम-निर्देशियों या विविध बारिसों को जो यदि यह छूट न ही गई होती तो, उक्त स्कीम के प्रभारों द्वारे बीमा फायदों के संदाय का उत्तराधिकार नियंत्रण पर होगा।

12. उक्त स्थापन के सम्बन्ध में नियोजक इस स्कीम के अधीन आने वाले किसी स्वस्त्र की मृत्यु होने पर उसके हकदार नाम-निर्देशियों/विविध बारिसों को बीमाकृत रकम का संदाय तत्पत्ता से और प्रत्येक दशा में भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम से बीमाकृत रकम प्राप्त होने के एक मात्र के भीतर सुनिश्चित करेगा।

[राज. इ-35014(23)/87-ए-2]

S.O. 1042.—Whereas Messrs. Digvijay Textile, Dyeing & Printing Mill, 12-A, Heavy Industrial Area, Jodhpur (Rajasthan) (RJ/1551) (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied for exemption under sub-section (2A) of Section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds & Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act);

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are, without making any separate contribution or payment of premium, in enjoyment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees than the benefits admissible under the Employees' Deposit Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

SCHEDULE

1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Rajasthan and maintain such accounts and provide such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time.

2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month.

3. All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme, including maintenance of accounts, submission of returns, payment of insurance premium, transfer of accounts, payment of inspection charges etc. shall be borne by the employer.

4. The employer shall display on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees.

5. Whereas an employee, who is already a member of the Employees' Provident Fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay necessary premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.

6. The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced, so that the benefits available under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.

7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this Scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal heir/nominee of the employee as compensation.

8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme, shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Rajasthan and where

any amendment is likely to effect adversely the interest of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

9. Where, for any reason, the employees of the said establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.

10. Where, for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium etc. within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled.

11. In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Scheme but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer.

12. Upon the death of the members covered under the Scheme the Life Insurance Corporation of India shall ensure prompt payment of sum assured to the nominee or the legal heirs of the deceased member entitled for it and in any case within one month from the receipt for claim complete in all respects.

[No. S-35014/26/87-SS.II]

का.पा. 1043 :—पैसवै-टोसीवाल इन्डस्ट्रीज प्राइवेट लि., इन्डस्ट्रीयल एस्टेट, मखूपुरा, अजमेर (आर.जे./1105) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्थापन कहा गया है) ने कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकोर्ट उपलब्ध प्रविनियम, 1952 (1952 का 17) जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त प्रविनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) के अधीन छूट दिये जाने के लिए आवेदन किया है।

प्रौद्योगिक सरकार का समाधान हो गया है कि उक्त स्थापन के कर्मचारी, किसी पृथक् प्राविदाय या प्रीमियम का संदाय किये बिना ही, भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम की सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन जीवन बीमा के रूप में कायदे उड़ा रखे हैं प्रौद्योगिक स्कीम के कर्मचारियों के लिए भी कायदे, उन कायदों से प्रविधि प्रान्तकूल हैं जो कर्मचारी निकेप सहबद्ध बीमा स्कीम 1976 (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के अधीन चलें गयनीय है।

प्रतः, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त प्रविनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा 2क द्वारा प्रवत्त स्थितियों का प्रयोग करते हुए प्रौद्योगिक स्कीम से निविष्ट शर्तों के अधीन रहते हुए, उक्त स्थापन की तीन वर्ष की प्रविधि के लिए उक्त स्कीम के सभी उपलब्धों के प्रवर्तन से छूट देती है।

प्रान्तकूल

1. उक्त स्थापन के सम्बन्ध में नियोजक प्रावेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त, राजस्थान को ऐसी विवरणिया भेजेगा प्रौद्योगिक स्कीम के निरीक्षण के लिए ऐसी सुविधायें प्रदान करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, सभ्य पर निविष्ट करे।

2. नियोजक, ऐसी निरीक्षण प्रभारों का प्रस्तेक मास की समाप्ति के 15 दिन के भीतर संदाय करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त प्रविनियम की धारा-17, की उपधारा 3-क के छान्ड के प्रधीन समय समय पर निविष्ट करे।

3. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रशासन में, जिसके अन्तर्गत लेखांशों का रखा जाना, विवरणियों का प्रस्तुत किया जाना, बीमा प्रीमियम का संदाय, लेखांशों का अन्तर्गत, निरीक्षण प्रभारों संदाय भावि भी है, होने वाले सभी व्ययों का वहन नियोजक द्वारा दिया जाएगा।

4. नियोजक, केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा अनुमोदित सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के नियमों की एक प्रति प्रौद्योगिक संस्थान की धारा 17 के संशोधन की प्रति तथा कर्मचारियों की वहुसंज्ञा की धारा में उसकी मूल्य वार्तों का अनुदाद स्थापन के तृतीय पद्धति पर प्रदर्शित करेगा।

5. यदि कोई दूसरा कर्मचारी जो कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि का या उक्त प्रविनियम के अधीन छूट प्राप्त किसी स्थापन की भविष्य निधि का पालने हो सकता है, उसके स्थापन में नियोजित किया जाता है तो, नियोजन सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के सदस्य के रूप में उसका नाम तुरस्त बने करेगा और उसकी वालत भावधारक प्रीमियम भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम की संदर्भ करेगा।

6. यदि उक्त स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध कायदे में समुचित रूप से वृद्धि किये जाने की व्यवस्था करेगा जिससे कि कर्मचारियों के लिए सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन उपलब्ध कायदे उन कायदों से प्रविधि प्रान्तकूल हो जो उक्त स्कीम के अधीन प्राप्त हैं।

7. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम में किसी बात के होते हुए भी यदि किसी कर्मचारी की मृत्यु पर इस स्कीम के अधीन संदेय रकम उस रकम से कम है जो कर्मचारी को उस दशा में संदेय होती जब वह उक्त स्कीम के अधीन होता हो, नियोजक कर्मचारी के विधिक वारिस/नाम निर्देशिती को प्रतिकर के रूप में दोनों रकमों के अन्तर के बराबर रकम का संदाय करेगा।

8. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के उपलब्धों में कोई भी संशोधन प्रावेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त, राजस्थान के पूर्व अनुमोदन के बिना नहीं किया जाएगा प्रौद्योगिक संशोधन से कर्मचारियों के हित पर प्रतिकूल प्रभाव पहने की संभावना हो, वही प्रावेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त अपना अनुमोदन देने से पूर्व कर्मचारियों को अपना दृष्टिकोण स्पष्ट करने का युक्तियुक्त प्रवत्तर देगा।

9. यदि किसी कारणवश स्थापन के कर्मचारी भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को उस सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के, जिसे स्थापन पहले अपना भुक्ता है, अधीन नहीं रह जाता है या इस स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को प्राप्त होने वाले कायदे किसी रीति से कम हो जाते हैं, तो यदि रह की जा सकती है।

10. यदि किसी कारणवश नियोजक उस नियत तारीख के भीतर जो भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम नियत करे, प्रीमियम का संदाय करने में, असफल रहता है प्रौद्योगिक स्कीम को व्यवगत हो जाने विधि जात है तो छूट रह की जा सकती है।

11. नियोजक द्वारा प्रीमियम के संदाय में किये गये किसी व्यतिक्रम की दशा में उन भूत सदस्यों के नाम निर्देशितीया या विधिक वारिसों को जो यदि यह छूट न दी गई होती हो, उक्त स्कीम के अन्तर्गत होते। बीमा कायदों के संदाय का उत्तरवाचिक नियोजक पर होगा।

12. उक्त स्थापन के सम्बन्ध में नियोजक इस स्कीम के अधीन आये वाले किसी सदस्य की मृत्यु होने पर उसके हकदार नाम निर्देशितीयों/विधिक वारिसों को बीमाकृत रकम का संदाय तथ्यता से प्रौद्योगिक वाले में भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम से बीमाकृत रकम प्राप्त होने के एक मास के भीतर सुलिखित करेगा।

[संख्या एस-35014(27)/87-एस.एस. 2]

S.O. 1043.—Whereas Messrs. Toshniwal Industrial Private Limited, Industrial Estate, Makhpura, Ajmer (RJ/1105) (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied for exemption under sub-section (2A) of Section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds & Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act);

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are, without making any separate contribution or payment of premium, in enjoyment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life

Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees than the benefits admissible under the Employees' Deposit Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

SCHEDULE

1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner Rajasthan and maintain such accounts and provide such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time.

2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month.

3. All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme including maintenance of accounts, submission of returns, payment of insurance premia, transfer of accounts, payment of inspection charges etc. shall be borne by the employer.

4. The employer shall display on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when attended, alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees.

5. Whereas, an employee, who is already a member of the Employees' Provident Fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay necessary premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.

6. The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced, so that the benefits available under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.

7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this Scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal heir/nominee of the employee as compensation.

8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme, shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Rajasthan and where any amendment is likely to effect adversely the interest of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

9. Where, for any reason, the employees of the said establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.

10. Where, for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium etc. within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled.

11. In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said

Scheme but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer.

12. Upon the death of the members covered under the Scheme the Life Insurance Corporation of India shall ensure prompt payment of sum assured to the nominee or the Legal heirs of the deceased member entitled for it and in any case within one month from the receipt for claim complete in all respects.

[No. S-35014/27/87-SS-II]

का. आ. 1043.—मैसर्स सीन्यॉटिक स्टोन्स, ई 244, मेरुधर इन्डस्ट्रीजल एरिया, फर 2 बतानी, जोधपुर (मार.जे. 3745) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्थापन कहा गया है) ने कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रक्रीण उपचय अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 17) जिसे इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है की धारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) के अधीन छूट दिये जाने के लिए प्रावेदन किया है।

और केन्द्रीय सरकार का समाधान हो गया है कि उक्त स्थापन के कर्मचारी, जिसी पृष्ठ के अधिनियम या प्रीमियम का संदाय किये बिना ही, भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम की सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन जीवन बीमा के रूप में फायदे उठा रहे हैं और ऐसे कर्मचारियों के लिए ये फायदे उन फायदों से प्रायिक अनुकूल हैं जो कर्मचारी निश्चेन गहवड़ बीमा स्कीम, 1976 (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के अधीन उन्हें भनुत्ते हैं।

प्रत: केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा 2क द्वारा प्रत्यक्ष अविकल्पों का प्रयोग करते हुए और इससे उपावद अनुदूची में विविदिष्ट शर्तों के अधीन एहते हुए, उक्त स्थापन की तीन वर्ष की अधिकारी प्रबन्धन के लिए उक्त स्कीम के सभी उपचारों के प्रबन्धन से छूट देती है।

प्रावेदन

1. उक्त स्थापन के सत्यावद में नियोजक प्रावेदित भविष्य निधि आपूक्त, राजस्थान की ऐसी विवरणियों जैजेया और ऐसे लेखा रखेंगा तथा निरीक्षण के लिए ऐसी सुविधाओं प्रदान करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, समय-समय पर निर्दिष्ट करे।

2. नियोजक, ऐसे निरीक्षण प्रभारों का प्रत्येक मास की समाप्ति के 15 दिन के भीतर संदाय करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा 3-क के खण्ड-क के अधीन समय-समय पर निर्दिष्ट करे।

3. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रशासन में, जिसके अन्तर्गत लेखामों का रखा जाना, विवरणियों का प्रस्तुत किया जाना, बीमा प्रीमियम का संदाय, लेखामों का अन्तरण, निरीक्षण प्रभारों संदाय आदि भी है, होने वाले सभी व्यापों का बहन नियोजक द्वारा दिया जाएगा।

4. नियोजन, केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा अनुमोदित सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के गिरिमों की एक प्रति और अब कभी उनमें संशोधन किया जाये, तब उन गिरोहन की प्रति तथा कर्मचारियों की बदुमंडा की भावा में उसकी अनुवाद सासों का सूचना पट्ट पर प्रवर्णित करेगा।

5. यदि कोई ऐसा कर्मचारी जो कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि का या उक्त अधिनियम के अधीन छूट प्राप्त किसी स्थापन की भविष्य निधि का पहले ही सदस्य है, उसके स्थापन में नियोजित किया जाता है सो, नियोजन सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के सदस्य के रूप में उसका नाम तुरन्त दर्ज करेगा और उसकी वास्तव आवश्यक प्रीमियम भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम को संदर्भ करेगा।

6. यदि उक्त स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपचय फायदे बढ़ाये जाते हैं तो, नियोजक सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपचय फायदों में समुचित रूप से वृद्धि किये जाने की व्यवस्था करेगा जिससे कर्मचारियों के लिए सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रधीन उपचय फायदे उन फायदों से अधिक अनुकूल हों जो उक्त स्कीम के प्रधीन अनुज्ञा हैं।

7. मामूलिक बीमा स्कीम में किसी बात के होते हुए भी यदि किसी कर्मचारी की मृत्यु पर इस स्कीम के अधीन वंचिय रकम उम रकम से कम है तो कर्मचारी को उम दिया में सेवा होती जब वह उक्त स्कीम के अधीन होता तो, तिन्हीं कर्मचारी के विविध वारिस/ताब्द निर्देशिका को प्रतिकर करने में दोनों रकमों के अन्तर के बाबार रकम का संवाद करेगा।

8. मामूलिक बीमा स्कीम के उपबन्धों में कोई भी संशोधन प्रादेशिक विविध नियंत्रित प्राप्ति, राजस्वान के पूर्व अनुमोदन के बिना नहीं किया जाएगा और जहाँ किसी संशोधन से कर्मचारियों के हित पर प्रतिकूल प्रभाव पड़ने की संभावना हो, वहाँ प्रादेशिक विविध नियंत्रित प्राप्ति अनुमोदन देने से पूर्व कर्मचारियों को आना दृष्टिकोण साफ़ करने का युक्तिपूर्ण अवसर होगा।

9. यदि किसी कारणवश स्थापन के कर्मचारी भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम को उस मामूलिक बीमा स्कीम के, जिसे स्थापन पहले आपा चुका है प्रवीन नहीं रद्द जाता है तो इस स्कीम के प्रधीन कर्मचारियों को प्राप्त होते वाले कापदे किसी रीति से कम हो जाते हैं, तो वह रद्द की जा सकती है।

10. यदि किसी कारणवश नियोजन उस नियम तारीख के भीतर जो भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम नियत करें, प्रीमियम का संदाय करने में असकन रहता है और पालिसी को व्यवगत हो जाने दिया जाता है तो, रद्द रद्द की जा सकती है।

11. नियोजक द्वारा प्रीमियम के संवाद में किये जाने किसी व्यापक कमी की दशा में उन मूर्त सदस्यों के नाम विवेशियों पर विविध वारिसों को जो यदि यह धूट न दी गई होती तो, उक्त स्कीम के अनुर्गत होते। बीमा कार्यालयों के संदाय का उत्तरदायित्व नियोजन पर होता।

12. उक्त स्थापन के सम्बन्ध में नियोजक इस स्कीम के अधीन आने वाले किसी सदस्य की मृत्यु होने पर उसके हक्कदार नाम विवेशियों/विविध वारिसों को बीमाहृत रकम का संवाद तत्परता से और प्रत्येक दशा में भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम से बीमाहृत रकम प्राप्त होने के एक मास के भीतर सुनिश्चित करेगा।

[संख्या एस-35014(28) 87-एस. एस-2]

S.O. 1044.—Whereas Messrs Synthetic Stones, E-24A, Marudhar Industrial Area, Phase-II Basni, Jodhpur (RJ/3745) (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied for exemption under sub-section (2A) of Section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds & Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act);

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are, without making any separate contribution or payment of premium, in enjoyment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees than the benefits admissible under the Employees' Deposit Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

SCHEDULE

1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner Rajasthan and maintain such accounts and provide such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time.

2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month.

3. All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme including maintenance of accounts, submission of returns, payment of insurance premia, transfer of accounts, payment of inspection charges etc. shall be borne by the employer.

4. The employer shall display on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees.

5. Whereas, an employee, who is already a member of the Employees' Provident Fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay necessary premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.

6. The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced, so that the benefits available under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.

7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this Scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal heir/nominee of the employee as compensation.

8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme, shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Rajasthan and where any amendment is likely to effect adversely the interest of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

9. Where, for any reason, the employees of the said establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.

10. Where, for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium etc. within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled.

11. In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Scheme but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer.

12. Upon the death of the members covered under the Scheme the Life Insurance Corporation of India shall ensure prompt payment of sum assured to the nominee or the Legal heirs of the deceased member entitled for it and in any case within one month from the receipt for claim complete in all respects.

[No. S-35014/28/87-SS-II]

का.प्रा. 1045 :—मैसेंसेन्साबृ. उद्योग, ई-24, मरुधर, इन्डियन एसिया, फेस-2 बसनी, जोधपुर, (भार. जे./3632) (जिसे इसके पश्चात उक्त स्थापन कहा गया है) ने कर्मचारी विविध और प्रकीर्ण उपचार व्यवस्थित, 1952 (1952 का 17) (जिसे इसके पश्चात उक्त व्यवस्थित, कहा गया है) की भारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) के पर्यान धूट दिये जाने के लिए आवेदन किया है।

बौद्ध केन्द्रीय सरकार का समाधान हो गया है कि उक्त स्थापन के कर्मचारी, जिसी पृथक व्यविधाय या प्रीमियम का संदाय किये बिना ही, भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम की सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन जीवन बीमा के रूप में कायदे उठा रहे हैं और ऐसे कर्मचारियों के लिए ये कायदे उन कायदों से प्रधिक अनुकूल हैं जो कर्मचारी निलेप समूह बीमा स्कीम 1976 (जिसे इसमें इसके पावत उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के अधीन उन्हें अनुरोध हैं।

अतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त प्रधिनियम यी धारा 17 की उपधारा 3-क द्वारा प्रदत्त प्रक्रियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और इससे उपायद्र अनुमोदी में विनियोजित गतों के अधीन रहते हुए, उक्त स्थापन की तीन वर्ष की अवधि के लिए उक्त रकम के सभी उपबन्धों के प्रवर्तन से छूट देती है।

अनुसुन्धान

1. उक्त स्थापन के सम्बन्ध में नियोजक प्रावेशिक भविष्य निधि भारतीय राजस्थान को ऐसी विवरणियों देते हैं और ऐसे लेखा रखेगा तथा विवरण के लिए ऐसे सुविधायें प्रदान करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, समय समय पर विनियोजित करें।

2. नियोजक, ऐसे निरीकण-प्रभारों का प्रत्येक नाम की समाप्ति के 15 दिन के भीतर संदाय करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त प्रधिनियम की धारा-17 की उपधारा 3-क के खंड-क के अधीन समय-समय पर विनियोजित करें।

3. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रशासन में, जिसके अन्तर्गत लेखाओं का रखा जाता, विवरणियों का प्रस्तुत किया जाता, बीमा प्रीमियम का संदाय, लेखाओं का अन्तरण, निरीकण प्रभारों संदाय आदि भी हैं; होने वाले सभी व्ययों का बहुत नियोजक द्वारा दिया जाएगा।

4. नियोजन, केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा अनुमोदित सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के नियमों की एक प्रति गीर जब कभी उनमें संशोधन किया जाये, तब उस संशोधन की प्रति तथा कर्मचारियों की अवधिकृत रकम का संदाय में उसकी मूल्य बातों का अनुचाद स्थापन के सूचना पट्ट पर प्रदर्शित करेगा।

5. यदि कोई ऐसा कर्मचारी जो कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि का या उक्त प्रधिनियम के अधीन छूट प्राप्त किसी स्थापन की भविष्य निधि का पहले ही सदस्य है, उसके स्थापन में नियोजित किया जाता है तो, नियोजन मामूलिक बीमा स्कीम के भवस्थ के रूप में उसका नाम तुरंत दर्ज करेगा और उसकी बाबत आवश्यक प्रीमियम भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम को संदर्भ करेगा।

6. यदि उक्त स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध कायदे द्वारा जाते हैं तो, नियोजक सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध कायदों में वर्णित रूप से वृद्धि किये जाने की व्यवस्था करेगा जिससे कि कर्मचारियों के लिए मामूलिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन उपलब्ध कायदे उन कायदों से प्रधिक अनुकूल हों जो उक्त स्कीम के अधीन अनुरोध हैं।

7. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम में किसी बाबत के होते हुए भी यदि किसी कर्मचारी की मृत्यु पर इस स्कीम के अधीन संवेद्य रकम उस रकम से कम है जो कर्मचारी को उम दशा में संदेय होती जब वह उक्त स्कीम के अधीन होता है, तो, नियोजक कर्मचारी के विधिक वारिस/नाम निर्देशिती की प्रतिक्रिया के रूप में दोनों रकमों के ग्राहक द्वारा रकम का संदाय आवश्यक प्रपत्ति करेगा।

8. मामूलिक बीमा स्कीम के उपबन्धों में कोई भी संशोधन प्रावेशिक भविष्य निधि द्वारा राजस्थान क पूर्व अनुमोदन के बिना नहीं किया जाएगा और जहाँ किसी संशोधन के कर्मचारियों के हित पर प्रतिकूल प्रभाव पहने की संभावना हो, वहाँ प्रदेशिक भविष्य निधि आवश्यक प्रपत्ति अनुमोदन देने से पूर्व कर्मचारियों को आपना दृष्टिकोण स्पष्ट करने का युक्तिगूच्छ आवश्यक देगा।

9. यदि किसी कारण द्वारा स्थापन के कर्मचारी भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम को उस सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के जिस स्थापन पहले अपना चुका

है एवं उसे फायदे विसी रीति से कम हो जाते हैं, तो यह रह की जा सकती है।

10. यदि किसी कारण द्वारा नियोजक उस नियत तारीख के भीतर जो भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम नियत करे, प्रीमियम का संदाय करने में असफल रहता है और पातिरी को व्यवस्था दी जाने दिया जाता है तो, छूट रह का जी सकती है।

11. नियोजक द्वारा प्रीमियम के संदाय में किए गए किसी व्यवित्रित की वजा में उन सूत सबस्थों के नाम निर्देशितीयों या विधिक वारिसों को जो यदि यह छूट मर्द गई होता तो, उक्त स्कीम के अन्तर्गत होते। बीमा फायदों के संदाय का उत्तरदायित्व नियोजक पर होगा।

12. उक्त स्थापन के सम्बन्ध में नियोजक इस स्कीम के अधीन आने वाले किसी सदस्य की मृत्यु होने पर उसके हकदार नाम दिवेशितीयों विधिक वारिसों को बीमाकृत रकम का संदाय तत्पत्ता से आं और प्रत्येक दशा में भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम से बीमाकृत रकम प्राप्त होने के एक मास के भीतर सुनिश्चित करेगा।

[संख्या एस-35014(29)/87-एस..एस-2]

S.O. 1045.—Whereas Messrs. Saboo Udyog, E-24A, Marudhar Industrial Area, Phase-II, Basni, Jodhpur, (RJ/3632) (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied for exemption under sub-section (2A) of Section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds & Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act);

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are, without making any separate contribution or payment of premium, in enjoyment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees than the benefits admissible under the Employees' Deposit Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

SCHEDULE

1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner Rajasthan and maintain such accounts and provide such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time.

2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month.

3. All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme including maintenance of accounts, submission of returns, payment of insurance premia, transfer of accounts payment of inspection charges etc. shall be borne by the employer.

4. The employer shall display on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees.

5. Whereas, an employed, who is already a member of the Employees' Provident Fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay neces-

sary premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.

6. The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced, so that the benefits available under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.

7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this Scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal heir/nominee of the employee as compensation.

8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme, shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Rajasthan and where any amendment is likely to effect adversely the interest of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

9. Where, for any reason the employees of the said establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.

10. Where, for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium etc. within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled.

11. In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Scheme but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer.

12. Upon the death of the members covered under the Scheme the Life Insurance Corporation of India shall ensure prompt payment of sum assured to the nominee or the legal heirs of the deceased member entitled for it and in any case within one month from the receipt for claim complete in all respects.

[No. S-35014/29/87-SS-II]

मई दिल्ली, 2 अप्रैल 1987

का.आ. 1046.—मैसर्स-श्री लक्ष्मी ईंटेलिंगरिंग वकर्स, 12 पल रोड, जोधपुर (आर. जे./3743) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त स्थापन कहा गया है) ने कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकार्ण उपबन्ध अधिनियम, (1952 का 17) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) के अधीन छूट दिये जाने के लिए आवेदन किया है;

और केंद्रीय सरकार या समाधान हो गया है कि उक्त स्थापन के कर्मचारी, किर्म, पृथक प्रविधाय या श्रीमियम का संकाय किये जिन ही, भारतीय जीवन वीमा नियम का सामूहिक वीमा स्कीम के अधीन जीवन वीमा के रूप में कायदे उठा रहे हैं और ऐसे कर्मचारियों के लिए ये कायदे उन कायदों से अधिक अनुकूल हैं जो कर्मचारी निषेप सहबद वीमा स्कीम, 1976 (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के अधीन उन्हें अनुप्रयोग हैं;

अतः केंद्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा 2क द्वारा प्रदत्त अकियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और इससे उपबन्ध अनुभूति में विनियोग जाने के अधीन रहते हुए, उक्त स्थापन की तीन वर्ष की अधिक के लिए उक्त स्कीम के सभी उपबन्धों के प्रबन्धन से छूट देती है।

अनुभूति

1. उक्त स्थापन के सम्बन्ध में नियोजक प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त, राजस्वात को ऐसी विवरणियां पेंजेगा और ऐसे लक्षा रखेगा तथा

नियोजन के लिए ऐसे सुविधाएं प्रदान करेगा जो केंद्रीय सरकार, समय-समय पर नियोजित करे।

2. नियोजक, ऐसे नियोजन प्रभारों का प्रयोग मात्र की समाप्ति के 15 दिन के भीतर संदाय करेगा जो केंद्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा-17 की उपधारा 3-क के छूट-के अधीन समय-समय पर नियोजित करे।

3. सामूहिक वीमा स्कीम के प्रशासन में, जिसके प्रत्यार्थी लेकाओं का एवा जाना, विवरणियों का प्रस्तुत किया जाना, वीमा प्राप्तियम का संदाय, लेकाओं का अन्तरण, नियोजन प्रभारों संशाय आदि भी है, होने वाले सभी व्ययों का वहन नियोजक द्वारा दिया जाएगा।

4. नियोजन, केंद्रीय सरकार द्वारा अनुमोदित सामूहिक वीमा स्कीम के वियाओं को एक प्रति और जब कभी उनमें संशोधन किया जाये, तब उस संशोधन की प्रति तथा कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या की भाष्य, में उसकी मुख्य वासों का अनुशासन स्थापन के सूचना पट्ट पर प्रदर्शित करेगा।

5. यदि कोई ऐसा कर्मचारी जो कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि का या उक्त अधिनियम के अधीन छूट प्राप्त किये स्थापन की भविष्य निधि का पहले ही संकाय है, उसके स्थापन में नियोजित किया जाता है तो, नियोजन सामूहिक वीमा स्कीम के सदस्य के रूप में उसका नाम तुरत दर्श करेगा और उसकी यात्रा आवश्यक प्राप्तियम भारतीय जीवन वीमा नियम को संबल करेगा।

6. यदि उक्त स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध कायदे बहाये जाते हैं तो, नियोजक सामूहिक वीमा स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदों में समुक्ति रूप से वृद्धि किये जाने की अपवस्था करेगा जिससे कि कर्मचारियों के लिए सामूहिक वीमा स्कीम के अधीन उपलब्ध कायदे उन फायदों से अधिक अनुकूल हों जो उक्त स्कीम के अधीन अनुज्ञय हैं।

7. सामूहिक वीमा स्कीम में किसी जात के होते हुए भी यदि किसी कर्मचारी की भूल पर इस स्कीम के अधीन संवेद्य रकम उम रकम से कम है जो कर्मचारी का उपबन्ध फायदों में मदेय होती जब वह उक्त स्कीम के अधीन होता हो, तियोजक कर्मचारी के विधिक वारिस/वासनिवेदित को प्रतिकर के रूप दोनों रकमों के अन्तर के बराबर रकम का संदाय करेगा।

सामूहिक वीमा स्कीम के उपबन्धों में कोई भी संशोधन प्रावेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त, राजस्वात के पूर्व अनुमोदन के बिना नहीं किया जाएगा और जहाँ किसी संशोधन से कर्मचारियों के हित पर प्रतात्पुत्र प्रभाव पड़ते ही संभावना हो, वहाँ प्रावेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त अपना प्रतुमोदन देने से पूर्व कर्मचारियों को अपना दृष्टिकोण स्थित करने का युक्तियुक्त अवसर देगा।

8. यदि किसी कारणवश स्थापन के कर्मचारी भारतीय जीवन वीमा नियम को उस सामूहिक वीमा स्कीम के, जिसे स्थापन पहले प्रपत्ता चुका है इधीन नहीं रह जाना है या इस स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को प्राप्त अनुज्ञय कायदे उक्त स्कीम से कम हो जाते हैं तो यह रद्द की जा सकती है।

10. यदि किसी कारणवश नियोजक उस नियम तारीख के अन्तर जो भारतीय जीवन वीमा नियम नियम करे, प्रीभियम का संदाय करने में असफल रहता है और पालिसी को अपग्रेड हो जाने दिया जाता है तो, छूट रद्द की जा सकती है।

11. नियोजक द्वारा प्रीभियम के संदाय में किये गये किसी व्यक्तिक्रम की दशा में उन मूल सदस्यों के नाम निर्देशितयों या विधिक वारिसों को यदि यह छूट न दी गई होती हो, उक्त स्कीम के प्रत्यार्थी होते ही वीमा कायदों के संदाय का उत्तरदायित नियोजक पर होगा।

12. उक्त स्थापन के संबंध में नियोजक इस स्कीम के अधीन आने वाले किसी सदस्य की मृत्यु होने पर उसके हक्कावार नाम निर्देशितयों/विधिक वारिसों को वीमाकृत रकम का संदाय तत्परता से भारतीय

वया में भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम ने बीमाकृत रकम प्राप्त होने के एक मास के भीतर अनिवार्य करेगा।

[संख्या प्रक्र. 35014 (30) 87-एम एस-१]

New Delhi, the 2nd April, 1987

S.O. 1046.—Whereas Messrs Shri Laxmi Engineering Works, 12th Patl Road, Jodhpur (RJ) 37431 (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied for exemption under sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act);

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are, without making any separate contribution or payment of premium, in enjoyment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees than the benefits admissible under the Employees' Deposit Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

SCHEDULE

1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner Rajasthan and maintain such accounts and provide such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time.

2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month.

3. All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme, including maintenance of accounts, submission of returns, payment of insurance premia, transfer of accounts, payment of inspection charges etc. shall be borne by the employer.

4. The employer shall display on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees.

5. Whereas an employee, who is already a member of the Employees' Provident Fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay necessary premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.

6. The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced so that the benefits available under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.

7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this Scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal heir/nominee of the employee as compensation.

8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme, shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Rajasthan and where any amendment is likely to effect adversely the interest

of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

9. Where, for any reason, the employees of the said establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or, the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.

10. Where, for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium etc. within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled.

11. In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Scheme but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer.

12. Upon the death of the members covered under the Scheme the Life Insurance Corporation of India shall ensure prompt payment of sum assured to the nominee or the Legal heirs of the deceased member entitled for it and in any case within one month from the receipt of claim complete in all respects.

[No. S-35014 (30) 87-एस-१]

का. आ. 1047—मोहदा इमेक्ट्रो स्टील लि., ज्वाट नं. 526 इंडस्ट्रीयल एरिया, जिवानी पी.एन. 5019 (जिसे इसके पश्चात उक्त स्थान कहा गया है) ने कर्मचारी भविष्यत निधि और प्रतीक्षा उपचार अधिनियम, 1952 का 18 (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 17 उपचार 2 के अधीन सूट दिये जाने के लिए आमेवन किया है।

और केंद्रीय सरकार का समाधान हो गया है कि उक्त स्थान कर्मचारी, किसी पृथक अभिदाय या व्रीमियम का संवाद किये बिना ही भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम की सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन जीवन बीमा के रूप में फायदे उठा रहे हैं और ऐसे कर्मचारियों के लिए ये फायदे उन कायदों से अधिक अनुकूल हैं जो कर्मचारी नियम अद्वितीय बीमा स्कीम 1976 (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के अधीन उन्हें अनुज्ञा देते हैं।

अतः केंद्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपचार-2क द्वारा प्रदत्त अधिकारियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और इसमें उपायकार्य अनुसूची में विविध गतिविधियों के अधीन रहते हुए, उक्त स्थान की तीन वर्ष की अधिकतम अनुसूची के सभी उक्तविधियों के प्रक्रियान्वयन से छूट देती है।

अनुसूची

1. उक्त स्थान के संबंध में नियोजित प्रादेशिक भविष्यत निधि प्राप्तकारी, हारियाणा को ऐसी विवरणियों देते हुए और ऐसे लेते हुए साधा नियोजित विवरणियों के अधीन रहते हुए, उक्त स्थान की तीन वर्ष की अधिकतम अनुसूची पर निर्दिष्ट करें।

2. नियोजित, ऐसे नियोजित प्रभागों का प्रत्येक मास की समाप्ति के 15 दिन के भीतर संवाद करेंगे जो केंद्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपचारा 3-क के बंद-के अधीन समय-समय पर निर्दिष्ट करें।

3. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रणाली में, जिसके अंतर्गत लेखालों का रक्षा जाता, विवरणियों का प्रमुख विवाद बीमा व्रीमियम का संवाद लेखालों का अन्तरण, नियोजित प्रभागों, नदाय आदि भी है, होने वाले गभी वर्षों का वर्तन नियोजित दाया दिया जाएगा।

4. नियोजित, केंद्रीय सरकार द्वारा प्रामोटित सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के नियमों की एक प्रति और जब कभी उनमें संशोधन किया जाए, तब

उस संशोधन की प्रति तथा कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या की भावा में उसकी मूल्य बातों का अनुवाद स्थापन के सूचना पट्ट पर प्रदर्शित करेगा।

5. यदि कोई देसा कर्मचारी जो कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि का या उक्त अधिनियम के अधीन छूट प्राप्त किसी स्थापन की भविष्य निधि का पहले ही सदस्य है, उसके स्थापन में नियोजित किया जाता है तो, नियोजन मामूलिक बीमा स्कीम के सदस्य के रूप में उसका नाम तुरलत दर्ज करेगा और उसकी बाबत आवश्यक प्रीमियम भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम को संदर्भ करेगा।

6. यदि उक्त स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदे बढ़ावे जाते हैं तो, नियोजक सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदों में समुचित रूप से बढ़िये भाने की व्यवस्था करेगा जिससे कि कर्मचारियों के लिए सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन उपलब्ध फायदे उन फायदों से अधिक अनुकूल हों जो उक्त स्कीम के अधीन अनुपूर्य हैं।

7. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम में किसी बात के होने हुए भी यदि किसी कर्मचारी की मृत्यु पर हम स्कीम के अधीन संदेश रकम उग रकम से कम है जो कर्मचारी को उस दशा में संदेश होती जब वह उक्त स्कीम के अधीन होता है तो, नियोजक कर्मचारी के विधिक वारिस/नाम निर्देशिती को प्रतिकूल के रूप में दोनों रकमों के अन्तर के बगावर रकम का संबंध करेगा।

8. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के उपलब्धों में कोई भी यंगशोधन प्रावेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त, हिपियाणा के पूर्व अनुमोदन के बिना नहीं किया जाएगा और जहां किसी संशोधन में कर्मचारियों के हित पर प्रतिकूल प्रभाव पहने की संभावना हो, वहां प्रावेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त अपना अनुमोदन देने से पूर्व कर्मचारियों को अपनावृद्धिकोण व्यक्त करने का यूकित्य दृक्ष्य भवसर देगा।

9. यदि किसी कारणवश स्थापन के कर्मचारी भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम को उस सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के, जिसे स्थापन पहने अपना छुका है अधीन नहीं रह जाता है या उस स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को प्राप्त होने वाले फायदे किसी रीति से कम हो जाने हैं, तो वह रद्द की जा सकती है।

10. यदि किसी कारणवश नियोजक उस नियम तारीख के भीतर जो भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम नियन्त करे, प्रीमियम का संदाय करने में असफल रहता है और पालिसी लो व्यवहर हो जाने दिया जाता है तो, छूट रद्द की जा सकती है।

11. नियोजक द्वारा प्रीमियम के तंदाय में किये गये किसी अतिक्रम की दशा में उन मूल मददों के नाम निर्देशितीयों वा विधिक वारिसों को जो यदि यह छूट न दी गई होती तो, उक्त स्कीम के अन्तर्गत होने। बीमा फायदों के संबंध का उन्नदायित्व नियोजक पर होगा।

12. उक्त स्थापन के संबंध में नियोजक इस स्कीम के अधीन आने वाले किसी सदस्य की मृत्यु होने पर उसके हकदार नाम निर्देशितीयों/विधिक वारिसों को बीमाकृत रकम का संदाय तत्परता से और प्रत्येक दशा में भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम से बीमाकृत रकम प्राप्त होने के एक मार्ग के भीतर सुनिश्चित करेगा।

[म. एम-35014(31) 87-एम. एम-2]

S.O. 1047.—Whereas Messrs Mohta Electro Steel Limited, Plot No. 526, Industrial Area, Bhiwani (PN/5019) (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied for exemption under sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act);

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are, without making any separate contribution or payment of premium, in employment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees, them the benefits admissible under the Employees Deposit Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

SCHEDULE

1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner Haryana and maintain such accounts and provide such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time.

2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month.

3. All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme, including maintenance of accounts, submission of returns, payment of insurance premia, transfer of accounts, payment of inspection charges etc. shall be borne by the employer.

4. The employer shall display on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees.

5. Whereas an employee, who is already a member of the Employees' Provident Fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay necessary premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.

6. The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced so that the benefits available under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.

7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this Scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal heir/nominee of the employee as compensation.

8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme, shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Haryana and where any amendment is likely to effect adversely the interest of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

9. Where, for any reason, the employees of the said establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.

10. Where, for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium etc. within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled.

11. In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Scheme but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer.

12. Upon the death of the members covered under the Scheme the Life Insurance Corporation of India shall ensure prompt payment of sum assured to the nominee or the Legal Heirs of the deceased member entitled for it and in any case

within one month from the receipt of claim complete in all respects.

[No. S-35014/31/87-SS-II]

का. प्रा. 1048—मैसंस आवार्स उद्योग, ओपासनी रोड, जोधपुर (राजस्थान) (प्रा. नं./2414)।—(जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्थापन कहा गया है) ने कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपचार अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 17) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) के अधीन छूट दिये जाने के लिए प्रावेदन किया है।

और केन्द्रीय सरकार का समाधान ही गया है कि उक्त स्थापन के कर्मचारी, किसी पुरुष क्रमियम या प्रीमियम का संवाद किये बिना ही, भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम की सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन जीवन बीमा के रूप में फायदे उठा रहे हैं और ऐसे कर्मचारियों के लिए ये फायदे उन कार्यों से अधिक अनुकूल हैं जो कर्मचारी नियम सहबद्ध बीमा स्कीम, 1976 (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के अधीन उन्हें अनुमेय हैं।

अतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा-2क द्वारा प्रश्न राजनियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और ऐसे उपायद अनुसूची में विविध शर्तों के अधीन रहते हुए, उक्त स्थापन की तीन वर्ष की अवधि के लिए उक्त स्कीम के सभी उपचारों के प्रत्यर्थी से छूट देती है।

अनुसूची

1. उक्त स्थापन के संबंध में नियोजक प्राविशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त, राजस्थान को ऐसी विवरणियां भेजेगा और ऐसे लेखा रखेगा तथा निरीक्षण के लिए ऐसी सुविधायें प्रवान करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, समय-समय पर निर्दिष्ट करे।

2. नियोजक, ऐसे निरीक्षण प्रभारों का प्रत्येक मास की समाप्ति के 15 दिन के भीतर संवाद करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा-17 की उपधारा 3-क के खंड-क के अधीन समय-समय पर निर्दिष्ट करे।

3. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रशासन में, जिसके अंतर्गत लेखाधीनों का रखा जाना, विवरणियों का प्रस्तुत किया जाना, बीमा प्रीमियम का संवाद, सेवाओं का अन्वयन, निरीक्षण प्रभारों संवाद आदि भी है, हाँ तो वाले सभी व्ययों का बहुत नियोजक द्वारा दिया जाएगा।

4. नियोजन, केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा अनुमोदित सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के नियमों की एक प्रति और जब कभी उसमें भागीधान किया जाए, तब उस संशोधन की प्रति तथा कर्मचारियों की बहुमंड्या की भाषा में उसकी मुख्य बातों का अनुबाद स्थापन के सूचना पट पर प्रदर्शित करेगा।

5. यदि बोर्ड द्वारा कर्मचारी जो कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि का या उक्त अधिनियम के अधीन छूट प्राप्त किसी स्थापन की भविष्य निधि का पहले ही सवस्य है, उसके स्थापन में नियोजित किया जाता है तो, नियोजन सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के गदस्य के रूप में उसका नाम त्रुरूत दर्ज करेगा और उसकी वापत आवश्यक प्रीमियम भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को संदर्भ करेगा।

6. यदि उक्त स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों का उपचार फायदे वहाँ पर जाने हैं तो नियोजक सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदों में समुचित रूप से वृद्धि किये जाने की व्यवस्था करेगा जिससे कि कर्मचारियों के लिये सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन उपचार फायदे उन फायदों ने अधिक प्रत्यकूप हों जो उक्त स्कीम के अधीन अनुमेय हैं।

7. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम में किसी बात के होते हुए भी यदि किसी कर्मचारी को मृत्यु पर इस स्कीम के अधीन गंदेय रकम उमखात से कम है जो कर्मचारी को उस दशा में संदेय होती जब वह उक्त स्कीम के अधीन होता तो, नियोजक कर्मचारी के विविध वारिग नाम निर्विधियों को प्रतीकर के रूप में वोनी रकमों के अन्तर के बराबर रकम का संवाद करेगा।

8. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के उपचारों में कोई भी संशोधन प्रावेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त, राजस्थान के पूर्व अनुमोदन के बिना नहीं किया जाएगा और जहाँ किसी संशोधन से कर्मचारियों के हित पर प्रतिकूल प्रभाव पहले की संवादना हो, वहाँ प्रावेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त अपना अनुमोदन देते से पूर्व कर्मचारियों को अपना दृष्टिकोण स्पष्ट करने का युक्तियुक्त अवसर देता।

9. यदि किसी कारणवश स्थापन के कर्मचारी भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को उस सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के, जिसे स्थापन पहले प्रपना कुका है प्रधीन नहीं रह जाता है या इस स्कीम के प्रधीन कर्मचारियों को प्राप्त होने वाले फायदे किसी रीत से कम हो जाते हैं, तो यह रह की जा सकती है।

10. यदि किसी कारणवश स्थापन के कर्मचारी भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम नियत तारीख के भीतर जो भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम नियत करे, प्रीमियम का संवाद करते में असफल रहता है और पालिसी का व्यपत हो जाने दिया जाता है तो, यह रह की जा सकती है।

11. नियोजक द्वारा प्रीमियम के संवाद में किये गये किसी व्यक्तिका की दशा में उन भूत सदस्यों के नाम निर्देशितियों या विधिक वारसों को जो यदि यह छूट न दी गई होती तो, उसने स्कीम के अंतर्गत होते। बीमा फायदों के संवाद का उत्तरदायित्व नियोजक पर होगा।

12. उक्त स्थापन के संबंध में नियोजक इस स्कीम के अधीन अन्वेषाने की मूल्य होने पर उसके हकदार नाम निर्विधितियों/विधिवारियों को बीमाहृत रकम का संवाद तत्परता से और प्रत्येक वारस में भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम से बीमाहृत रकम प्राप्त होने के एक मास के भीतर सुनिश्चित करेगा।

[संख्या एस-35014(32) 87-एस-2]

S.O. 1048.—Whereas Messrs Adarsh Udyog, Chopasni Road, Jodhpur, (Rajasthan) (RJ/2414) (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied for exemption under sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act) ;

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are, without making any separate contribution or payment of premium, in enjoyment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees than the benefits admissible under the Employees' Deposit Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme) ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

SCHEDULE

1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner Rajasthan and maintain such accounts and provide such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time.

2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month.

3. All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme, including maintenance of accounts, submission of returns, payment of insurance premia, transfer of accounts, payment of inspection charges etc. shall be borne by the employer.

4. The employer shall display on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance

Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees.

5: Whereas an employee, who is already a member of the Employees' Provident Fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay necessary premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.

6. The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced so that the benefits available under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.

7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this Scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal heir/nominee of the employee as compensation.

8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme, shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Rajasthan and where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interest of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall, before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

9. Where, for any reason, the employees of the said establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.

10. Where, for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium etc. within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled.

11. In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Scheme but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer.

12. Upon the death of the members covered under the Scheme the Life Insurance Corporation of India shall ensure prompt payment of sum assured to the nominee or the Legal heirs of the deceased member entitled for it and in any case within one month from the receipt of claim complete in all respects.

[No. S-35014/32/87-SS-II]

का. आ. 1049.—पैसर्स यात्रायात्र और स्टील प्राईवेट लि., बी-9, अवानी मिशन मार्ग, "सी" स्कीम, जयपुर (आर. जे./2530) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्थापन कहा गया है) ने कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपकरण अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 17) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) के अधीन सूट दिये जाने के लिए प्राईवेट किया है।

ओर केन्द्रीय मंत्रालय का समाधान हो गया है कि उक्त स्वापन के कर्मचारी, विसी पृथक् प्रभिदाया या प्रीमियम वा संदाय किये थिना ही, भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम की सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन जीवन बीमा के रूप में फारप्रे उठा रखे हैं और ऐसे कर्मचारियों के लिये फायदे उन फायदों से अधिक भनुकूल हैं जो कर्मचारी निष्प्रे महबूब बीमा स्कीम, 1976 (जिसे इसमें दृग्के पश्चात् उक्त स्कीम कहा गया है) के अधीन उन्हें भन्नेज्य हैं।

अतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा-2 का द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और इससे उपायदृष्टमुस्तूची में विनिर्विष्ट शर्तों के प्रधीन रहते हुए, उक्त स्थापन की तीन वर्ष की अधिकि के लिए उक्त स्कूल के सभी उपर्याधीं के प्रबन्धन से छठे वर्षे हैं।

अधिसूची

3. उक्त स्थापन के बबंध में उन्नियोजक प्रादेशिक भवित्व निधि आयुक्त, राजस्थान का इसी विवरणियां भेजेगा और इसे लेखा रखेगा तथा निरीक्षण के लिए इसी गुणितायें प्रयोग करेंगा जो केंद्रीय सरकार, समय-समय पर निर्दिष्ट करे।

2. नियोजक, ऐसे निरीक्षण प्रभारों का प्रत्येक माग को समाप्ति के 15 दिन के भीतर संवाद करेगा जो केन्द्रीय भरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की प्राप्त-17 की उपलाय 3-का के खंड के प्रधीन समय-समय पर निर्दिष्ट करें।

3. सामूहिक वीमा स्कीम के प्रशासन में, जिसके अन्तर्गत लेखाओं का रखा जाना, विवरणों का प्रस्तुत किया जाना, वीमा प्रीमियम का संदाय, लेखाओं का अन्तरण, निरीक्षण प्रभारों संदाय आदि भी है, होने वाले सभी व्ययों का बहुत नियोजक व्याप दिया जाएगा।

1. नियोगन, बैंक्रीय सरकर द्वारा अनुमोदित भासूमिक शीधा स्टीम के नियमों के एक प्रति श्रीर जव कभी उनमें संशोधन किया जाये, तब उस संशोधन की प्रति स्थार्कम्बारियों को अनुसंधान की भाषा में उसकी मूल्य बातों का इनवाद स्थापन के सुनवा पढ़ पर प्रदर्शित करेगा।

५. यदि कोई देश कर्मचारी जो कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि का या उक्त अधिनियम के अधीन सूट प्राप्त किसी स्थापन की भविष्य निधि का पहले ही मासमें है, उसके स्थापन में नियोजित किया जाता है तो, नियोजन सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के मासमें रूप में उनका नाम तुरन्त वर्ज करेगा और उसकी आवश्यक प्रीमियम भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को संकर करेगा।

6. यदि उन स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदे बढ़ाये जाते हैं तो, नियोजक समूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उपलब्ध फायदों में सम्बन्धित स्पृह से वृद्धि किये जाने की व्यवस्था करेगा किसी कि कर्मचारियों के लिए भासूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन उपलब्ध फायदे उन फायदों से अधिक प्रनुकूल हों जो उक्त स्कीम के अधीन अनुशासन हैं।

7. सामृद्धिक वीमा स्कीम में किसी वात के होने हुए भी यदि किसी कर्मचारी की मृत्यु पर इस स्कीम के प्रधीन संदेय रकम उस रकम से कम है जो कर्मचारी को उस वया में संदेय होती जब वह उक्त स्कीम के प्रधीन हुआ तो, नियोजक कर्मचारी के विविध वार्षिक/तार्मि निर्दिशांकों को प्रतिकर के हृप में दोनों रकमों के अन्तर के बराबर रकम का संवाद करेगा।

8. मापदृश्य शीमा स्थिर के ज्ञानघोरों में कोई भी संशोधन प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त, राजस्थान के पूर्व धनुपोषन के बिना नहीं किया जाएगा और जहां किसी संशोधन में कर्मचारियों के हित पर प्रतिकूल प्रभाव पड़ते की समाजना हो, वहां प्रादेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त अपना अनुमोदन देने से पूर्व कर्मचारियों को अपना शृंखलाकालीन साप्त कर्त्ता का मुकिल-प्रत अवसर देंगा।

9. यदि किसी कारणवश स्थापन के कर्मचारी शास्त्रीय जीवन बीमा नियम को उम सामृद्धिक बीमा स्कीम के, जिसे स्थापन पहले अपना चुका है अद्वीत नहीं उड़ा जाता है या इस ग्रीम के अद्वीत कर्मचारियों को प्राप्त होने वाले कार्यद किसी रीति से कम हो जाते हैं, तो यह रद्द की जा सकती है।

10. यदि किसी कारणवश नियंत्रक उस नियम तारीख के भीतर जो भास्त्रीय जीवन वीमा नियम नियत करे, प्रीमियम का संवाय करने में,

असकल रुद्धता है और पालिनी फौ व्यपत हो जाते दिया जाता है तो छूट रुद्ध की जा सकती है।

11. नियोजक द्वारा प्रीमियम के संदाय में किये गये किसी अविक्रम की दशा में उन मूरु सदस्यों का नाम निर्देशितियों या विधिक वारिसों को जो यदि वह छूट न दी गई होती तो, उक्त स्कीम के अन्तर्गत होते। बीमा काफ़िरों के संदाय का उत्तरवाचित्व नियोजक पर होता।

12. उक्त स्थापन के संबंध में नियोजक इस स्कीम के अधीन आने वाले किसी सदस्य की मृत्यु होने पर उसके एकदार नाम निर्देशितियों/विधिक वारिसों का बीमाकृत रकम का संदाय तत्परता से और प्रत्येक दशा में प्राप्तव्य जीवन बीमा नियम से बीमाकृत रकम प्राप्त होने के एक मात्र के भोक्तुर सुनिश्चित करेगा।

[म. एम-35014(33) 87-एस. एस-2]

S.O. 104.—Whereas Messrs Rajasthan Alloys and Steel Private Limited, B-9, Bhawani Singh Marg, 'C' Scheme, Jaipur (RJ/2530) (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied for exemption under sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act) ;

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are, without making any separate contribution or payment of premium, in enjoyment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees than the benefits admissible under the Employees' Deposit Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme) :

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

SCHEDULE

1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner Rajasthan and maintain such accounts and provide such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time.

2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month.

3. All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme, including maintenance of accounts, submission of returns, payment of insurance premia, transfer of accounts, payment of inspection charges etc. shall be borne by the employer.

4. The employer shall display on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees.

5. Whereas an employee, who is already a member of the Employees' Provident Fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay necessary premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.

6. The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced so that the benefits available under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.

7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this Scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal heir/nominee of the employee as compensation.

8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme, shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Rajasthan and where any amendment is likely to effect adversely the interest of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

9. Where, for any reason, the employees of the said establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.

10. Where, for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium etc. within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled.

11. In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of insurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Schemes but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer.

12. Upon the death of the members covered under the Scheme the Life Insurance Corporation of India shall ensure prompt payment of sum assured to the nominee or the Legal heirs of the deceased member entitled for it and in any case within one month from the receipt of claim complete in all respects.

[No. S-35014/33/87-SS-II]

का. आ. 1050—मसर्स—राजस्थान स्टेट मिनरल फिलेपेंट कार्पोरेशन लि., उदयगं भवन, निलक मार्ग, जयपुर (आर. जे./2591) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्थापन कहा गया है) ने कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रक्षीर्ण उपबन्ध प्रधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 17) जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त प्रधिनियम कहा गया है वीधाया 17 की उपधारा (२क) के अधीन सूट दिये जाने के लिए आवेदन किया है।

ओर केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त प्रधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा-२क द्वारा प्रदत्त मालियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और इसमें उपादान अनुसूची में विविध गतियों के ग्राहन रहते हुए, उक्त स्थापन की तीन वर्ष की प्रवधि के निम्न उक्त स्कीम के सभी उपबन्धों के प्रवर्तन से छूट देती है।

प्रत: केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त प्रधिनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा-२क द्वारा प्रदत्त मालियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और इसमें उपादान अनुसूची में विविध गतियों के ग्राहन रहते हुए, उक्त स्थापन की तीन वर्ष की प्रवधि के निम्न उक्त स्कीम के सभी उपबन्धों के प्रवर्तन से छूट देती है।

प्राप्ति

1. उक्त स्थापन के प्रबन्ध में नियोजक प्रांतिक भविष्य निधि प्रावृत्त राजस्थान की ऐसी विवरणिया भेजेगा और ऐसे लेखा रखेगा तथा निरीक्षण के लिए ऐसी सुविधाये प्रश्न करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, समय-नमय पर निर्दिष्ट करे।

2. नियोजक, ऐसे निरीक्षण प्रभारों का प्रत्येक मास की राखित के 15 दिन के भीतर संदाय करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त प्रधिनियम की

धारा-17 की उपधारा 3-क के खण्ड-क के अधीन समय-समय पर निवृत्त करे।

3. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रशासन में, जिसके अन्तर्गत लेखांगों का रखा जाना, विवरणियों का प्रस्तुत किया जाना, बीमा प्रीमियम का संदाय, लेखांगों का अन्वरण, निरीक्षण प्रभारों संदाय आदि भी है, होने वाले सभी घट्यों का वहत नियोजन द्वारा दिया जाएगा।

4. नियोजन, नियोजक सम्पादक द्वारा अनुबोधित नामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के नियमों की एक प्रति और जब कभी उनमें मंगोलत किया जाये, तब उस संबोधन की प्रति तथा कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या की भाषा में उसकी मुख्य वाचों का अनुवात स्थापन के सूचना पट्ट पर पढ़ने वाले होंगे।

5. यदि कोई ऐसा कर्मचारी जो कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि का या उक्त अधिनियम के अधीन छूट प्राप्त किसी स्थापन की भविष्य निधि का पहले ही सदस्य है, उसके स्थापन में नियोजित किया जाता है तो, नियोजक सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के सदस्य के रूप में उसका नाम तुरन्त दर्ज करेगा और उसकी बाबत आवश्यक प्रीमियम भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम को संदर्भ करेगा।

6. यदि उक्त स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उत्तम फायदे बढ़ाये जाते हैं तो, नियोजक सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को उत्तम फायदों में समुचित रूप में वृद्धि किये जाने की व्यवस्था बनेगा जिससे कि कर्मचारियों के निए सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन उत्तम फायदे उन फायदों से अधिक अनुकूल होंगे जो उक्त स्कीम के अधीन अनुबोध हैं।

7. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम में किसी बात के होते हुए भी यदि किसी कर्मचारी की मृत्यु पर इस स्कीम के अधीन संदेश रकम उम रकम से कम है जो कर्मचारी को उस दणा में संदेश हाती जब वह उक्त स्कीम के अधीन होता तो, नियोजक कर्मचारी के विधिक वारिस/नाम निर्देशिती को प्रतिक्रिया के रूप में वोनों रकमों के अन्तर के बराबर रकम का संवाद करेगा।

8. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के उत्तम्यों में कोई भी संशोधन प्रावेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त, राजस्थान के पूर्व अनुमोदन के बिना नहीं किया जाएगा और जहाँ किसी संशोधन से कर्मचारियों के लिए परप्रतिकूल प्रभाव पहुँचे की संभावना हो, वहाँ प्रावेशिक भविष्य निधि आयुक्त अपना अनुमोदन देने से पूर्व कर्मचारियों को अपना दृष्टिकोण स्पष्ट करने का युक्तियुक्त अवसर देगा।

9. यदि किसी कारणबाट स्थापन के कर्मचारों भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम को उम सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के नियोजित पड़ते रपना चुका है अधीन नहीं रह जाता है या इस स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को प्राप्त होने वाले फायदे किसी रीति से कम हो जाते हैं, तो यह रद्द की जा सकत है।

10. यदि किसी कारणबाट नियोजक उस नियम तारीख के भीतर जो भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम तिवर करे, प्रीमियम का संदाय करने में असफल रहता है और पालिसी को व्यवस्था हो जाने किया जाता है तो, छूट रद्द की जा सकती है।

11. नियोजक द्वारा प्रीमियम के संदाय में किये गये उन अंतिकर की दणा में उन मूल सदस्यों के नाम निर्देशितों या विधिक वारिसों का जो यदि यह छूट न दी गई होती तो, उक्त स्कीम के अन्तर्गत होते। बीमा फायदों के संदाय का उत्तरदायित्व नियोजक पर होगा।

12. उक्त रथापन के सम्बन्ध में नियोजक द्वारा स्कीम के अधीन आने वाले किसी सदस्य की मृत्यु होने पर उसके हुक्मदार नाम निर्देशितों/विधिक वारिसों को बीमारूप रकम कार्यान्वयन दिया जाएगा।

में भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम से बीमारूप रकम प्राप्त होने के एक राम के भीतर सुनिश्चित करेंगे।

[संधि.ए. 35014(34) 87-एस-2]

S.O. 1050.—Whereas Messrs Rajasthan State Mineral Development Corporation Limited, Udyog Bhawan, Tilak Marg, Jaipur (RJ/2591) (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied for exemption under sub-section (2A) of Section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act);

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are, without making any separate contribution or payment of premium, in enjoyment or benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees than the benefits admissible under the Employees' Deposit Linked Insurance Scheme 1976 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

SCHEDULE

1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner Rajasthan and maintain such accounts and provide such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time.

2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month.

3. All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme, including maintenance of accounts, submission of returns, payment of insurance premia, transfer of accounts, payment of inspection charges etc. shall be borne by the employer.

4. The employer shall display on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees.

5. Whereas an employee, who is already a member of the Employees' Provident Fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay necessary premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.

6. The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced so that the benefits available under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.

7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this Scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal heir/nominee of the employee as compensation.

8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme, shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Rajasthan and where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interest of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner

shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

9. Where, for any reason, the employees of the said establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.

10. Where, for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium etc. within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled.

11. In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Scheme but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer.

12. Upon the death of the members covered under the Scheme the Life Insurance Corporation of India shall ensure prompt payment of sum assured to the nominee or the legal heirs of the deceased member entitled for it and in any case within one month from the receipt of claim complete in all respects.

[No. S-35014/34/87-SS-JT]

का. आ. 1051.—केंद्रीय सरकार, कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि व्यापक प्रकारी उपलब्ध प्रतिभूतियम् 1952 (1952 का 19) की आरा 17 की उपाधारा (3) के खंड (क) के अनुभरण में भारत के राजपत्र, आरा 2, खंड 3, उपखंड (ii), तारीख 3 सितम्बर, 1983 में प्रकाशित भारत सरकार के अम मंत्रालय (अम विभाग) की अधिसूचना सं. 3469, तारीख 22 अगस्त, 1983 में निम्नलिखित संशोधन करती है, प्रथम—

उक्त अधिसूचना में—

1. मद 7 के खंड (क) में—

(क) उपखंड (1) में, "केंद्रीय सरकार की प्रतिभूतियाँ" शब्दों के स्थान पर "राज्य/केंद्रीय सरकार की प्रतिभूतियाँ" शब्द रखे जाएंगे।
(ख) उपखंड (ii) में, "राज्य सरकार की/राज्य सरकार द्वारा गारंटी यूद्ध प्रतिभूतियाँ" शब्दों के स्थान पर "राज्य सरकार/सरकारी गारंटीयूद्ध प्रतिभूतियाँ" शब्द रखे जाएंगे।
(ग) उपखंड (6) के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित रखा जाएगा, प्रथम—

"(6) अस्यः

(प्रतिभूतियों और निधियों की प्रकृति विविष्ट कीजिए) इन्हें देखें।

2. मद 10 के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित रखा जाएगा, प्रथम:—

"10. विनिधान

(क) विनिधान के लिए

उपलब्ध शुद्ध रकम
(8-9) (क) इन्हें देखें।

(ख) विनिधान के वित्तीय वैटन के अनुसार विनिधान के लिए आवधित (क) के सामने देखें। रकम:

(1) राज्य सरकार की प्रतिभूतियाँ इन्हें देखें।

(2) राज्य सरकार/केंद्रीय सरकार की गारंटीयूद्ध प्रतिभूतियाँ इन्हें देखें।

(3) विशेष निधेय स्कीम इन्हें देखें।

(4) अन्य इन्हें देखें।

3. मद 11 में—

(क) शीर्ष में "विवेष" शब्द के स्थान पर "विनिधान" शब्द रखा जाएगा।

(ख) खंड (1) में, "केंद्रीय सरकार की प्रतिभूतियाँ" शब्दों के स्थान पर "राज्य सरकार/केंद्रीय सरकार की प्रतिभूतियाँ" शब्द रखे जाएंगे;

(ग) खंड (2) में, "राज्य सरकार/सरकारी गारंटीयूद्ध प्रतिभूतियाँ" शब्दों के स्थान पर "राज्य सरकार/सरकार की गारंटीयूद्ध प्रतिभूतियाँ" शब्द रखे जाएंगे;

(घ) खंड (3) का नोंद किया जाएगा और खंड (6) को खंड (3) के स्थान में पुनर्भवान्वित किया जाएगा।

4. मद 14 के खंड (क) में, "यदि कुछ प्रतिभूतियाँ भविष्य निधि के संग्रहालयों के प्रतिरिक्षित अन्य के नाम पर हैं" शब्दों के स्थान पर "यदि कुछ प्रतिभूतियाँ भविष्य निधि के व्यास/धारकों से विभिन्न विरासी के नाम में हैं" शब्द रखे जाएंगे।

[सं. एम- 35013/2/83-पी एफ II (एम एम-II)]

प. के. भट्टाराई, अवर सचिव

S.O. 1051.—In consequence of clause (a) of sub-section (3) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government hereby makes the following amendment in the notifications of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour (Department of Labour) No. S.O. 3469, dated the 22nd August, 1983, published in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, sub-section (ii), dated the 3rd September, 1983, namely:—

In the said notification:—

1. In item 7-in clause (b),—

(a) in sub-clause (i), for the words "Central Government securities", the words "State/Central Government securities" shall be substituted.

(b) in sub-clause (ii), for the words "State Government/Government guaranteed securities", the words "State Government/Central Government guaranteed securities" shall be substituted.

(c) after sub-clause (v), and before the bracket and words "(Nature of securities and deposits to be specified)" the following shall be inserted, namely:—

"(vi) others Rs. "

2. For item 10: the following shall be substituted, namely:—

"10. Investments.

(a) Net amount available for Investment (8-9)(c) Rs.

(b) Amount shown against (a) allocated for investment according to the prescribed pattern of investment.

(i) State Government/securities Rs.

(ii) State Government/Central Government guaranteed securities Rs.

(iii) Special Deposit Scheme Rs.

(iv) Others Rs.

3. In item 11—

(a) in clause (i), for the words "Central Government securities", the words "State Government/Central Government securities" shall be substituted.

(b) in clause (ii), for the words "State Government/Government guaranteed securities", the words "State Government/Central Government guaranteed securities" shall be substituted;

(c) clause (iii) shall be omitted and clause (iv) shall be renumbered as clause (iii).

4. In item 14, in clause (a), after the words "name of other than the", the word "Trust" shall be inserted.

[No. S-35013/2/83-PF.II(SS.II)]

A. K. BHATTARAI. Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 30 मार्च, 1987

का. आ. 1052.—उत्प्रवास अधिनियम, 1983 (1983 का 31) की धारा 5 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार उत्प्रवास संरक्षी कार्यालय, त्रिवेद्म में सहायक श्री आर. श्रीतिवासन को प्रगती भूक्तना तक उत्प्रवास मंत्री, त्रिवेद्म के सभी कार्य करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करती है।

[संख्या ए-22012/1/86-उत्प्रवास-II]

New Delhi, the 30th March, 1987

S.O. 1052.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5 of the Emigration Act, 1983 (31 of 1983), the Central Government hereby authorises Shri R. Srivivasan, Assistant in the office of the Protector of Emigrants, Trivandrum to perform all the functions of Protector of Emigrants, Trivandrum till further orders.

[No. A-22012/1/86-Emig.II]

नई दिल्ली, 2 अप्रैल, 1987

का. आ. 1053.—उत्प्रवास अधिनियम, 1983 (1983 का 31) की धारा 5 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उत्प्रवास संरक्षी कार्यालय, कोचीन में सहायक श्री के. के. यामन को 6 अप्रैल, 1987 से 16 अप्रैल, 1987 तक उत्प्रवास संरक्षी, कोचीन के सभी कार्य करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करती है।

[संख्या ए-22012/1/86-उत्प्रवास-II]

New Delhi, the 2nd April, 1987

S.O. 1053.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5 of the Emigration Act, 1983 (31 of 1983), the Central Government hereby authorises Shri K. K. Thomas, Assistant in the office of the Protector of Emigrants, Cochin to perform all the functions of POE, Cochin during the absence of Shri V. K. Venugopalan, POE from the 6th April, 1987 to the 16th April, 1987.

[No. A-22012/1/86-Emig.II]

नई दिल्ली, 3 अप्रैल, 1987

का. आ. 1054.—उत्प्रवास अधिनियम 1983 (1983 का 31) की धारा 5 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार श्री के. उपगायन उत्प्रवास संरक्षी त्रिवेद्म को 30 मार्च, 1987 से अगले आदेश आरी होने तक उत्प्रवास संरक्षी त्रिवेद्म के समस्त कार्य करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करती है।

[संख्या ए-22012/1/86-उत्प्रवास-II]

New Delhi, the 3rd April, 1987

S.O. 1054.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5 of the Emigration Act, 1983 (31 of 1983), The Central Government hereby authorises Shri K. Upaguthan, Protector of Emigrants, Trivandrum to perform all the function of Protector of Emigrants, Trivandrum with effect from 30-3-1987, till further orders.

[No. A-22012/1/86-Emig. II]

नई दिल्ली, 31 मार्च, 1987

का. आ. 1055.—ओरोगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार आनंदा बैंक के प्रबंधनन्व से संबंधित नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों द्वारा अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट ओरोगिक विवाद में ओरोगिक अधिकारण भुवनेश्वर के पचाट का प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 24 मार्च, 1987 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

New Delhi, the 31st March, 1987

S.O. 1055.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Industrial Tribunal, Bhubaneswar as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Andhra Bank and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 24th March, 1987.

INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, ORISSA, BHUBANESWAR

PRESENT :

Shri R. N. Panda, M.A. LL.B,
Presiding Officer, Industrial
Tribunal Orissa.

Industrial Dispute Case No. 14/86 (Central)

Dated Bhubaneswar, the 12th March, 1987

BETWEEN

The Management of Andhra Bank,
Regional Office, Bhubaneswar,First Party.

AND

Shri Y. R. M. Patra,
C/o Y. V. R. Patra,
Door No. 206, 'H' Type, Unit-4,
Bhubaneswar.

.....Second Party.

APPEARANCES :

None—For both the parties.

AWARD

1. The matter arises out of a reference made by the Central Government in exercise of powers conferred under Sub-section (5) of Section 12 read with clause (d) of Sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 in their Order No. L-12012/71/85-D.IV(A) dated 29th October, 1986 for adjudication of the dispute and answering the reference. The terms of the reference may be quoted as follows :—

"Whether the action of the management of Andhra Bank, (R. O. Bhubaneswar) in terminating the services of the workman Shri Y. R. M. Patra, Clerk with effect from 11-7-1976 is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled?"

2. The Second Party-workman though directed by the Central Government has not filed its statement of claims till to-day. On 10-12-86 a registered notice was issued to the second party-workman which was returned with the postal remark 'absent'. Finally a notice was issued through the Labour Commissioner (c) for taking necessary steps. But the Second Party-workman did not file its written statement

or take any steps. In view of the non-appearance of the workman for filing Written Statement in court it can safely be inferred that no dispute subsists between the parties. Hence a no dispute Award is passed, so far as this reference is concerned.

Transcribed to my dictation
and corrected by me.

Sd./- R. N. RANDA.

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal.
12-3-87.

R. N. PANDA, Presiding Officer.
[No. L-12012/71/85-D.IV(A)]
K. J. DYVA PRASAD, Desk Officer

नई दिल्ली, 31 मार्च, 1987

का. आ. 1056 :—ओर्थोपिक विशाद अधिनियम, 1947-
(1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार देना बैंक के प्रबंधतात्र से संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बावजुन अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट ओर्थोपिक विशाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार ओर्थोपिक अधिकरण नं. 2, धनबाद, के पंचाट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केवल यह सरकार को 24-3-87 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

New Delhi, the 31st March, 1987

S.O. 1056.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 2, Dhanbad, as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Dena Bank and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on the 24th March, 1987.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 2) AT DHANBAD

Reference No. 178 of 1985

In the matter of industrial dispute under Section 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947.

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of Dena Bank, Calcutta and their workmen.

APPEARANCES :

On behalf of the employers—Shri S. S. Mukherjee, Advocate.

On behalf of the workmen—Shri T. K. Prasad, Advocate.

STATE : Bihar.

INDUSTRY : Banking.

Dated, Dhanbad, the 13th March, 1987

AWARD

The Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour in exercise of the powers conferred on them under Section 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication vide their Order No. L-12012/49/85-D.II.A dated the 20th December, 1985.

SCHEDULE

“Whether the action of the management of Dena Bank in relation to their Bhagalpur Branch in dismissing Shri Radha Prasad Singh, Daftary from service vide their Memorandum dated 22-3-83 was justified ? If not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled ?”

The case of the management is that the Dena Bank has its Regional Office at Calcutta having several branch offices in-
26 GI/87—11

cluding one branch office at Bhagalpur. The concerned workman Shri Radha Pd. Singh was working as Daftary in Bhagalpur Branch of Dena Bank. On 16-8-79 the concerned workman was served with an office order dated 16-8-79 asking him to discharge his duties as Daftary properly. The concerned workman refused to accept the office order and also failed to perform the duties allotted to him and therefore the office order was displayed on the notice board of the Branch office on the same day. The concerned workman removed the notice from the notice board and at about 3.30 P.M. entered into the room of the Branch Manager and shouted at him in abusive language and threatening the Branch Manager with dire consequences. A chargesheet dated 24-6-80 was issued for the said allegation of misconduct under the signature of the Regional Manager, Calcutta Region and was sent to the Branch Manager, Bhagalpur Branch office. On 16-5-80 the concerned workman was verbally instructed by the Branch Manager, Bhagalpur Branch to perform certain duties and since the concerned workman refused to comply with the instructions the same was given to him in writing. After accepting the written order the concerned workman entered the Branch Manager's room at about 4.20 P.M. and abused him. On protest by the Branch Manager, the concerned workman physically assaulted him and fled away when the other staff members came to rescue the Branch Manager. A chargesheet dated 14-7-80 for the above misconduct under the signature of the Regional Manager, Calcutta Region was sent to the Bhagalpur Branch for service to the concerned workman. The concerned workman had been suspended vide suspension order dated 22-5-80 for the misconduct dated 16-5-80. The Regional Office at Calcutta had drawn up the chargesheet on the report of the Branch Manager of Bagalpur and sent the same to Bhagalpur Branch for service on the concerned workman. The chargesheets dated 24-6-80 and 14-7-80 along with their Hindi version were sent to the concerned workman at his permanent address given by him under Registered Post with A/D under certificate of posting and ordinary post under the instructions of the Regional Office, Calcutta as the concerned workman was not available at Bhagalpur. The chargesheet sent by Regd. Post with A/D to the concerned workman was returned back with a note of refusal by the Postal department. However, the concerned workman came to the Bank on 4-5-81 when both the chargesheets dated 24-6-80 and 14-7-80 along with its Hindi version were handed over to him to which the concerned workman submitted his reply dated 2-6-81 in relation to both the chargesheets. Shri N. M. Banerjee, Asstt. Regional Manager, Administration, Calcutta was appointed as Enquiry Officer. The concerned workman attended the departmental enquiry fixed on 23-12-81 and prayed for adjournment as his defence representative was not present and as such 28-1-82 was fixed for the departmental enquiry. On 28-1-82 the concerned workman alongwith his defence representative attended the departmental enquiry. The defence representative raised various frivolous objections regarding the irregular service of the chargesheet and notice with an idea to delay the enquiry. The defence representative also asked that the enquiry officer should be changed as he was a member of the Rival Union. The hearing of the objections was dragged for a considerable time which was all recorded in the proceeding. The enquiry officer did not find any substance in the objections raised by the defence representative. The concerned workman and his defence representative were requested by the Enquiry Officer to continue evidence in the enquiry proceeding. The concerned workman alongwith his defence representative refused to attend the departmental enquiry proceeding and thereafter the enquiry was conducted ex parte. After completing the enquiry, the enquiry officer considered the material on record and submitted his report alongwith all the connected papers to the disciplinary authorities. The enquiry officer held that the chargesheets dated 24-6-1980 and 14-7-1980 were fully established. The disciplinary authority after considering of the departmental enquiry and the report of the enquiry officer and other connected papers proposed a punishment of dismissal and gave the concerned workman a chance of personal hearing which the concerned workman availed of and was present along with his defence representative before the disciplinary authority. The disciplinary authority gave the concerned workman a full chance of hearing and after considering the entire matter passed an order of dismissal dated 22-3-1983. After receiving the order of dismissal the concerned workman filed an appeal to the Appellate authorities against the orders of

dismissal. The appellate authority granted a personal hearing to the concerned workman and his defence representative. After considering the entire matter the appellate authority vide his order dated 3-9-1982 dismissed the appeal holding that there was no material ground to interfere with the punishment of dismissal passed against the concerned workman by the disciplinary authority. The departmental enquiry and the other procedures connected with the same were carried on according to the provisions of the Bipartite settlement and after observing the principles of natural justice. It is submitted on behalf of the management that the dismissal of the concerned workman is justified and he is not entitled to any relief.

The case of the workmen is that the concerned workman joined service at Bhagalpur Branch on 8-5-1972. He was the senior most experienced person and hence he was given the job of Daftari. The concerned workman discharged the job of Daftari to the full satisfaction of the authorities concerned. In the year 1976, the workman being dissatisfied with the workings of his union namely the Dena Bank Employees Union Bihar State affiliated to the All India Bank Employees Association (AIBEA) resigned from its primary membership and joined Dena Bank Employees Congress Bihar State along with two others at Bhagalpur. This caused serious resentment to AIBEA members regarding the concerned workman. A new Manager Shri Viswamoy Chakravorty was posted in Bhagalpur in 1978. The Branch Manager, Accountant and nine members of the staff belong to AIBEA union whereas the concerned workman along with three members belong to Dena Bank employees Congress. On number of occasions the staff belonging to AIBEA union persuaded the concerned workman to return to their fold of AIBEA union to which the concerned workman refused. The Branch Manager, Shri Viswamoy Chakravorty was victimising the concerned workman from the date he assumed office as a Branch Manager in 1978 at Bhagalpur but the concerned workman patiently suffered the harassment and replied to the allegations to the full satisfaction of the higher authorities which the Branch Manager felt against his prestige. Thereafter the Branch Manager in collusion with the staff members of AIBEA made fake report to the higher authority for which a chargesheet was issued against him on 14-9-79. The concerned workman replied and after discussion with the union further proceeding was dropped. The concerned workman was on medical leave from 16-5-80 to 22-5-80. The Branch Manager sent a letter on 16-5-80 to the concerned workman through one subordinate Shri B. N. Jha. The letter contained instructions to the concerned workman "Discharge your duties properly". There was no mention of any work pending which was required to be done by the concerned workman. The Branch Manager himself mark the concerned workman present on 16-5-80 against the normal practice of the workman signing the attendance. The Branch Manager falsely alleged that on 16-5-80 the concerned workman had assaulted him. On his report the disciplinary authority suspended concerned workman by his letter dated 22-5-80 with an instruction not to leave the station where the concerned workman was working. The concerned workman was confined to the place of his working at Bhagalpur but the chargesheet was suppressed and deliberately sent to his permanent home address. The chargesheet was never served on him. After a lapse of about a year from the date of suspension the Branch Manager of Bhagalpur served a letter dated 13-4-81 intimating about the domestic enquiry along with Zerox copies of two chargesheets on the workman. The concerned workman on receipt of the copies of the chargesheets replied on the factual state of affairs. The enquiry officer conducted the enquiry 20 months after the workman was put under suspension. At the initial stage of the enquiry, the disciplinary authority was requested to change the enquiry officer for his delaying attitude in conducting the enquiry. During the course of enquiry it was submitted that the workman had no faith in the enquiry officer. The concerned workman was therefore compelled to participate in the enquiry under protest. On 11-9-82 the enquiry officer record a provocative and insulting remark against the defence representative. The enquiry officer stopped the defence representative to continue to make submission and declared the enquiry to continue ex parte. Thereafter the enquiry officer questioned the witnesses. No cross-examination was made in the enquiry by the concerned workman. The concerned workman was denied the opportunity

to defend his case. The finding of the enquiry officer was perverse and biased in against law. There is no material for holding that the workman committed the misconduct charged against him. The workman appealed before the appellate authority but the appellate authority dismissed the appeal. It is submitted that the concerned workman did not commit any act of misconduct. The charges on which the concerned workman has been dismissed are concocted and hence the order of dismissal is fit to be quashed. It is prayed that the concerned workman be reinstated with full back wages and other benefits.

By the order dated 13-6-86 it was held by this Tribunal that the domestic enquiry held into the charges against the concerned workman was fair, proper and in accordance with the principles of natural justice. The said issue was decided as a preliminary issue in view of the fact that the concerned workman had been dismissed after holding a domestic enquiry into the charges framed against him and the said domestic enquiry was being challenged on behalf of the workman on the ground that the same was not fair, proper and in accordance with the principles of natural justice.

Now the point remains for consideration is whether there was enough of material in the domestic enquiry which established the charges against the concerned workman. The other question is whether, the punishment of dismissal inflicted against the concerned workman was harsh.

Much fuss has been made on the issue whether the chargesheets were served on the concerned workman and objections were heard by the enquiry officer on several dates regarding the matter whether the chargesheet had been served on the concerned workman. So far I am concerned the point is set at rest as admittedly the chargesheets were served on the concerned workman on 13-4-81 as is admitted in para 14 of the W.S. filed on behalf of the concerned workman. It is also submitted that the concerned workman replied on the factual state of affairs on receipt of the copies of Chargesheets. Ext. M-2 is the chargesheet dated 24-6-80 in respect of the allegation dated 16-8-79. Ext. M-3 dated 14-7-80 in the chargesheet relating to the alleged misconduct dated 16-5-80. The reply of the concerned workman was exhibited as Ext. D-1 by the Enquiry Officer which also shows that the concerned workman had received a letter along with the chargesheets on 4-5-81. It will appear from the said Ext. D-1 that the concerned workman has denied the allegations made in 2 chargesheets. The defence of the concerned workman in respect of the charge Ext. M-3 dated 16-5-80 is that he was ill from 16-5-80 to 22-5-80 and as such he had not attended the Bank on 16-5-80 and the entire allegations in respect of 16-5-80 are concocted. He has stated that he had filed application for leave on 23-5-80 in respect of his illness from 16-5-81 to 22-5-81. He has also stated that he had received the order of the Branch Manager dated 16-5-80 at his residence through Peon at 4 P.M.

I will take up the first chargesheet Ext. M-2 dated 24-6-80 first. There is no need to repeat the allegation in the charge sheet Ext. M-2 as the full facts may be perused in Ext. M-2. The management examined 4 witnesses on 11-9-82 and the connecting documents in respect of the said charge are Ext. P-7, P-7A, P-8 and P-9. Ext. P-7 is the letter addressed to the concerned workman by the Branch Manager dated 16-8-79 which shows that the Branch Manager asked the concerned workman to discharge his allotted duties without keeping anything pending. Ext. P-7A is the note written on Ext. P-7 that the concerned workman "Refused except placed in the notice board." Ext. P-8 is the letter addressed to the Regional Manager, Calcutta by the Branch Manager, Bhagalpur Branch dated 16-8-79. It will appear from this letter that office instruction was served on the concerned workman for his non-performance of his duties which he refused to accept and thereafter the letter was taken from the notice board by the concerned workman and thereafter the concerned workman entered into the chamber of the branch manager abusing him with filthy language and threatening him showing complete disrespect to the Branch Manager and to the institution as a whole in the presence of the staff members. The Branch Manager had requested for taking immediate action against the concerned

workman. Ext. P-9 dated 31-8-79 is a letter written by the Branch Manager of the Dena Bank to the Regional Manager, Dena Bank, Calcutta giving some further details on the query memo made by the Regional Manager.

The witnesses who have supported the chargesheet Ext. M-2 are MW-1 Shri Vishwamoy Chakravorty, MW-2 Shri Brati Bhushan Majumdar, Accountant of Bhagalpur Branch, MW-3 Shri Raj Kumar Sharma, I.B.C. Peon, Dena Bank, Bhagalpur Branch, MW-4 Shri Ganesh Sharma, Typist-cum-Clerk, MW-1 Shri Vishwamoy Chakravorty was the Branch Manager, Bhagalpur Branch at the relevant time. He has stated that the concerned workman was working as a Daftry on 16-8-79 and he had advised him orally to discharge his duties as a Daftry but the concerned workman did not obey his instruction. He has stated that Shri Brati Bhushan Majumdar, Accountant of the Branch was a witness to the same. He has stated that when the concerned workman refused he issued an office instruction in writing at that very time under his signature and that is the document which was marked Ext. P-7 by the Enquiry Officer. He has stated that he had tendered the said letter Ext. P-7 to the concerned workman which the concerned workman refused to accept it. He has further stated that he authenticated the refusal by making a note Ext. P7-A on Ext. P-7. He has further stated that after the refusal he pasted the said letter on the Notice Board of the Bank through Peon Shri R. K. Sharma. He has further stated that the concerned workman did not perform his duties as per his instructions in spite of pasting office order on the notice board. He has stated that at about 3.30 P.M. on that very day the concerned workman entered his chamber with the said office order in his hand which had been pasted on the Notice Board and started abusing loudly and he has stated the specific abuses and objectionable words which were stated by the concerned workman. He has also named Ganesh Chakravorty, Typist Clerk and Shri Brati Bhushan Majumdar who had witnessed the incident. He has stated that he reported the matter to the Regional Manager vide his letter dated 16-8-79 which was marked Ext. P-8 by the Enquiry Officer. He reported on enquiry by the Regional Manager again vide his letter dated 3-8-79 which has been marked as Ext. P-9 by the Enquiry Officer. To the specific question put to him he stated that he had instructed the concerned workman to do the job of Daftry which he had refused as the concerned workman or his representative did not participate, the enquiry officer himself put some question to the witness. MW-1 is fully supported by the evidence of MW-2 and MW-4. They have stated that the Branch Manager had advised the concerned workman to discharge his allotted duties first verbally and thereafter on refusal issued an office order in writing but the concerned workman refused to accept it and thereafter the Branch Manager got the Office Instructions pasted on the Notice Board through R. K. Sharma, Peon. They also have stated that the concerned workman did not perform his duties as per instructions of the Branch Manager. They have stated that they heard and had seen shouting abusive language and the threatening with direct consequences at the top of his voice in the Branch Manager's chamber at 3.30 P.M. MW-2 has stated specifically about the abusive words spoken by the concerned workman. MW-3 is Raj Kumar Sharma, Peon of Bhagalpur Branch of Dena Bank. He has stated that the concerned workman was present on 16-8-79 in the Bank and that the Branch Manager had asked this witness to put a letter in the office notice board. Thus this witness has proved that the Branch Manager had asked him to put the office instructions on the Notice Board and he had put it on the notice board. The evidence of MW-1, 2 and 4 clearly shows that the concerned workman who was working as Daftry on 16-8-79 did not obey the instruction of the Branch Manager to perform his duties and that he refused to take the written instruction given to him by the Branch Manager and thereafter the Branch Manager got the office instruction pasted on the Notice Board which was taken out by the concerned workman and the concerned workman entered the chamber of the Branch Manager at 3.30 P.M. along with office instruction which was pasted on the Notice Board and loudly abused and threatened the Branch Manager. The abusive words used by the concerned workman to the Branch Manager were highly objectionable and they constituted threat to the Branch Manager. The

said act of the concerned workman was indecent and disorderly behaviour in the premises of the Bank and was wilful insubordinate and disobedience of lawful order of the Branch Manager. The said behaviour of the concerned workman was an act prejudicial to the interest of the administration of the Bank. In my opinion the charges under para 19.5(c), 19.5(e) and 19.5 (j) of the Bipartite Settlement have been established against the concerned workman on the materials which were placed before the enquiry in respect of chargesheet Ext. M-2.

The other chargesheet against the concerned workman is Ext. M-3 dated 14-7-80. The allegation in the chargesheet Ext. M-3 against the concerned workman is that while he was working as Daftry at Bhagalpur Branch of Dena Bank, he was orally instructed on 16-5-80 to perform certain duties by the Branch Manager Shri Vishwamoy Chakravorty and since he refused to comply with the instructions the Branch Manager gave him instructions in writing. It is further alleged that after accepting the written order the concerned workman at about 4.20 P.M. entered the Branch Manager's Cabin and abused him and when the Branch Manager protested the concerned workman physically assaulted him and fled away when the other staff members came to his rescue. The concerned workman was therefore charged as per bipartite settlement para 19.5(c) and (e). The concerned workman had given his reply to the said charge vide Ext. D-1 dated 2-6-81. As I have already stated above Ext. D-1 was a reply of the concerned workman give on jointly in respect of Ext. M-2 and M-3. The concerned workman has completely denied the allegation and has taken specific defence that he was ill on 16-5-80 and that he had not attend the Bank and that all the allegations made against him were false and concocted. The management examined 10 witnesses in respect of the charge Ext. M-3 before the Enquiry Officer. The documents in connection with the said charge are Ext. P-10 dated 17-5-80, P-11 dated 16-5-80, P-11A (acknowledgement portion of the Kotwali P.S. Bhagalpur and Ext. P-11A), Ext. P-12 (letter dated 16-5-80 addressed to the concerned workman by the Branch Manager) P-12A (acknowledgement portion of the concerned workman on Ext. P-12), P-13 (settlement dated 16-5-80 addressed to the Regional Manager, Calcutta by the Branch Manager Bhagalpur) P-14 (letter dated 17-5-80 addressed to the Regional Manager, Calcutta by staff members of Bhagalpur branch and Ext. D-1.

Ext. P-10 is the letter written by the Branch Manager, Vishwamoy Chakravorty to the Regional Manager, Dena Bank, Calcutta Region enclosing letter addressed to the concerned workman, copy of the statement at the Police station, copy of the telegram sent to the Regional Manager and letter from Staff member to the Regional Manager, Dena Bank, Calcutta Region. The entire facts which are contained in the Chargesheet relating to the misconduct committed by the concerned workman is stated in this letter. It will appear that the concerned workman was present on 16-5-80 in the Bank but the concerned workman did not care to attend to his duties although the Branch Manager had requested him orally in the morning to attend to his duties and the concerned workman declined to discharge his duties. It is stated that thereafter when the Branch Manager felt that the refusal to attend to his duties was a challenge to the administration, the Branch Manager advised him to discharge his duties. On Receipt of the letter the concerned workman entered the room of the Branch Manager at about 4.20 P.M. and in presence of all the staff and employees of the Bank abused him in the filthy language and on protest the concerned workman assaulted the Branch Manager by fist and dashed him to the side rack causing him hurt. He also stated that the incident attracted the notice of the staff who rushed to save him whereupon the concerned workman fled away. Ext. P-11 was the information lodged about the Branch Manager, Bhagalpur on 16-5-80 before the Officer Incharge Kotwali Police Bhagalpur in which also it is stated that on account of continuous negligence in duties the Branch Manager had served a letter on the concerned workman asking him to attend to his work properly and on receipt of the said letter the concerned workman entered his office room at about 4.20 P.M. and in present of all staff and employees of the Bank started abusing him in filthy language and on his protest assaulted him by fist and dashed him to the side rack causing

hurt to his body. In this information also the Branch Manager has stated that the incident attracted the notice of the staff who rushed to save him whereupon the concerned workman fled away eluding arrest by the staff. There is note on this office copy Ext. P-11 to show that the said letter was received at Kotwali P.S. Bhagalpur by ASI on 16-5-80. Ext. P-13 is the telegram which was sent immediately after the occurrence by the Branch Manager to the Regional Manager, Dena Bank Calcutta. Here also the Branch Manager of Dena Bank Bhagalpur had informed that the concerned workman Radha Pd. Singh physically assaulted the Branch Manager in respect of which the Police station was informed. Ext. P-14 dated 17-5-80 is a joint petition filed by 11 staff members of Bhagalpur Branch of Dena Bank to the Regional Manager, Dena Bank, Calcutta. It is stated in Ext. P-14 that on 16-5-80 at about 4.20 P.M. Shri Radha Pd. Singh entered in the chamber of the Branch Manager and started abusing him in very filthy language and on hearing his loud voice the members of the staff present in the office rushed to the chamber of the Branch Manager and found that Shri Radha Pd. Singh assaulted the Branch Manager and dashed him to the side rack and when they wanted to arrest him he could be able to escape by fleeing away. It is further stated that the Branch Manager has filed FIR on the date of the occurrence with Kotwali Police station. They said that if such things are being allowed to crop up it will lead the whole industry to an interregative point and they urged upon the Regional Manager to take suitable action against the concerned workman with a view to curb down such activities as they also feel themselves in danger. I have given in extent the facts stated in the exhibits to show that it was consistently being stated that the concerned workman had abused and assaulted the Branch Manager on 16-5-80 when the Branch Manager had given the concerned workman in writing to discharge his duties properly. The documents Ext. P-14 supports what is stated by the Branch Manager about the occurrence. I will deal with the oral evidence of the witnesses who have deposed about the occurrence presently but before that I would like to deal with the letter which was given by the Branch Manager to the concerned workman on 16-5-80. The said letter is marked Ext. P-12 and it bears an endorsement of the concerned workman to show that he had received a copy of the said letter on 16-5-80 at 4 P.M. The said endorsement is Ext. P-12A. It will appear from Ext. P-12 that the Branch Manager of Bhagalpur Branch Dena Bank addressed to the concerned workman advising him again to discharge his duties properly. The word again written in Ext. P-12 shows that the Branch Manager had earlier also asked the concerned workman to do his duties properly. Even in Ext. P-1 it is admitted by the concerned workman that he had received the copy of the letter dated 16-5-80 and had endorsed his receipt. Thus there is no doubt that the concerned workman had received the copy of the letter Ext. P-12 in which he had been advised to discharge his duties properly.

From page 102 to 121 of the Enquiry Proceeding Ext. M-12 the evidence of the 10 witnesses have been recorded by the Enquiry Officer in connection with the chargesheet Ext. M-3. All the witnesses were examined in the absence of the concerned workman and as such the management witnesses were not cross-examined on behalf of the concerned workman. MW-1 is Shri Viswamoy Chakravorty, the Branch Manager of Bhagalpur Branch who was abused and assaulted by the concerned workman. It will appear from his evidence that the concerned workman was on-duty on 16-5-80 as Daftari and that he asked the concerned workman verbally to discharge his duties as Daftari. He has stated that the concerned workman denied to discharge his duties in presence of Shri Brati Bhushan Majumdar, Accountant. He has further stated that after the refusal of the concerned workman to his duties, MW-1 was watching the activities of the concerned workman whether he was discharging his duties or not and atleast when he saw that the concerned workman was not discharging his duties he issued an office instruction in writing. The office instruction in writing is Ext. P-12 in respect of which I have already stated while discussing the exhibits which were filed before the Enquiry Officer in connection with the chargesheet Ext. M-3. MW-1 has specifically stated that the concerned workman was not discharging his duties and was sitting in his stool reading newspaper. He has stated that he called the concerned workman in his chamber and asked him to accept the office in-

struction which the concerned workman accepted by giving his signature in acknowledgement of having received the copy at 4 P.M. on 16-6-80. The concerned workman left the chamber of the Branch Manager after accepting the written instruction and at about 4.20 P.M. the concerned workman again entered into his chamber and started abusing him in filthy language at the top of his voice and assaulted him by fist and dashed him to the side rack. He has stated that when the staff members tried to catch hold of him the concerned workman escaped and fled away. He has stated that he first reported about the incident to the Regional Manager by telegram and then by letter dated 17-5-80. He has also stated that he had lodged the information before the Kotwali P.S. Bhagalpur copy of which is Ext. P-11. The written information was received at the Kotwali P.S. and it was acknowledged at the Police station vide Ext. P-11(A). He has stated that many staff members had narrated about the incident in a letter sent by them to the Regional Manager, Calcutta and Ext. P-14 is the said letter.

MW-2 Brati Bhushan Majumdar, Accountant has stated that the concerned workman was on duty in the Bank at 16-5-80. He has stated that the Branch Manager, Shri Viswamoy Chakravorty had advised verbally the concerned workman to discharge his duties in his presence to which the concerned workman denied to do. He has stated that the Branch Manager issued an office instruction and served it on the concerned workman at about 4 P.M. advising him the concerned workman to discharge his duties properly. He has further stated that the concerned workman had acknowledged receipt of the instruction vide Ext. P-12A. He has further stated that at about 4.20 P.M. on 16-5-80 he heard the shouting voice of the concerned workman from the Branch Managers' Cabin. He has stated that the Branch Manager's cabin door was opened and he along with the staff members of the Branch who heard the shouting rushed towards the cabin and saw that the concerned workman assaulted the Branch Manager and dashed him to the side rack. He has stated that when they tried to catch hold of the concerned workman he escaped. He has further stated that in this regard the staff members of the Branch had already sent a complaint narrating the incident to the Regional Manager on 17-5-80.

MW-3 Shri N. D. Bairagi an Officer working in Dena Bank Bhagalpur, MW-4 P. K. Singhania, Cashier-cum-Clerk MW-5 S. K. Sharma, Typist-cum-Clerk, MW-6 R. K. Thakur, Cashier-cum-Clerk and MW-10 Ram Husah Jha Boy-cum-Peon Sharma, I.B.C. Peon, MW-9 Bepin Bihari Singh, Cashier-cum-Clerk and MW-10 Ram Husah Jha Water Boy-cum-Peon who were all working in Bhagalpur Branch of Dena Bank on 16-5-80 and had signed on the petition Ext. P-14, have supported the evidence of the Branch Manager MW-1. On perusal of their evidence it will appear that they have stated about the hearing of the shouts by the concerned workman in the chamber of the Branch Manager at about 4.20 P.M. but they could not specifically state if the words spoken were loudly by the concerned workman were abuses. MW-8 however in his cross-examination has stated about the abuses by the concerned workman but he had not stated the same in his examination-in-chief. It is quite possible that when the concerned workman was shouting loudly in the chamber of the Branch Manager it was not possible for the staff members who were sitting apart from the Branch Manager to decipher as to what was being loudly shouted by the concerned workman and as such they could not specifically say if the concerned workman was uttering abuses to the Branch Manager. However the evidence is unanimous that the concerned workman had assaulted the Branch Manager and had pushed him. There does not appear to be any contradiction in their evidence regarding the fact that the concerned workman had assaulted the Branch Manager MW-1 in his chamber. Their evidence before the Enquiry Officer corroborates that they had stated in Ext. P-14 dated 17-5-80 which was just on the next date of the occurrence. The only criticism regarding this office staff witnesses made on behalf of the concerned workman is that they were members of AIDRA which was a rival union of the workman's union namely Dena Bank Employees Congress. There is absolutely no evidence on the fact that the witnesses examined on behalf of the management were the members of AIDRA or that the said union was a rival union of Dena Bank Employees Congress to which the concerned workman belonged. It cannot therefore be said that the management's witnesses were deceiving falsely because of the union rivalry. I am of the opinion that the management's witnesses were truthful."

their evidence clearly establishes that the concerned workman had assaulted the Branch Manager, Shri Vishwamoy Chakravorty on 16-5-80 at about 4.20 P.M. It will appear from the evidence of MW-1 and MW-2 that the concerned workman had been first orally advised to do his work properly but he did not do the work and was disobeying the order of the Branch Manager and thereafter the Branch Manager gave a written instruction to the concerned workman at about 4 P.M. advising him to perform his duties properly which infuriated the concerned workman leading to his entry in the chamber of the Branch Manager at 4.20 P.M. on that very day i.e. on 16-5-80 and assaulting the Branch Manager.

It is submitted on behalf of the concerned workman that he was ill on 16-5-80 and that he had not attended the duties of the Bank on that day and that the written instruction was received by him at his residence at 4 P.M. on 16-5-80 through a Peon and that as he was unable to go out of his house, the entire allegation made against him that he had abused and assaulted the Branch Manager at 4.20 P.M. on 16-5-80 is false and concocted. It is the unanimous evidence of all the 10 witnesses that the concerned workman had attended the Bank on 16-5-80. It is stated on behalf of the concerned workman that he had not marked his presence on 16-5-80 which will show that he was not present and that the Branch Manager had marked his presence on that day. The attendance Register has not been produced in this Court and it is quite probable that the concerned workman had not marked his attendance on 16-5-80 when he had gone to the Bank and is now taking advantage of his own negligence to show that he was not present on that day. The evidence of the management's witnesses coupled with the endorsement of the concerned workman Ext. P-12A establishes that the concerned workman was present in the Branch Office of the Bank on 16-5-80 and that his alibi of absence is not at all established.

In view of the above I hold that the management has been able to establish the charge Ext. M-3 against the concerned workman. I hold that the concerned workman by assaulting the Branch Manager and disobeying his order regarding the work to be performed by the concerned workman was an act of wilful insubordination and disobedience of lawful and reasonable order of the Branch Manager and that the concerned workman by assaulting the head of the Branch Bank committed indecent behaviour in the premises of the Bank. In my opinion the concerned workman has rightly been held guilty of the charges under Bipartite Settlement para 19.5 (c) and 19.5(e).

I dealt with the matter as to how the enquiry officer was compelled to proceed with the enquiry into the charges against the concerned workman ex parte in my order dated 13-6-86 when I was deciding the preliminary issue whether the enquiry was fair, proper and in accordance with the principles of natural justice. I would only add to that the proceedings at page 86 to 87 of the Enquiry Proceeding Ext. M-12 which itself would show how frivolous objections were being raised before the Enquiry Officer and the procedure was being delayed and obstructed. It will appear from the note of the Enquiry Officer at page 86-87 of Ext. M-18 as to how the defence representative was noncooperating with the enquiry officer in the enquiry proceeding. The concerned workman and his defence representative were refusing to sign the proceeding for the dates they had participated. It will further appear that when the enquiry officer requested the defence representative to participate by replying the question asked but they did not agree and told the enquiry officer that unless what the defence representative says is recorded they will sit like this and create unbearable atmosphere. The enquiry officer requested the defence representative to refrain from creating such situation to which he did not agree and left the court room along with the delinquent at about 4.30 P.M. (11-9-82). Thereafter the concerned workman and his defence representative did not appear in the enquiry proceeding and as such the enquiry was taken up ex parte against the concerned workman. The concerned workman and his representative had themselves left the enquiry proceeding and now they cannot say that they were not given chance to cross-examine the management's witnesses and to adduce their own witnesses in defence. In the above situation I think the enquiry officer was quite justified in proceeding

with the enquiry in the absence of the concerned workman and his defence representative.

It has been submitted on behalf of the concerned workman that the concerned workman was victimised but there is no evidence on the record to this effect and accordingly I hold that the concerned workman was not victimised but the punishment was passed against him for the misconduct committed by him.

It has been submitted that the punishment of dismissal is too severe. In my opinion it is not. The assault of any Branch Manager who is the head of the Branch Bank by a subordinate staff is an act of very serious nature in as much as if such practice is allowed to continue nobody would be safe to work in a Bank. The act done by the concerned workman was an act of great misconduct, in subordination disobedience, disorderly and indecent behaviour and as such the punishment of dismissal inflicted upon the concerned workman is quite justified and I do not feel inclined to pass any lenient sentence against the concerned workman.

In the result, I hold that the action of the management of Dena Bank in relation to their Bhagalpur Branch in dismissing the concerned workman Shri Dadha P.J. Singh, Doftari from service vide their Memorandum dated 22-3-83 is justified and that the concerned workman is not entitled to any relief.

This is my Award.

I. N. SINGHA, Presiding Officer
[No. L-12012/49/85-D.II (A)]
N. K. VERMA, Desk Officer

नई दिल्ली, 6 अप्रैल, 1987

का. आ. 1057.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अन्तर्गत में केंद्रीय सरकार, मिल्केट बैंक के प्रबंधनकार्य सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में औद्योगिक अधिकारण ईवराशाव के प्रत्याप को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केंद्रीय सरकार को 25-3-87 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

New Delhi, the 6th April, 1987

S.O. 1057.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Industrial Tribunal, Hyderabad as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Syndicate Bank and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 25th March, 1987.

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (CEN. TRAL)
AT HYDERABAD

Industrial Dispute No. 23 of 1986

BFTWEFN

The workmen of Syndicate Bank, Hyderabad,

AND

The Management of Syndicate Bank, Hyderabad.

APPEARANCES :

Sri K. Srinivasa Murthy and K. Kamari G. Sudha, Advocates for the Management.

None for the workman.

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour by its Order No. L-12012/195/85-D. II(A) dated 16-6-1986 referred the following dispute under Sections 10(1)(d) and (2A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 between the employer in relation to the management of Syndicate Bank and their workman to this Tribunal for adjudication :

"Whether the action of the management of Syndicate Bank, Hyderabad in terminating the services of Sri

R. Shyam Prasad, Attender with effect from 2-6-73 and in denying employment opportunity to him on the ground of average in the year 1982 is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

This reference was registered as Industrial Dispute No. 23 of 1986 and notices were issued to the parties.

2. This is a claims statement filed by workman only Sri R. Shyam Prasad stating that he was appointed in the establishment of Syndicate Bank as Attender at Produdatur Branch on 19-5-1971 and he worked at various intervals from 19-5-1971 to 1-6-1973 and he was given 17 orders of appointments including one for 31 days at the Simhadripuram Branch of the Bank. According to him the appointment orders were issued for the different durations with small breaks in between including the continuous spell of 116 days from 6-2-1973 to 1-6-1973. It is his case that he had put in a total service of 307 days in the Bank. He also mentioned that in the year 1982 he was called for interview for appointment as Attender of the bank 4-5-1982 and the bank informed him that he was not selected for appointment for he was over-aged. According to him this termination was brought about in this case to circumvent the provisions of Clause 20.8 of the Bi-partite Settlement dated 19-10-1966 which reads as follows: "A temporary workman may be appointed to fill a permanent vacancy provided that such temporary employment shall not exceed a period of three months during which the Bank may make arrangements for filling up the vacancy permanently. If such a temporary workman is eventually selected for filling up the vacancy, the period of such temporary employment will be taken into account as part of his probationary period". It was not right on the part of the Bank to deny him permanent employment on the ground that he was over aged as on 4-5-1982. It is mentioned that in Para 522(4) of Sastri Award which reads as follows: "The services of any employee other than a permanent employee or probationer may be terminated and he may leave service, after 14 days' notice. If such an employee leaves service without giving such notice, he shall be liable for a week's pay (including all allowances)". So it is said that the Bank violated the provisions of Sections 25-F, 25-G and 25-H of the I.D. Act and therefore he wanted an award be ordered reinstating him into Bank service with all accrued benefits.

3. The Bank in its counter admitted that it was a fact that the Bank had several occasions engaged the petitioner as Attender purely on temporary basis against the leave vacancies. It is mentioned that the said appointments had been terminated on the expiry of the respective periods mentioned in the corresponding appointment letters. To qualify for this selection, the candidates concerned should have passed VIIth Standard but should not have passed SSLC and should not have attained 25 years of age, for regular appointments for Attenders are made by the Bank by selecting the eligible candidates from the list of candidates sponsored by the Employment Exchange. It is a fact that in the year 1982, the Bank appointed Attenders on regular basis from the list of candidates sponsored by the Employment Exchange on their fulfilling the requirements stipulated by the Bank. As the petitioner is over-aged, he was not considered for the appointment as Attender on regular basis. This however does not seem to have any bearing on the issue before the Tribunal. He was appointed as Attender on temporary basis against the leave vacancies and not against the permanent vacancies. So the question of invoking Para 20.8 of the Bipartite Settlement does not arise. In the instant case the Deponent had worked only 170 days during the period of 12 calendar months preceding the date of termination from the date of termination from i.e. backwards from 1-6-1973 to 31-7-1972 since he had not worked for 240 days as a mandatory under Section 25B of the Act. the act of the Bank in terminating his service at the end of stipulated period of his temporary services does not amount to retrenchment as contended by the petitioner. Therefore the question of invoking Section 25F or other provisions of the Act does not arise.

4. The matter was referred to this Tribunal on 18-6-1986 and the notice was served upon the Management also but the concerned workman was called absent and there was

no claims statement filed by him. Finally on 21-8-1986 Sri K. Srinivasa Murthy and Miss G. Sudha, Advocates filed vikalat for the Management on 4-8-1986 in the office. Claims statement dated 19-7-1986 alongwith the relevant documents were received by post on 22-7-1986. There afterwards though the matter was adjourned to 23-9-1986, 25-10-1986, 19-11-1986, 22-11-1986 and 27-1-1986 there was no representation from both sides. Hence posted for award on the available material.

5. The very documents filed by the workman would show that he was appointed for a period specified thereunder temporarily for two months, one month, four days, four days, six days, four days, four days, 22 days, 12 days 12 days one month, 15 days, eight days and 31 days, 30 days, 31 days and one day. in other words as admitted by the Management it was a fact that in a calendar year from 31-1-1972 to 1-6-1973 he was appointed for a period of 197 days and all other periods cannot be counted as there were for shorter periods if calendar period of 12 months is taken. So the Bipartite Settlement referred under Clause 20.8 dated 19th October, 1966 had no application since he is not appointed against the permanent vacancy but he is appointed only if temporary basis against the leave vacancies. Similarly to attach the benefit out of Section 25-F of the I.D. Act he has to prove before this Tribunal that he had put in 240 days continuous service prior to his termination within the meaning of Section 25B of the I.D. Act and for reemployment under Section 35H of the Act. Since he did not work for 240 days continuously as required under Section 25B of the I.D. Act on the available record filed by him itself and when all the orders would show that he was appointed in the leave vacancies temporarily and there is no permanent vacancies existing, he did not qualify to show that he had completed 240 days of actual working days prior to his termination within the meaning of Section 25B of the Act and therefore Section 25F had no application. Further when he was called for interview he was over-aged and he cannot be fit in as a man appointed in a regular vacancies for saving period he worked to get over the prescribed age of 25 years as he did not work for 240 days continuously in a calendar year.

6. Therefore I hold that the action of the Management of Syndicate Bank, Hyderabad in terminating the services of Shri R. Shyam Prasad, Attender with effect from 2-6-1973 and in denying employment opportunity to him on the ground of average in the year 1982 is justified and he is not entitled to any relief.

Award is passed accordingly.

Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed by him corrected by me and given under my hand and the seal of this Tribunal, this the 25th day of February, 1987.

Sd/-
Industrial Tribunal

Appendix of Evidence

NIL

Dated : 28-2-87.

J. VENUGOPALA RAO, Industrial Tribunal
[No. L-12012/195/85-D.II(A)]

का. आ 1058:—श्रीयोगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) का धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय स्टेट बैंक के प्रबंधनवाले द्वारा उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अन्यथा में लिंगिट श्रीयोगिक विवाद से नेत्रीय सरकार श्रीयोगिक अधिकार, नई दिल्ली के पंचाट का प्रकाशित करनी है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार की 25-3-82 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

S.O. 1058.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby published the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, New Delhi as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the State Bank of India and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 25-3-87.

BEFORE SHRI G. S. KALRA, PRESIDING OFFICER,
CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,
NEW DELHI

I.D. No. 97/80

In the matter of dispute between :

Shri G. K. Chaudhry, clerk, Represented by the General Secretary, State Bank of India Staff Association, 58/2124/2, Hari Singh Nalwa Street, Karol Bagh, New Delhi-110005.

Versus

State Bank of India through the Chief Manager, 11, Parliament Street, New Delhi

APPEARANCES :

Shri B. K. Chaudhry for the Management.

None for the workman.

AWARD

The Central Government in the Ministry of Labour vide its Notification No. L-12012/81/80-D.II(A) dated 8th September, 1980 has referred the following industrial dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication :

"Whether the action of the management of State Bank of India, Parliament Street, New Delhi in imposing the punishment of warning to Shri G. K. Chaudhry, Clerk at their Main Branch Delhi and denying him full wages for the period from 7-9-1974 to 23-3-77 is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled?"

2. Some of the undisputed facts are that Shri G. K. Chaudhry (hereinafter referred to as the workman) is working as clerk in the Parliament Street Branch of the State Bank of India (hereinafter referred to as the Bank). On 14-8-74 the workman was placed under suspension and on 7-9-74 a charge sheet was served upon him to the following effect :

"On the morning of the 14th August, 1974, you entered the cabin of Shri Kashmiri Lal, Officer-in-Charge, Establishment Section, provoked a argument with him, quarrelled with him, abused him and slapped him on the face."

A domestic enquiry was conducted by Shri R. N. Bhatt who submitted his enquiry report dated 14-4-76 with the following conclusion:

"In nutshell my findings are as under :

- (a) there have been arguments/quarrel between Shri G. K. Chaudhry and Kashmiri Lal.
- (b) Shri G. K. Chaudhry has neither abused nor slapped Shri Kashmiri Lal against his face.

The disciplinary authority first of all quashed the enquiry proceedings on the ground that certain serious lacuna had occurred in the conduct of the enquiry and ordered a de-novo enquiry. However, later on by order dated 24-9-76 the Disciplinary Authority rescinded his earlier decision of holding de novo enquiry and proceeded to take action on the domestic enquiry already held and the findings submitted by the Enquiry Officer, and proposed to censure the workman on the ground that "it was most unbecoming and against all canons of discipline for Shri G. K. Chaudhary to have quarrelled with Shri Kashmiri Lal and have had a struggle over marking the attendance." The workman submitted a detailed explanation dated 23-10-76 against the proposed punishment which was rejected by the Disciplinary Authority vide its order dated 8-11-76 and vide communication dated 17-11-76 the workman was further informed that he will not be paid any further salary and such allowance thereto than what he had been paid during his suspension period. The workman then preferred an appeal and the Appellate Authority vide its order dated 14-1-77 rejected the appeal and confirmed the order of punishment.

3. The workman has challenged the order of punishment as illegal, invalid, improper and malafide on grounds inter alia that the enquiry officer showed bias towards the Management by allowing unnecessary adjournments and

after having twice rejected the Management's request for cross-examination of the witness Shri Kashmiri Lal, by allowing cross-examination of Shri Kashmiri Lal later on without declaring the witness as hostile; that the enquiry officer exonerated him from all the charges levelled against him and inspite of that the Disciplinary Authority proceeded on a wrong assumption that the Enquiry Officer had held the workman guilty of the charge to the extent that he had arguments and quarrelled with Shri Kashmiri Lal. This order of the Disciplinary Authority was made without applying his mind and without taking into consideration the previous record of the workman and without there being any legal evidence on record against the workman. Hence it was contended that the decision of the Disciplinary Authority inflicting punishment of censure is perverse and liable to be set aside.

4. The Management maintained that the charges against the workman were proved on circumstantial evidence and there is nothing illegal or invalid in the action taken by the Disciplinary Authority.

5. On a consideration of the entire facts and circumstances of this case, I am of the opinion that the order of punishment passed by the Disciplinary Authority was perverse being not based on any legal evidence and is, therefore, liable to be set aside. In the enquiry only two witnesses were examined on behalf of the Management. The first of them is Shri Kashmiri Lal Officer-in-Charge of the Establishment Section under whom the workman was working and who is alleged to have been provoked into an argument and with whom the workman is alleged to have quarrelled, abused and slapped him. His witness was first of all examined on 4-9-1975. When he stated that the workman used to have quarrels with number of persons in the Personnel Banking Division and he requested Shri P. B. Arora, the Personnel Officer, that it was difficult for him to pull on with the workman and Shri Arora asked him to send the workman to him and he would mark his attendance in the section of Mr. Arora and not in the Establishment Section. Then he told the workman to see Mr. Arora and mark his attendance there. On this basis the workman quarrelled with him who tried to snatch the attendance register from him and when he did not give the register the workman pushed him and snatched the register and in the process of snatching the register the hand of the workman struck him. After this date the enquiry was adjourned to 5-9-75 for recording the statement of the second witness Shri K. N. Kedar. It is only on 5-9-75 that the presenting officer of the Bank put up a request for the cross-examination of Shri Kashmiri Lal and this request was rejected by the Enquiry Officer on the ground that the Presenting Officer never wanted to cross-examine the witness and that the request made by him was only an after thought. As the second witness Shri K. N. Kedar was not present the enquiry was further adjourned to 8-9-75 when again the presenting officer made a request for cross-examination of Shri Kashmiri Lal and again this request was turned down on the ground that this request had not been made at the appropriate time and it was not advisable to make such a request at that stage. However, on the adjourned date of 29-9-75, the enquiry Officer made a volte-face and allowed the cross-examination of Shri Kashmiri Lal without giving any cogent reasons. This action on the part of the Enquiry Officer was quite improper in view of the fact that on earlier two occasions he had rejected the request of the presenting officer of the Management by giving reasons. However, this action of the Enquiry Officer had virtually no impact because, in the enquiry report the Enquiry Officer himself discarded the statement given by Shri Kashmiri Lal on 29-9-75 as an after-thought. Here I quote the Enquiry Officer in his own words, at para 6 of his Enquiry Report "The deposition made by Shri Kashmiri Lal on 4th September, 1975 is at variance from what he said during the course of his examination by the prosecution on 29th September, 1975. I have a feeling that what Shri Kashmiri Lal said on 29th September, 1975 on examination by the prosecution is after thought and has been cooked up by him in his attempt to corroborate what was mentioned in the charge sheet No. PER/PBA/74/Conf/62 dated 7th September, 74 served on the respondent. Moreover, the prosecution has failed to put forth any evidence to corroborate the deposition made by Shri Kashmiri Lal. Accordingly no reliance can be

placed on what Shri Kashmari Lal has deposed.....". Thus the evidence of Shri Kashmari Lal was found to be not worthy of reliance. The only other witness examined by the Management was Shri K. N. Kedar who refused to say anything and thus did not support the prosecution case. Thus there was clearly no evidence in support of the prosecution case. On the other hand the workman besides his own statement examined Shri P. P. Trikha and Shri Krishna Nand and out of them Shri Krishna Nand was a clerk in the Establishment Section at that time, where the alleged occurrence took place. With regard to this witness the Enquiry Officer observed, "I do have a full reliance on the deposition made by Shri Krishna Nand. First he was working in the Establishment Section itself where the incident took place. Secondly the statement has been very natural one and came forth without any facial impression which would arouse suspicion about the truth therein". The Enquiry Officer by relying upon the deposition made by Shri Kashmari Lal on 4th September, 1975 and that of Shri Krishna Nand, arrived at his conclusions which have been reproduced above. The workman has been fully exonerated by the Enquiry Officer in respect of the second part of the charge of abusing and slapping Shri Kashmari Lal and this finding has also not been disturbed by the Disciplinary Authority and hence nothing further need be said about it. It is only with regard to the first part of the charge relating to arguments/quarrel that the disciplinary authority has proceeded to impose the punishment on the workman. Here too, again we may go back to the observation of the Enquiry Officer in para 6 of his report that "Even if there is any iota of truth that can be based on his first statement which he made on the 4th September, 1975. Relying on the deposition made by Shri Kashmari Lal on the 4th September, 75 I infer that there have been arguments and quarrel between him and the respondent Shri C. K. Chaudhary, Clerk (under suspension).....". After having found the witness to be a liar who could took up a version as an afterthought in order to corroborate what had been mentioned in the chargesheet, the Enquiry Officer could not have placed implicit faith in the earlier statement made by the witness on 4th September, 1975. In fact the Enquiry Officer has by his observation quoted above demonstrated that he himself had a doubt regarding the truthfulness of the statement of the witness made on 4th September, 1975 when he used the expression "Even if there is any iota of truth that can be based on the first statement.....". Therefore, the finding of the Enquiry Officer that there was argument/quarrel is not based on any legal evidence more particularly when Shri Krishna Nand on whom the Enquiry Officer has placed reliance has not stated about any quarrel. The relevant deposition of Shri Krishna Nand reads as under :

"There was no manhandling in my presence. I heard Shri Chaudhary telling that I would mark the attendance and Shri Kashmari Lal was not allowing that Shri Chaudhary was insisting over marking attendance. In case of not being allowed to mark attendance, he wanted the instructions in writing by Shri Kashmari Lal that's all."

The Presenting Officer of the Management tried to extract from the witness that it was a quarrel but the witness did not commit himself that it was a quarrel and used the expression as "incident", under the circumstances, the finding of the Enquiry Officer that there had been argument/quarrel between Shri G. K. Chaudhary and Shri Kashmari Lal was not based on any legal evidence. It appears that the disciplinary authority was well aware of this lacuna in the enquiry when it decided to quash the enquiry proceedings and ordered the holding of a *denovo* enquiry. However in spite of its own opinion that there was a lacuna in the enquiry the Disciplinary Authority after signing its earlier order of holding *denovo* enquiry, proceeded to place reliance on this finding of the Enquiry Officer and indulged in warranted interpretation of the finding of the enquiry officer by holding that it is workman who had arguments and quarrel with Shri Kashmari Lal. The Enquiry Officer has nowhere held that it is the workman who had arguments/quarrel with Shri Kashmari Lal. Its finding is simply to the effect "there have been arguments/quarrel between Shri G. K. Chaudhary and Shri Kashmari Lal". This finding is non-committal and it could as well be that the arguments and quarrel were on the part of Shri Kashmari Lal

or it could have been by both the parties. To single out the workman as having been responsible for the argument/quarrel was, therefore, unwarranted and not supported by any legal evidence. Even the finding of the Enquiry Officer is based on surmises and conjectures when he observed "both the depositions made by S/ Shri Chaudhary clerk (under suspension) and Shri Krishna Nand clerk at Establishment Section reveal that Shri Chaudhary was insisting over making his attendance in Establishment Section and Shri Kashmari Lal was not allowing him to do so. In a situation like this argument/quarrel can take place". It is also pertinent to observe here that the Disciplinary Authority had to give its reasons for its disagreement with the finding of the Enquiry Officer if it was of the opinion that the finding of the Enquiry Officer was not correct but the Disciplinary Authority has not given any reasons. It may also be mentioned here that the record, rather suggests that it was Kashmari Lal who was responsible for the argument/quarrel. The facts, in short, are that on the morning of day of the incident the workman went to the Establishment Section to mark his presence and he found written on the attendance register transferred to Personnel Officer Section. In this regard Shri Kashmari Lal in his deposition on 4-9-75 affirmed that on 14-8-74 the workman came to him for marking his attendance and he advised him to go to Mr. P. B. Arora. He further admitted that he did not give any memo to Shri Chaudhary for his transfer to the Personnel Officer Section. When asked whether it was not obligatory on his part as the Officer incharge of the Section to give instructions in writing, he replied that he had not transferred him because he was not the transferring authority. When asked whether there was no administrative order passed, in regard to his transfer from his section to Shri Arora Section, Shri Kashmari Lal replied that the only sent him to Mr. Arora and it was the duty of the personnel Officer to transfer him and that he acted on the verbal advice/instructions of Shri Arora. It is, therefore, manifest that Shri Kashmari Lal made the endorsement in the attendance register transferred to the Personnel Officers Section unauthorisedly and his subsequent refusal to allow the workman to mark his attendance in the register was also without any justification. Hence if there was any incident, the fault can squarely be pinned on Shri Kashmari Lal and not on the workman. It appears that the Disciplinary Authority as well as the Appellate Authority did not apply their minds to the facts of the case and the evidence on record. The order of punishment passed against the workman is clearly perverse, as it is not based on any legal evidence and is hereby quashed. The order of punishment having been quashed the workman would be entitled to full wages for the period of suspension which itself appears to have been quite unjustified. The reference is answered in favour of the workman accordingly.

Further it is ordered that the requisite number of copies of this Award may be forwarded to the Central Government for necessary action at their end.

26th February, 1987.

G. S. KALRA, Presiding Officer,
N. K. VERMA, Desk Officer
[No. L-12012/81/80-D II (A)]

नई दिल्ली, 3 अप्रैल, 1987

का.आ. 1059—केन्द्रीय सरकार का यह समाधान हो जाने पर कि लोकहिन में गेंगा करना अपेक्षित था, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 2 के खंड (ii) के उपखंड (vi) के उपवंशों के अनुसरण में भारत राजार के धर्म मंत्रालय की अधिकृतता संभवा का, का 3570 नामीन 29 मित्तमव, 1986 द्वारा यैनियम उद्योग की उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए 20 अन्तव्य, 1986 में छह मास की कानूनावधि के लिए लोकउपयोगी मेया घोषित किया था;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार की गय है कि लोकहिन में उक्त कानूनावधि को छह मास की और कानूनावधि के लिए बढ़ाया जाना अपेक्षित है।

नग, अव, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 2 के खंड (ii) के उपखंड (vi) के परन्तुक धारा प्रदृश शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त उद्योग को

उक्त अधिनियमों के प्रयोगनों के लिए 20 अप्रैल, 1987 से छह मास की और कालावधि के लिए लोक उपयोगी सेवा चालित करती है।

[मन्त्रालय एस-11017/10/85-डी-1(ए)]
नवं लाल, अपर सचिव

New Delhi, the 3rd April, 1987

S.O. 1059.—Whereas the Central Government having been satisfied that the public interest so required had, in pursuance of the provision of sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), declared by the notification of the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour S.O. No. 3570 dated the 29th September, 1986 the Uranium Industry to be a public utility service for the purposes of the said Act, for a period of six months, from the 20th October, 1986;

And whereas, the Central Government is of opinion that public interest requires the extension of the said period by a further period of six months;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby declares the said industry to be a public utility service for the purpose of the said Act, for a further period of six months from the 20th April, 1987.

[No. S-11017/10/85-D.I(A)]
NAND LAL, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 6 अप्रैल, 1987

का. आ. 1060—श्रीधर्मिक विवाद, अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुराग में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, गन कॉर्पोरेशन, जबलपुर के प्रबंधतत्व से संबंधित नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट श्रीधर्मिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार श्रीधर्मिक अधिकारण, जबलपुर के पंचाट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 18-3-87 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

New Delhi, the 6th April, 1987

S.O. 1060.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Gun Carriage Factory, Jabalpur and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 18th March, 1987.

BEFORE SHRI V.S. YADAV, PRESIDING OFFICER,
CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL
CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR (M.P.)

Case No. CGIT/LC/R(102)/1985

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Gun Carriage Factory, Jabalpur (M.P.) and their workmen Shri Anand Ram Tiwari, H. S. Gr. II, represented through the G.C.F. Employees Union, Mah shpar, LIC Colony, Prem Nagar, Madan Mahal, Jabalpur (M.P.).

APPEARANCES:

For Union—Shri P. S. Nair, Advocate.

For Management—Shri A. K. Chauhan, Advocate.

INDUSTRY : Ordnance DISTRICT : Jabalpur (M.P.)

AWARD

Dated, March 11th, 1987

This is a reference made by the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour vide Notification No. L-13012(1)/85 D.II(B) dated 19th November, 1985 under Sec. 10(1)(d)

(2A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, for adjudication of the following dispute:—

“Whether the action of the management of Gun Carriage Factory, Jabalpur (M.P.) in imposing penalties (i) reduction of pay to the minimum of present scale with cumulative effect and (ii) reduction in Grade from Turner 'A' to Turner 'B' on Sri Anand Ram Tiwari H. S. Gr. II and also his transfer from the Factory is justified? If not, to what relief the workman concerned is entitled?”

2. Parties have filed their respective pleadings and documents. The position that emerges out from the admitted and proved documents appears to be that the G.C.F. Employees Union (hereinafter referred to as the Union) was established and registered in the year 1970. Shri A. R. Tiwari, the workman concerned, was the Vice President of the Union.

3. Shri B. K. Ghai joined as General Manager of the Gun Carriage Factory, Jabalpur (hereinafter referred to as the Factory) on or about 9th July, 1980 and somehow there developed a tussle between the active members of the Union and Shri B. K. Ghai. Union not only submitted Memorandum to the Defence Secretary but made some complaints also against Shri B. K. Ghai and resorted to hunger strike and notices of strike etc. Hand Bills, News Items against him. On the other hand, Shri B. K. Ghai in his capacity as General Manager of the Factory issued several charge-sheets against active members of the union including Shri A. R. Tiwari. The charges levelled and punishment imposed against Shri Tiwari on the question referred to this Tribunal, for adjudication are as under (See record of punishments annexed with the statement of claim of the management):—

Date of order	Offence	Penalty imposed
1. 12-6-84	Misbehaved with his senior staff	Reduction of pay to the minimum of the present scale with cumulative effect.
2. 2-7-1984	Unauthorisedly arranged an illegal assembly of workers. Shouted slogans against G.C.F. used derogatory language against him.	Penalty of Reversion from Turner 'A' to Turner 'B'.

Shri B. K. Ghai, General Manager of the Factory transferred Shri A. R. Tiwari vide Order Pt. II No. 1969 dated 2-7-84 to Ordnance Factory Trichunapalli with immediate effect.

4. The case of the workman further is that a strike ballot was taken out on 11-8-81 on behalf of the Union and 98 per cent of the workers of the Factory voted in favour of the strike. The management, therefore, came to the conclusion that their action was not proper and a negotiated settlement on 18-8-81 settling the charter of demands of the union and regarding the pending charge-sheets. General Manager stated that domestic enquiry will be prolonged and neither party will gain thereby and therefore suggested and gave personal assurance that if the workmen give letter of regret to the allegations he will not impose any punishment but will merely give an oral warning. Believing the assurance and with a view to maintain good atmosphere and good industrial relation the workman gave regret letter as suggested by the General Manager.

5. Thus the punishment imposed and enquiry held against the workman is bad in law on the following grounds amongst others:—

(a) The General Manager, Shri B. K. Ghai was not entitled to issue a charge-sheet because he himself was an aggrieved party. He was completely a biased man.

(b) The workman was not given a reasonable opportunity to defend himself.

- (c) The Inquiry Officer was under pressure of the General Manager to give the findings of guilt.
- (d) The General Manager was not entitled to impose the punishment with the allegation of shouting of slogans against him (General Manager) and stopping of his vehicle.
- (e) The findings of the Enquiry Officer is totally perverse.
- (f) The charge-sheet and punishment was imposed wholly on the trade union activities and was a colourable exercise of power.
- (g) The punishment imposed is acts of victimization, mala fides and against the principle of natural justice as well as against fundamental rights of trade union activities.
- (h) Management indulged totally in misuse of power in transferring the workman from Jabalpur to Trichinapalli which was not only arbitrary, unjust and unfair but contrary to the assurance given.

6. The management of the Factory in its reply did not refute the allegations parwise to the written statement submitted by the workman but only in its written statement stated that the action taken against Shri Anant Ram Tiwari was fully justified and he was found guilty for gross misconducts. His transfer was on administrative grounds only. Management has further contended that the transfer of Sri Tiwari was pursuant to the directive of the Chairman, Ordnance Factory Board vide Memo dated 29.6.1984.

7. Shri Anant Ram Tiwari staged a demonstration in front of the residence of Shri B.K. Ghai, General Manager, when the latter was down with a heart attack and was on medical leave. This by no stretch of imagination can be termed as legitimate trade union activity. The workman staged another demonstration during the visit of a visiting dignitary Mr. M.C. Sarin, Secretary (Defence Production). In view of the above the applicant is not entitled to any relief.

8. On the above pleadings of the parties the following issues were framed:—

1. Whether the enquiry is proper and legal?
2. If not, whether the punishments imposed on the workman are justified on facts of the case?
3. Whether the punishment awarded is because of the trade union activities of the workman or not?
4. Relief and costs?

Findings with reasons:—

9. Issues No. 1 to 4:—The enquiry papers have been relied on by the parties besides the applicant gave his own statement on affidavit and relied on certain documents filed in this case as well as in Ref. No. Case No. 111/85 (Marked Ex. W/1 to Ex. W/39).

10. The main challenge to the show cause notice and the departmental punishment is that Shri B.K. Ghai was himself in the position of complainant and a witness. Therefore he could have neither issued the charge-sheet nor could have imposed the punishment awarded by him. I have gone through the record and I find not only the defence documents Ex. W/1 to Ex. W/39 but documents of enquiry papers relied on by the management clearly go to show that this movement of the union members was directed against the General Manager, Shri B.K. Ghai, and he was at least one of the aggrieved party and personally prejudiced against the active members of the union.

11. On behalf of the management, it has been contended that active members of the Union went beyond the legitimate activities of the union and they had absolutely no right to act in the manner as they did. Therefore they made themselves liable to the punishment awarded to them for their misconduct subversive of discipline as charge-sheeted. This may be true, but the law of natural justice requires that such activities of the union members or office bearers as are subversive of discipline amounting to misconduct has to be proved by legal evidence before a person could be punished. In the instant case, except the allegations in the charge-sheets

there is nothing on record at least before this Tribunal to substantiate the allegations of the management. On the other hand, applicant workman has filed his own affidavit and he has been cross-examined by the management on it. But nothing material is brought out in his cross-examination to discredit his plea in relation to the charges levelled against him. If the management wanted to rebut the allegations of his affidavit it should have either filed the counter affidavit of Shri B.K. Ghai or at least of some responsible officer to refute or rebut the allegations but nothing has been done. I, therefore, see no reason to disbelieve the affidavit of the applicant workman (Pratap Singh Vs. State of Punjab-AIR 1964 SC 72 para 14 relied on).

12. The salient features of the affidavit of Shri Anant Ram Tiwari are that he was a Vice President of the G.C.F. Employees Union. The union made repeated representations to the management and submitted charter of demands. Since no action was taken the management was informed that the Union will go on hunger strike to press their demand. One Shri B.R.S. Trivedi, chargeman, assaulted one workman Shri Tiwari on 12-7-81. This resulted in agitation of the workers. The General Manager Shri B.K. Ghai got annoyed with the Union Office bearers and deducted the wages of the workers on 22-7-81. He also suspended Shri Rajendra Jha, General Secretary, Shri R.K. Jain, Organising Secretary and Shri R.S. Tripathi, Office Secretary. Since no action was taken on the charter of demand a strike ballot was taken on 11-8-81 and 98 per cent of the workers voted in favour of strike. Thereafter a meeting of the Union took place with the General Manager who assured to solve all the problems of the workers. In the meanwhile Shri A.R. Tiwari was also charge-sheeted. But Shri B. K. Ghai, General Manager, specifically assured the Union that he will not take any action on the disciplinary cases and suggested that formal letters expressing regret with reference to the charge-sheet be given so that he can close the cases. Believing this specific assurance given by Shri Ghai the charge-sheeted employees gave letter of regret. But contrary to the assurance the General Manager punished the employees. In the charge-sheet dated 4th Feb, 1983 it was alleged that the workman committed gross misconduct by illegally organising an assembly of workers outside the house of the General Manager. The charge-sheet was not accompanied by the statement of complainants. Non issue of these vital documents had deprived the concerned workman from giving effective reply to the above charge-sheet.

13. The workman further stated in his affidavit that proceedings in the enquiry were noted in English inspite of his objection. On various other grounds the workman stated that the enquiry was improper, illegal and unfair. He did not commit any misconduct whatsoever but only carried out the legitimate trade union activities permitted under law. He has been victimised for his trade union activities with punishment including the transfer from a Hindi State to O.F.T. Trichinapalli. Shri B.K. Ghai General Manager was personally annoyed with the petitioner and he misused his powers. The punishment imposed on the petitioner reducing the wages, reversion from Turner Gr. A to 'B' and transfer are without any necessity and justification whatsoever.

14. In the case of Gujarat Steel Tubes Ltd. Vs. Its Mazdoor Sabha (AIR 1980 CS 1896) facts were on all fours with the facts of the present case. Relevant extracts of the above case are being reproduced below:—

The Court will find out from other proceedings or documents in which it is couched is not conclusive. The court will lift the veil to see the true nature of the order.....

The Court will find out from other proceedings or documents connected with the formal order of termination what the true ground for the termination is.....

A disciplinary inquiry resulting in punishment of particular delinquents cannot but be illegal if the evidence is of mass misconduct by unspecified strikers led by leaders who are perhaps not even workmen.

The workmen were on strike. The strike was illegal. The management was hurt because production was paralysed. The strikers allegedly indulged in

objectionable activities. The exasperated management hit back by ordering their discharge for reasons set out in several pages in the appropriate contemporaneous proceeding. Misconduct after misconduct was being on the workers to justify the drastic action.

Held:—....The orders of discharge were bad on this Score alone."

15. In view of the above, it is crystal clear that the action of the General Manager, Shri B.K. Ghai, against the active members of the union amounts to victimisation and unfair labour practice in all the charge-sheets given to the workman.

16. Now I will briefly take up the statement given by the workman on affidavit regarding the charge sheets issued to the workman and punishment awarded and under what circumstances the General Manager of the Factory did so. The workman has deposed in his affidavit that the Union resorted to hunger strike in support of the charter of demand. Since no action was taken a strike ballot was taken on 11-8-81 and 98 per cent of the workers voted in favour of strike. Thereafter negotiations started on 12-8-81 and settlement took place on 18-8-81 when a meeting of the union took place with the General Manager. The General Manager assured to solve all the problems of the workers and further assured that he will not take any action on the disciplinary cases and suggested that formal letters expressing regret with reference to charge-sheet be given so that he can close the cases. Believing this specific assurance given by Shri B. K. Ghai, General Manager, the Charge-sheeted employees gave a letter of regret. But contrary to this assurance the General Manager punished the employees. As already pointed out there is no counter-affidavit to deny this allegation. Therefore this goes to show that the plea of the workman was obtained on misrepresentation and inducement. Since the letter of regret was obtained on the assurance of the General Manager that he will not take any action on the disciplinary cases it cannot be said that it was an admission of guilt. Therefore it could not have been taken as such as has been held in the case of Jagdish Prasad Saxena Vs. State of M.P. [AIR 1961 (MP) p. 1070].

17. Coming to the charges levelled* and enquiry held against the workman, I have already pointed out the charges levelled against the workman and punishment awarded to him. He was transferred from Gun Carriage Factory, Jabalpur to Ordnance Factory Tiruchinapalli.

18. I have gone through the proceedings of domestic enquiry and I find that besides the round that Shri B. K. Ghai acted not only as a prosecutor but also as a Judge and sat over the judgment in his own cause. I find that the proceedings of domestic enquiry go to show that the Enquiry Officer himself was prejudiced and was acting under the guidance of his superior i.e. the General Manager, Shri B. K. Ghai. In this regard proceeding dated 2-5-1984 (at page 76) and proceeding dated 16-1-84 (at page 113) go to show that the Enquiry Officer had asked the Disciplinary Authority i.e. the General Manager whether the documents demanded by the workman are to be furnished to him or not and in fact these documents were never given to him to prepare his case for the cross-examination of the prosecution witnesses or to lead his defence. The workman had also asked for the hand written statements of the prosecution witnesses Shri G. L. Jharia and R. S. Chauhan number of times during the course of enquiry (See page 100 as an illustration). These documents were denied to the workman though they were very material documents because these witnesses had stated that they had given their statements in writing while their statements furnished on record were typed ones. This does not amounts only to denial of reasonable opportunity to the workman to defend himself but also renders the case against him of suspicious nature. In fact, none of the documents or statements of witnesses relied on were furnished to the workman before commencing the evidence against him. This also caused great prejudice to the workman in his defence. The reason given for disallowing documents and statements of witnesses are not sound one and the reasons given do not only go to show that the Enquiry Officer was not impartial but also unfair to the workman. I have gone through the list of the documents and list of defence witnesses required by the workman and I find that at least some of the documents and witnesses were very material and they should not have been disallowed. It is not for the Enquiry Officer to decide arbitrary that the documents and witnesses

are unnecessary. He should have furnished at least those documents and allowed those witnesses which are not being called for vexatiously or in order to delay the proceedings (See page 72 and 102 of the enquiry proceedings). No wonder representative of the workman told the Enquiry Officer that he is neither fair nor impartial (See p. 112).

19. During the examination of witnesses I. C. Kamal and G. L. Jharia the Presenting Officer was allowed leading questions inspite of objection suggesting the name of the workman Shri Tiwari (Please see page 129 and 146 of enquiry proceedings). After the cross-examination of Shri Jharia he was allowed to be re-examined by the Presenting Officer inspite of the objection of the representative of the workman. That too allowing him to suggest the name of the workman while he had not named him earlier (Please see page 80 and 140).

20. As to the merit of the witnesses' statements, I find that from the statement of Shri R. S. Chauhan it appears that he was tutored and was allowed to read his statement before his examination as it is crystal clear from the following statement at page 134:—

"2-12-82 KO MAINE APNA SATEMENT NAHINE
PARHA ISS KARAN TIME MAIN BHOOH HO
SAKTI HAI PAHLI BAR JAB MAIN ENQUIRY
KE LIYE AAYA THA TO MUJHE TYPED KIYA
HUA STATEMENT PARHNE KO DIYA GAYA
THA."

He further stated that it was given by the Presenting Officer.

21. Ultimately I find that the workman was not given proper and reasonable opportunity to defend himself. He was also not given proper opportunity to make the services of his representative available to him during the enquiry. The workman at time and again requested that his representative be informed so that he can come to attend the proceeding but instead of doing this he was, it appears transferred to certain place and ultimately the workman could not give his evidence in his defence and thus he was denied the reasonable opportunity to defend himself.

22. From all this conduct of the Enquiry Officer and the Disciplinary authority it appears that they were highly prejudiced and went out of the way to victimise the workman.

23. The workman has pleaded that Shri B.K. Ghai, General Manager being the complainant should not have acted as the disciplinary authority. For such a contingency the Government framed instructions in C.C.S. (C.C.A.) Rules, 1965 p. 60 item no. 3(ii) which reads as under:—

- (i) When Presidents' power for nominating an adhoc disciplinary authority to be invoked (i).....
- (ii) When the competent authority is unable to function as the disciplinary authority—In a case where the prescribed appointing or disciplinary authority is unable to function as the disciplinary authority in respect of an official, on account of his being personally concerned with the charges or being a material witness in support of the charges, the proper course for that authority is to refer such a case to Government in the normal manner for nomination of an adhoc disciplinary authority by a Presidential Order under the provisions of Rule 12(2) of C.C.S. (C.C.A.) Rules, 1965."

Under this Rule Shri B.K. Ghai should have referred the matter to the Government for appointment of an adhoc disciplinary authority to conduct just, legal and impartial domestic enquiry against the workman but instead of doing so he himself became the prosecutor and judge at the same time. It is now well settled that no person could be a judge in his own cause and no witness could testify that his own testimony is true as has been held in the case of 1980-II-LJ p. 270 P.J. Warkari Vs. K.V. Karamjkar; 1986 SLR(i) 558 S. Tiwari Vs. State of M.P. This is what has been done by Shri B. K. Ghai. Therefore the entire proceedings are vitiated being contrary to law.

24. As for the punishment of transfer to Tiruchinapalli, in a similar case of this very Gun Carriage Factory, Jabalpur, one M. Hussain was transferred by the management from Gun Carriage Factory, Jabalpur to metal and Steel Factory, Ishopara. In that case of M. Hussain Vs. Union of India and others in Misc. Petition No. 879/84 his Lordship Hon'ble

Justice Shri Gulab Gupta while quashing the transfer order held as under:—

"It is common ground that the matter in so far as disciplinary action is concerned, is covered by the provisions of Central Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules. Rule 11 of these rules provides for imposition of penalty on a government servant for good and sufficient reasons. These penalties do not include transfer as a punishment. Under the circumstances, imposing penalty of transfer would not be in accordance with these rules and would be beyond the jurisdiction of the Disciplinary Authority. Since the impugned transfer order is the direct outcome of the Disciplinary proceedings and is founded upon the same, the same must be held illegal and outside the scope of the respondents."

In para 6 of the same it has been further held—

"The Courts have been reluctant to sit in appeal over the exigencies of business and find out whether they demanded the transfer or not. It is generally conceded that the management is in the best position to decide these questions. Inspite of it, the law courts have been interfering with transfer if the same was arbitrary or mala fide. In *Prakash Chandra Vs. State of M.P.* (1980-MPLJ 251) this court had the occasion to consider a malafide transfer." (Cases cited-1981 MPLJ 9; 1983 MPLJ 527 and 1966-ILLJ 440).

25. Applying the above law to the facts and circumstances of the present case I am of the opinion that the order of transfer of the workman was also not only illegal but was arbitrary, an act of victimisation and unfair labour practice. Therefore it was not justified and is quashed.

26. In the instant case management in their written statement has not sought opportunity to prove misconduct before this Tribunal. Therefore they are not entitled to the same.

27. Consequently I hold that the action of the management of Gun Carriage Factory, Jabalpur (M.P.) in imposing penalties (i) reduction of pay to the minimum of present scale with cumulative effect and (ii) reduction in Grade from Turner 'A' to Turner 'B' on Sri Anand Ram Tiwari, H.S. Gr. II and also his transfer from the Factory is not justified and the above orders passed by the management against the workman are quashed. The workman be restored to his original post and pay at Jabalpur. Management will pay all back wages, continuity of service and all other benefits including promotions etc., if due, within three months from the date of this award. No order as to costs.

V. S. YADAV, Presiding Officer.
[No. L-13012/1/85-D, II(B)]
HARI SINGH, Desk Officer

नई दिल्ली, 8 अप्रैल, 1987

का आ. 1061—श्रीशोगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसार में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय कोलिंग मोहुदा थेव नं. 2, मीमन भारत योर्किंग कॉल लिमिटेड के प्रबन्धसंस्थ के मध्य नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में नियोजित श्रीशोगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार श्रीशोगिक अधिकरण, संलग्न-1 वनवाद के पंचांग को प्रकाशित करनी है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 25 मार्च, 1987 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

New Delhi, the 8th April, 1987

S.O. 1061—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 1, Dhanbad as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Management of Bhatdih Colliery of Mohuda Area No. II of M/s. Bharat Coking Coal Ltd. and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 25th March, 1987.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 1, DHANBAD

In the matter of a reference under section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Reference No. 26 of 1982

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of Bhatdih Colliery of Mohuda Area No. II of M/s. Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Bilbera House, P.O. Sonardih, Dist. Dhanbad.

VS.

Their Workmen

PRESENT :

Shri S. K. Mitra, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES :

For the Employers : Shri B. Joshi, Advocate.

For the Workmen : Shri Manhodh Mahato, Zonal Secretary, B.C.K.U. Baghmara Zone.

STATE : Bihar

INDUSTRY : Coal
Dhanbad, dated the 19th March, 1987

AWARD

The present reference arises out of Order No. L-20012 (281)81-D.III(A) dated the 18th March, 1982 passed by the Central Government in respect of an industrial dispute between the parties mentioned above. The subject matter of the dispute has been specified in the schedule to the said order and the said schedule runs as follows :

"Whether the demand of the workmen of Bhatdih Colliery of Mouda Area No. II of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Bilbera House, Post Office Sonardih, District Dhanbad for employment of Sarvashri Ch. Ismail Mian, Chutan Mahto, Manik Chatterjee, Jand Mahto, Rahini Mahto, Nagendra Mahto, Ram Swaroop Mondal, Chakradhari Mahto, Kaiyum Mian, Bhola Teli and Mohan Sain as regular workers of the Colliery is justified. If so, to what relief are the workmen concerned entitled and from what date?"

2. The dispute has been settled out of Court. A memorandum of settlement has been filed in Court. I have gone through the terms of settlement and find them quite fair and reasonable. There is no reason why an award should not be made on the terms and conditions laid down in the memorandum of settlement. I accept it and make an award accordingly. The memorandum of settlement shall form part of the award.

3. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Ministry as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

S. K. MITRA, Presiding Officer.
[No. L-20012/281/81-D.III(A)]

MEMORANDUM OF SETTLEMENT IN FORM 'H'
UNDER RULE 58(4) OF THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES
(CENTRAL) RULES, 1957 ARRIVED AT BETWEEN
THE MANAGEMENT OF MOHUDA AREA, BCCL
PO. MOHUDA, DHANBAD AND THEIR WORKMEN
REPRESENTED BY BIHAR COILLERY KAMGAR
UNION

Management Representative Union Representative

1. Mr. Mukherjee, 1. Sri Manhodh Mahato
A.M.(Tech.), Zonal Secretary, BCKA
BCCL, Mohuda Area, Baghmara Zone.

2. D. B. Singh,
Personnel Manager,
BCCL, Mohuda Area.

3. Sri S. Ram,
Dy. Personnel Manager (Estab)
BCCL, Mohuda Area.

Union Representative
1. Sri Manbodh Mahato,
Zonal Secretary, BCKU,
Baghmara Zone.

Short recital of the case

The tribunal Case No. 26/82 in respect of Ch. Ismail Mian and 10 others of Bhatdee Colliery represented their case through Shri Manbodh Mahato, Zonal Secretary, BCKU, Baghmara Zone on behalf of BCKU for their employment. The case was discussed at a length between the parties and as per approval of the H.QTRS the union is agreeable to drop the case after settlement. Both the parties have agreed to settle the case mutually on the following terms and conditions :

Terms of the settlement

1. That S/Sri Ch. Ismail Mian, Chutan Mahato, Manik Chatterjee, Janu Mahato, Rohini Mahato, Nagendra Mahato, Ram Swaroop Mondal, Chakradhari Mahato, Kayum Main, Bhola Teli and Mohan Sain, will be taken in employment as miner/loader. Their employment will be done subject to verification of the identification Physical fitness etc.

2. That their identification should also be certified by the contractors and the Union Representative who have sponsored the case.

3. That all the above persons concerned will produce their photo duly attested by S.D.O. and their address, names etc. will also be certified by the representing unions. After verification of their genuineness they will appear in loading test and if they will be found fit they will have to appear for the physical fitness by Area Medical Board. In case, if any one will be found medically unfit they shall not be taken in employment.

4. That during the period of the employment or at any time hereinafter, it is found that they have given wrong information with regard to their age, father's name, address or to have impersonation they will be dismissed from the service without any notice and shall also be liable for legal action as may be advised.

5. That the workman concerned shall report for duty within a week from the date they are found medically fit.

6. That the workmen concerned will be posted at any of the collieries of Mohuda Area or any other colliery of other areas under BCCL, where there exists vacancy of Miner/Loader.

7. That this settlement resolves all the disputes between parties and the workman concerned and they will not have any claim what-so-ever.

8. That a copy of the settlement duly signed by both the parties will be submitted before the Hon'ble Tribunal.

9. During the probation, their services will be terminated without any notice or probation period may be extended.

Representing Management

(Mr. Mukherjee)
AM(Tech.), BCCL,
Mohuda Area,
(D. S. Singh)
Personnel Manager,
BCCL, Mohuda Area.
(S. Ram)
Dy. Personnel Manager,
BCCL, Mohuda Area,

Representing Union
(Manbodh Mahato)
Zonal Secretary,
Baghmara Zone,
B.C.K.U.

Witness : Mathur Roy, President, BCKU, Bhatdee Colliery Working in BCCL as Haulage Khalsi at Bhatdee Jageshwar Gope, President, BCKU, Bhurungiya Project working as S/Board Attendant, at Bhurungiya Project.

Dated : 15th July, 1986.

का. अ. 1062—आर्थिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 11) की धारा 17 के अनुमति में, केंद्रीय सरकार, नीमें भारत कांकिंग कॉल लिमिटेड, डाकघर योग्यता नगर के प्रबंधतत्र के सम्बन्ध नियोजकों और उसके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुमति में निश्चिट आर्थिक विवाद में केंद्रीय गरकार आर्थिक अधिकरण, मुख्य धनबाद के विट को प्रकाशित करनी है जो केंद्रीय गरकार की 25 मार्च 1987 की प्राप्ति हुआ था।

S.O. 1062.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), Central Government hereby published the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 1, Dhanbad as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Management of M/s. Bharat Coking Coal Ltd., P.O. Koyala Nagar and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 25th March, 1987.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL No. 1, DHANBAD.

In the matter of a reference under section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Reference No. 55 of 1982.

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, P.O. Koyala Nagar, Distr. Dhanbad.

AND

Their Workmen

PRESENT :

Shri S. K. Mitra,
Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES :

For the Employers : Shri B. N. Prasad,
General Manager (Personnel), &
Shri U. Mishra,
Senior Law Officer

For the Workmen : Shri G. D. Pandey,
Lt. General Secretary, R.C.M.S.
and Shri K. D. Singh,
concerned workman.

STATE : Bihar

INDUSTRY : Coal
Dhanbad, dated the 18th March, 1987

AWARD

The present reference arises out of Order No. L-20012 (10)82-D.III(A) dated, the 11th/13th May, 1982 passed by the Central Government in respect of an industrial dispute between the parties mentioned above. The subject matter of the dispute has been specified in the schedule to the said order and the said schedule runs as follows :—

“Whether the action of the management of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Post Office Koyala Nagar, District Dhanbad in not placing Shri K. D. Singh in Technical Grade-A with continuity of service from the date his colleagues have been

given such benefit is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled?"

2. The dispute has been settled out of Court. A memorandum of settlement has been filed in Court. I have gone through the terms of settlement and find them quite fair and reasonable. There is no reason why an award should not be made on the terms and conditions laid down in the memorandum of settlement. I accept it and make an award accordingly. The memorandum of settlement shall form part of the award.

3. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Ministry as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Dated : 18-3-87

S. K. MITRA, Presiding Officer
[No. L-20012(10)/82-D.III(A)]
P. V. SREEDHARAN, Desk Officer

MEMORANDUM OF SETTLEMENT ARRIVED AT
BETWEEN THE MANAGEMENT OF BCCL AND THE
WORKMAN NAMELY SHRI K. D. SINGH OF TOWN
ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT, KOYLA BHAWAN,
REPRESENTED BY R.C.M.

Management

1. Shri B. N. Prasad,
General Manager (Personnel)
2. Shri U. Mishra,
Sr. Law Officer.

Union/Workman

1. Shri G. D. Pandey,
Jt. Gen. Secretary,
R.C.M.S.
2. Shri K. D. Singh,
Workman Concerned.

Short Recital of the Case

The Secretary, RCMS, raised an Industrial Dispute for regularisation/placement of Sri K. D. Singh, General Supervisor, Town Administration Department in Tech. Gr. 'A' right from the date he was appointed by his erstwhile owner i.e. w.e.f. 7-11-62. The conciliation having been failed and the matter was referred to for adjudication before the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 1, Dhanbad as Ref. No. 55/82 with the following terms of reference:—

The Schedule

"Whether the action of the management of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Post Office Koyla Nagar District Dhanbad in not placing Shri K.D. Singh in Tech. Gr. 'A' with continuity of service from the date his colleagues have been given such benefit is justified. If not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled?"

While the matter was pending before the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 1, Dhanbad the Union/worker approach for its amicable settlement. The matter having been discussed on several occasions and ultimately considering the nature of job performed by the concerned workman as also with a view to maintain industrial peace and harmony it was decided to settle the dispute on the following terms and conditions:—

1. It has been agreed to provide Tech. & Supervisory Gr. A to the workman concerned from the date of the signing of the present settlement and he will be entitled to get the wages of Tech. Supervisory Gr. A from the said date only.
2. It is agreed that he will be given continuity of service from the date he joined C.R.O. i.e. 7-11-1962 for the purpose of gratuity only.

3. That since the matter has been settled amicably the question of payment of difference of wages, arrears whatsoever for the period from 1962 to till the date of settlement does not arise.

4. The parties are agreed to jointly file the copy of the settlement before the Presiding Officer, Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 1, Dhanbad requesting for holding the terms of reference as fair and proper and passed the award in terms of the settlement.

5. That the settlement will be registered under Section 58(4) of the I.D. (Central) Rules, 1957.

Management

1. Sd/-Illegible.
2. Sd/-Illegible.

Witness

1. Sd/-Illegible.
2. Sd/-Illegible.

Union/Workman

1. Sd/-Illegible.
2. Sd/-Illegible.

नई दिल्ली, 8 अप्रैल, 1987

का. आ. 1063—श्रीयोगिक विवाद विधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय मरकार, सिंगरेनी कॉलियरीज कम्पनी लिमिटेड, रामगुण्डम डिवीजन IV के प्रबंधताल से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुंध में निर्दिष्ट श्रीयोगिक विवाद में श्रीयोगिक अधिकरण, हैदराबाद के पांचाट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार की 20-3-87 की प्राप्त हुआ था।

New Delhi, the 8th April, 1987

S.O. 1063.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Industrial Tribunal, Hyderabad as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Singareni Collieries Company Limited, Ramagundam Division-IV and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 20-3-1987.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (CENTRAL) AT HYDERABAD

PRESENT :

Industrial Dispute No. 17 of 1985

BETWEEN

The Workmen of Singareni Collieries Company Limited, Ramagundam Division IV, P. O. Godavari, Karimnagar Dist.,

AND

The Management of Singareni Collieries Company Limited, Ramagundam Division IV, P. O. Godavari, Karimnagar Dist.,

APPEARANCES :

Sarvashri D. Reddappa Reddy and N. Mohan Rao, Advocates—for the Workmen.

Saryasri K. Srinivasa Murthy, H. K. Saigal and Miss. G. Sudha, Advocates—for the Management.

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour by its Order No. L-22012/62/84-D.III(B) dated 20-3-1985 referred the following dispute under Section 10(1)(d) and (2A) of

the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 between the employers in relation to the Management of Singareni Collieries Company Limited, Ramagundam Division-IV and their workmen to this Tribunal for adjudication :

"Whether having regard to the past record of service of Shri Madasi Rajaiah, Coal Cutter, GDK 8 Incline and the alleged offence, the penalty of dismissal from service with effect from 16-1-1984 imposed on him by the management of Singareni Collieries Company Limited, Ramagundam Division IV is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled?"

This reference was registered as Industrial Dispute No. 1/ of 1985 and notices were issued to the parties.

2. The claims statement filed stating that Madasi Rajaiah, Coal Cutter was dismissed from service with effect from 16-1-1984 and that the same was contrary to the well established procedure and that his explanation denying the charges were not considered and that the petitioner was pitted against a legally trained Management officers and the enquiry officer and he was not provided with any assistance of a co-worker. According to him the Enquiry Officer imposed his personal knowledge to strengthen the charges. There is no valid evidence that the petitioner assaulted the mining Sirdar on 5-2-1983 and there is no medical evidence that the Mining Sirdar sustained any injury on account of the alleged assault. It is said that the said order of removal is illegal, arbitrary and capricious. It is also mentioned that the Petitioner was not given opportunity to show cause notice against the punishment imposed and the order of dismissal is bad in as much as it relied on the past conduct of the petitioner without affording an opportunity to explain against the same. It is further mentioned that the punishment imposed is shockingly disproportionate to the misconduct and the punishment smacks of victimisation and unfair labour practice.

3. The Management filed a counter denying all the allegation mentioned by the Petitioner. It is denied that the workman was working as per the instructions of Sri K. Rajeshwar Rao, Shot Firer. According to the Management Sri K. Ch. Narasaiah in normal routine went to the district where the workman was working for information at 12.00 A.M. to supervise the work and found that this workman was working contrary to the instructions already given in the beginning of the shift and drilled 28 holes instead of 10 or 12 holes, and that it is the duty of the Coal Cutter to drill as per the instructions of the Mining Sirdar at the beginning of the shift and it is the duty of the Shot Firer to blast the holes with explosives which enable the coal to be removed from the Mine. After inspection the Mining Sirdar found that the Coal Cutters already drilled 28 holes instead of 10 to 12 holes. According to him the Mining Sirdar found that if all the 28 holes were drilled and blasted, it will widen the gallery beyond the statutory provisions and therefore he instructed the Coal cutters not to drill any more holes in that face in the light of safety of the Mine and he instructed the Shot Firer Sri K. Rajeshwar Rao to see the Coal cutters stopped further drilling of the holes.

4. While so, instead of stopping the work Sri Rajaiah caught hold of the hand of Mining Sirdar Sri K. Ch. Narasaiah and forcibly pushed him away which resulted in Mining Sirdar falling down and getting hurt and injured. All the workmen working in the said face were witnesses to the said incident. On the basis of the report given by the Mining Sirdar a charge sheet was issued to the workmen by the Respondent on 6-2-1983. It is incorrect to alleged that they have completed only 12 holes on that day. The workman actually drilled 28 holes on the face. The Petitioner concocted all the allegations to cover up the mistake made by the Coal cutters insubordinate and disorderly behaviour of the workmen. The act of the workmen comes under the provisions of the Standing Order 16(1) and 16(5). The Management appointed Enquiry Officer having been not satisfied with the explanation and the workmen did not choose to cross examine the witnesses. But he duly signed the enquiry proceedings. So the validity of the domestic enquiry may be decided as a preliminary issue. It is also incorrect to say that the Enquiry Officer imposed personal knowledge to strengthened the charges.

The disorderly behaviour of the workman and defence taken by the workman were also taken into consideration while dismissing him.

5. In the instant case to decide whether the domestic enquiry is held properly or not the Management examined two witnesses as M.W1 and M.W2 and marked Exs. M1 to M6 while the workman examined himself as W.W.1 and marked Ex. W1.

6. After considering the material placed before me in this Tribunal a preliminary order was passed on 8-12-1986 holding that the domestic enquiry is held properly observing the principles of natural justice.

7. Even before the domestic enquiry was decided after the evidence is recorded, the Management filed a Memo in M.P. No. 375/86 on 1-10-1986 and the same was rejected vide separate orders and the order of preliminary point was pronounced on 8-12-1986. Thereafterwards the Management was not present and the matter was adjourned to 23-12-1986 and 30-1-1987 and the argument of the workmen counsel Sri N. Mohan Rao was heard and as the Management did not come forward with any specific arguments even by 31-1-1987 it was treated as closed and reserved for award.

8. The only point is whether the punishment imposed is disproportionate to the gravity of the misconduct. The charge is that the workman caught hold of the hand of the Mining Sirdar Sri K. Ch. Narasaiah and forcibly pushed him away which resulted the Mining Sirdar falling down getting hurt and injured and the allegation that he actually drilled 28 holes when he was asked to stopped after drilling 12 holes on that day. Ofcourse it is the duty of the Shot Firer to blast the holes with explosives and it is the duty of the Mining Sirdar to give instructions regarding the duties of the Coal Cutter to drill. Whatever it is there is no medical certificate produced regarding the injury sustained to Mining Sirdar. The explanation given by the workman was that while he was trying to complete the work within the stipulated time as per the instructions of Shot Firers, he took drilling rod and in that process the Mining Sirdar slipped and fell down on the coal piece. So for this incident, even in the domestic enquiry when the workman did not cross examine the witnesses for the Management and the cross examination is said to be done by the Management witnesses for the misconduct under Standing Order 16(1) and 16(5) for pushing the Mining Sirdar which resulted in his falling down and getting hurt and injured, the Management cannot take the past conduct if any, and attribute all the irrelevant material for dismissing the workman. Under Section 11-A of the I.D. Act it must be borne in mind while deciding negligence of duties in deciding what is reasonable care, "the standard of men and not those of angels" have to be applied. Under Section 16(1) wilful insubordination or disobedience is nodoubt misconduct when the Shot Firer is there instead of drilling 10 or 12 holes as he alleged to have been ordered if he drilled 28 holes, then the Shot Firer is equally responsible. If it is going to affect the Mines safety and the Shot Firer cannot be simply an eye witness for such an incident. Under Clause 16(5) of the Standing Orders of the Company there is no proof of drunkenness of fighting but it is said that he behaved in disorderly and indecent manner. For such a case of incident when he was pushed when he was asked to stop drilling this has to be construed as misconduct for imposing punishment of dismissal, the same amounts clearly a case where the order of dismissal was not justified. Prima facie such incidents in a given situation must be given human consideration and sympathy also. The awarding of maximum punishment of dismissal is highly and shockingly disproportionate and Section 11-A is really attracted and it did not require maximum punishment of dismissal in the circumstances of this case. It is a fit case where stoppage of one increment is proper and the petitioner-workman should be reinstated with half of the back wages from the date of dismissal.

9. Hence I hold that having regard to the past record of service of Sri Madasi Rajaiah Coal Cutter, GDK 8 Incline and the alleged offence, the penalty of dismissal from service with effect from 16-1-1984 imposed on him by the management of Singareni Collieries Company Limited, Ramagundam Division IV is not justified and the

workman is entitled to be reinstated with half the back wages from the date of dismissal with stoppage of one increment.

Award is passed accordingly.

Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed by him, corrected by me and given under my hand and the seal of this Tribunal, this the 18th day of February, 1987.

Industrial Tribunal

Appendix of Evidence

Witnesses Examined for the Management.

M.W1 E. V. Purushotham.

M.W2 S. R. Rughwani

Witnesses Examined for the Workmen.

W.W1 M. Rajaiah

Documents marked for the Management:

Ex. M1 : Charge sheet dated 6-2-83 issued to Madasi Rajaiah, Coal Caster by the Colliery Manager 8 Incline. —By consent.

Ex. M2 : Explanation dated 8-2-83 given by Madasi Rajaiah to the Superintendent of Mines No. 8 Incline, Godavari Khani. —By consent.

Ex. M3 : Complaint given by K. Ch. Narsaiah, Mining Sirdar, GDK 8 Incline to the S.O.M GDK No. 8 Incline, against Madasi Rajaiah. —By consent.

Ex. M4 : Letters of intimation of fixing of dates of enquiry as 6-3-83 at 3.30 P.M. and 27-3-83 at 9.45 A.M. —By consent.

Ex. M5 : Enquiry Proceedings. —By consent.

Ex. M6 : Enquiry report. Document's marked for the Workmen. —By consent.

Ex. W1 : Dismissal Letter dated 13-1-84 issued to Madasi Rajaiah by the Additional Chief Mining Engineer, Ramagundam Division-IV, S.C.C. Ltd.

J. VENUGOPALA RAO, Industrial Tribunal
[No. L-22012/62/84-D.III(B)]

Dated : 24-2-87.

तर्फः शिल्पी, 9 अप्रैल, 1987

का. अ. 1064.—श्रीधरांगक विश्वाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) का धारा 17 के अनुसार में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, इन्द्रजाल रेसोर्स एवं आयन सार्विक प्रांक मैमर्जन मिनरल्स प्राइवेट लि. एंटर्प्राइज लियोनजार, जिल कियोलाहा (उडीसा) के प्रधानत्व से भव्यतक नियंत्रज्ञों श्रीर. जनकी कर्मचारी के अंतर्व, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट श्रीधरांगक विश्वाद में श्रीधरांगक अधिकारण, मुद्रणेश्वर के पकाट की प्रक्रिया करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 24-3-87 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

New Delhi, the 9th April, 1987

S.O. 1064.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Industrial Tribunal, Bhubaneswar, as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Inganijharan Mn. & Iron Mines of M/s Bhanjo Minerals (P) Ltd., At/P.O. Inganijharan, Distt. Keonjhar (Orissa) and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 24th March, 1987.

INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, ORISSA, BHUBANESWAR
Industrial Dispute Case No. 8 of 1986 (Central)

Dated : Bhubaneswar, the 7th March, 1987

BETWEEN

Management of Inganijharan Mn. & Iron Mines of M/s. Bhanjo Minerals (P) Limited, At/P.O. Inganijharan, District-Keonjhar, (Orissa).

.....First Party.

AND

Workmen represented through the General Secretary, North Orissa Workers' Union, Orampada, P.O. Rourkela-12, Distt. Sundergarh (Orissa).

.....Second Party.

APPEARANCES:

None For the First Party-Management.

Shri B. S. Pati, General Secretary
For the Second Party-Workmen.

AWARD

1. This is a reference under section 10(1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 made by the Central Government for adjudication of the following dispute vide Order No. I-26011/14/85-D.III(B) dated 12th August, 1986:

"Whether the action of the Management of Inganijharan Mn. & Iron Mines of M/s Bhanjo Mineral (P) Limited At/P.O. Inganijharan, Via-Joda, Distt. Keonjhar in removing the following workmen with effect from 1-2-1985 is justified? If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?"

1. Smt. Surubali Naik,
2. Smt. Kemali Naik,
3. Smt. n-tiofeSRDLU
4. Smt. Tara Naik,
5. Smt. Ulati Naik,
6. Smt. Jaina Naik,
7. Shreekar Naik,
8. Sri Dheneswar Oram,
9. Bhaban Naik,
10. Sri Panchu Naik,
11. Kudre Oram,
12. Smt. Sabatri Naik,
13. Smt. Jarti Appat,
14. Sri Laxmidhar Naik,
15. Smt. Gahami Naik and
16. Smt. Iani Naik.

2. The case of the workmen is that they were permanent workmen of the Management. On 30-1-85 on the weekly payment day, Mr. Sehgal, representative of the First Party called the second party workmen to the office during the afternoon before the disbursement of weekly payment and informed them that the arrear dues, bonus, festival wages and leave wages etc. will be paid to them and asked them to give their thumb impression on papers and took thumb impressions on about nine papers. Thereafter the workmen were paid their dues and left for their home. After the weekly off-day, the workmen came to their duties but the Mines Manager and the Mata both of them did not allow the workmen to perform their duties and told them that since they had resigned from their services there was no work for them. The workmen reported to the Officer-in-charge, Jeda P.S. that the Management had taken their thumb impression on 9 papers and illegally removed them from service. The Officer-in-charge advised them to report this matter to the Labour Enforcement Officer, Barbil. The workmen reported this matter to the Labour Enforcement Officer, Barbil and the Labour Enforcement Officer fixed the date on 11-2-85 for a joint enquiry into the matter. On that date he recorded some statements and thereafter advised the representative of the management to allow the workmen to join in their duties on 16-2-85. On 16-2-85 the workmen reported for duty but were told that their matter was under consideration. The matter was again recorded to the Labour Enforcement Officer, who informed the workman that he would submit his report to the Asstt. Labour Commissioner and directed the workman to raise the dispute before the Asstt. Labour Commissioner. The Asstt. Labour Commissioner issued notices for conciliation. But the management did not attend and the conciliation proceeding ended in failure. The action of the management in removing the workers from their services is with a malafide intention. They have claimed for reinstatement with full back wages.

3. The management not having taken any steps on the date of first hearing has been not ex parte.

4. During the ex parte hearing one of the workmen and the Labour Enforcement Officer have been examined. It appears from the statement of the workman Panchu Nayak that he alongwith other workmen mentioned in the reference were daily rated workers and had worked for a period of six years. It also appears from his evidence that the Officers of the management had obtained nine L.T.I.s on different papers on the weekly pay day. He further states that after availing of weekly off-day all of them reported for duty but they were told that they have no work for the management and their services were not required. The evidence of the Labour Enforcement Officer discloses that on 30-1-85 the workmen mentioned in the order of reference had complained before him that the management had obtained 9 L.T.I.s from each of them on different papers. They also complained before him that they have been refused employment. His further evidence is that he enquired into the matter and found that they had been removed from service after obtaining L.T.I.s on papers containing voluntarily resignation. He has further added that he called both the parties and the management agreed before him that they would reinstate all the workmen. His evidence finds corroboration from his report marked Ext. 1. The report further discloses that when the workers reported for duty on 16-2-85 they were again refused employment and this officer again called the agent on 24-2-85 for discussion. But he flatly denied to take back the workers. From Ext. 2 it appears that this officer had advised the General Secretary of the Union of which the workmen are the members to raise an industrial dispute. On the evidence discussed above it is clear that the management has illegally refused employment to the workmen. I would therefore hold that the action of the management in removing the workmen mentioned in the order of reference is not justified. They are entitled to reinstatement with full back wages from the date of their demand.

5. An award is accordingly passed

Dt. 18-3-87.

Transcribed to my dictation and corrected by me.

R. N. PANDA, Presiding Officer
(No. I-26011/14/85-D. III(B))

का आ 1065.—श्रीवैद्यक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसार में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, इन्डोनीशिया, मैग्नीज और आयरन माइन्स और एसेम मनजेसिरलम प्राइवेट लिए एफट आर्किस इन्डोनीशियम, बाया जोडा, जला, किर्याहर (उडीसा) के प्रबंधन में सम्बद्ध नियोजकों द्वारा उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निरिट श्रीवैद्यक विवाद में श्रीवैद्यक भाकरण और वेतव्य के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 24-3-87 की प्राप्त हुआ था।

S.O. 1065.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Industrial Tribunal, Bhubaneswar, as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Inganjharam Mn. & Iron Mines of M/s. Bhajno Minerals (P) Ltd, At P.O. Inganjharam, via Joda, Distt. Keonjhar (Orissa) and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 24th March, 1987.

ANNEXURE

INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, ORISSA, BHUBANESWAR
Industrial Dispute, case No. 9 of 1986(C)

Dated : Bhubaneswar, the 7th March, 1987

BETWEEN :

Management of Inganjharam Mn & Iron Mines of M/s. Bhajno Minerals (P) Ltd., At P.O. Inganjharam, via Joda, Distt. Keonjhar (Orissa).

—First Party.

AND

Workmen,

Represented through the General Secretary,
North Orissa Workers Union, Orampade,
P.O. Rourkela-12, Distt. Sundergarh (Orissa).
..... Second Party.

APPEARANCES :

None..... For the First Party—Management.
Sri B. S. Paji, General Secretary, For the Second Party—Workmen.

AWARD

1. This is a reference under section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 made by the Central Government for adjudication of the following dispute vide Order No. I-26011/13/85-D.U.I(B) dated 13th August, 1986;

“Whether the action of the management of Inganjharam Mn. & Iron Mines of M/s. Bhajno Minerals (P) Ltd., At P.O. Inganjharam, Via-Joda, Dist. Keonjhar in removing the following workmen with effect from 25-2-1985 is justified ? If not, what relief are the workmen entitled to ?

1. Shri Govinda Punda,
2. Shri Chakra Munda,
3. Shri Piru Munda,
4. Shri Renso Munda,
5. Shri Sabeyar Singh Munda,
6. Shri Ratna Munda,
7. Shri Lado Munda,
8. Shri Kusa Munda,
9. Shri Jairam Munda,
10. Smt. Sukrumani Munda,
11. Smt. Jatri Munda,
12. Smt. Janeni Munda,
13. Smt. Surjo Munda,
14. Smt. Sundra Munda and
15. Smt. Subaso Munda.”

2. The case of the workmen is that they were in employment as Mazdoors under the Management. The Manager of the First Party removed them with effect from 25-2-85. When they wanted the reason of removal, the Manager informed them that since they had complained before the Labour Enforcement Officer they did not want to keep them in service. The workmen reported this matter to Mr. Sehgal and he after hearing the workmen informed them that he would refer the matter to the Head Office at Keonjhar. He also asked them to wait for some time. After waiting for two months the workmen reported the matter to the General Secretary of their Union for taking necessary action. The General Secretary referred the matter to the agent of the First Party—Management. But no action was taken. He then referred the matter to the Asstt. Labour Commissioner, Rourkela. The Asstt. Labour Commissioner, Rourkela on receipt of the demand from the Union issued notices to the parties for discussion. But the First Party—Management did not attend the conciliation proceeding. It is further stated that the workmen had worked under the Management for more than five years. The action of the Management in removing the workmen from service is illegal and they are entitled to reinstatement with full back wages.

3. The Management not having taken any steps on the date of hearing has been set ex parte.

4. The workmen have examined the Labour Enforcement Officer and one of them as witnesses in this case. It appears from the evidence of the workmen Gobinda Munda that he alongwith other workmen mentioned in the order of reference were working as Miners under the Management. They had complained before the Labour Enforcement Officer that the Management was paying less wages. The Man-

agement removed all of them from service with effect from 25-2-1985. He has also stated that all of them had worked for about six years. They were neither served with any notice nor paid any compensation before they were removed from service. It is also his evidence that he alongwith other workmen have been without employment from the date of removal from service. The Labour Enforcement Officer has stated that the workmen mentioned in the order of reference had complained before me on 20th November, 1984 that they were paid less festival wages. He had enquired into the matter and on his persuasion the Management agreed to pay festival wages in full. His evidence further discloses that the workmen also complained before him on February, 1985 that they had been removed from employment. On the aforesaid unchallenged evidence I am

inclined to hold that the Management was not justified in removing the workmen from service with effect from 25-2-1985 and this action of the Management is illegal and not justified. The workmen mentioned in the order of reference therefore, are entitled to reinstatement with full back wages.

An award is accordingly passed.

Dt. 7-3-87.

Transcribed to my dictation

and corrected by me.

R. N. PANDYA, Presiding Officer
[No. L-26011/13/85-D.III(B)]
V. K. SHARMA, Desk Officer